

RESEARCH ARTICLE



The efficacy of *Pajanelia longifolia* (Willd.) K. Schum leaf extracts against the malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Diptera: Culicidae)

Sowmyashree K, Raju Krishna Chalannavar* & Nityasree B R

Department of Applied Botany, Mangalore University, Mangalagangothri, Konaje, Dakshina Kannada, Karnataka, 574199, India

*Email: drrajkc@gmail.com

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 13 November 2022 Accepted: 09 January 2023

Available online Version 1.0 : 22 February 2023 Version 2.0 : 01 April 2023

() Check for updates

Additional information

Peer review: Publisher thanks Sectional Editor and the other anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints & permissions information is available at https://horizonepublishing.com/ journals/index.php/PST/open_access_policy

Publisher's Note: Horizon e-Publishing Group remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Indexing: Plant Science Today, published by Horizon e-Publishing Group, is covered by Scopus, Web of Science, BIOSIS Previews, Clarivate Analytics, NAAS, UGC Care, etc See https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/ index.php/PST/indexing_abstracting

Copyright: © The Author(s). This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/)

CITE THIS ARTICLE

Sowmyashree K, Chalannavar R K, Nityasree B R. The efficacy of *Pajanelia longifolia* (Willd.) K. Schum leaf extracts against the malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). Plant Science Today. 2023; 10(2): 316 -324. https://doi.org/10.14719/pst.2185

Abstract

Pajanelia longifolia (Willd.) K. Schum is a medicinal deciduous tree with a history of traditional use. The use of biologically active plant materials with mosquitocidal characteristics has piqued the curiosity of scientists worldwide. The goal of this study was to see if P. longifolia crude extracts (in petroleum ether, chloroform, and methanol) had any ovipositional deterrent, ovicidal, larvicidal, or pupicidal activity against Anopheles stephensi Liston. The LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values were determined by varying the concentrations of the leaf extracts. The highest oviditerrence activity (98.58% at 900 ppm), 100% ovicidal activity at 1700 ppm, 100% larvicidal activity at 1300 ppm, and pupicidal activity at 2100 ppm were found in the methanol extract. This study's findings suggest that the methanol extract of P. longifolia could be used to control mosquitoes. These discoveries could aid in the development of a potential alternate source of mosquito control. These kinds of biological insect control methods reduce the need for synthetic pesticides. The study proves that controlling mosquitoes in their infancy is easier and more effective than controlling the adult mosquitoes.

Keywords

Pajanelia longifolia; Anopheles stephensi; ovicidal activity; larvicidal activity; pupicidal activity

Introduction

Many of the deadliest diseases in the world are transmitted by mosquito populations. Malaria is a potentially fatal mosquito-borne disease that remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality on a global and regional scale. According to World Health Organization (WHO) estimates, there were 229 million malaria cases in 2019 and more than 400,000 deaths (1). In tropical countries, children are more vulnerable to malaria than adults. The WHO's Global Malaria Program (GMP) aims to control and eliminate malaria by 2030 (2). To decrease the transmission of infectious malaria and accomplish the objective of GMP, it is imperative to control the mosquito population.

The deadly disease malaria is caused by active female Anopheline bloodsuckers. Warm environments are required for these mosquitos to breed and transmit the disease (3). *An. stephensi* Liston's efficient 'type form' is known to be a major urban malaria vector in the Indian subcontinent and the Middle East (4). Rapid urbanisation in developing nations lead to a rise in the population of the endophilic and endophagic *An. stephensi*

mosquitoes. *An. stephensi* is regarded by WHO as a highly competent vector of *Plasmodium falciparum* and *Plasmodium vivax* (5), and its rapid spread in urban areas poses a threat to malaria elimination. However, disease control is now threatened by rapidly increasing insecticide resistance in insect populations, highlighting the need for newer initiation of specific strategies that can reduce vector-mediated transmission (6).

Plants are rich in bioactive molecules that are extremely useful in everyday life. Botanical preparations were historically used as insecticides by ancient people (7). Thus, plant exploration will assist the human population in overcoming the challenges of an integrated vector control strategy, sustaining malaria elimination, and preventing its reintroduction.

P. longifolia is a small to medium tree that is either evergreen or deciduous and belongs to the Bignoniaceae family. They can be found at heights of up to 1000 meters above sea level in the deciduous and semi-evergreen highland rainforests of India, Sri Lanka, Myanmar, Thailand, Malaysia, the Peninsula, Sumatra, and Borneo (8). The plant is high in secondary metabolites that have medicinal value. It is well-known for its medicinal properties as a hepato-protective agent (9). In folk medicine, the young tender leaves of the plants are used to treat nail infections and skin rashes (10). Leaf extracts containing polar components were found to have antibacterial activity (10, 11). The plant's bark powder extract has antioxidant and antimicrobial properties (8, 12). As a result, no experimental data on the validation of P. longifolia's anti-mosquito properties are available.

The current study intends to use *P. longifolia* leaf extracts against different developmental stages of *An. stephensi* mosquitoes, one of the most important malaria vectors. This is motivated by the understandable hope that reducing the early stages of mosquitoes will benefit malaria control and public health more than only control-ling adult mosquitoes.

Materials and Methods

Plant collection and extraction

P. longifolia fresh leaves were collected between April and June in Kasaragod, a district in the tropical Indian state of Kerala. The samples were delivered to the Department of Applied Botany (Mangalore University, Karnataka, India). The samples were further examined at the university to eliminate any faulty samples. The final specimen's twigs were pressed, dried, and treated with mercuric chloride (HgCl₂). The voucher specimen, 'SSK-RKC-0013,' is stored in the department herbarium. The freshly collected leaves were thoroughly washed under running tap water and shade dried for one week at room temperature (27 ± 30 °C). The dried (200 gm) leaves were mechanically powdered with an electrical stainless-steel blender and extracted in a soxhlet apparatus separately with Petroleum ether (900 ml, CDH), Chloroform (1500 ml, EMPLURA), and Methanol (1900 ml, EMPLURA) until exhaustion. The extracts were concentrated further using a rotary evaporator, and the resulting residue was stored at 4°C. The following formula was used to calculate the percentage yield of the extract,

Where, WE is for Weight of plant extract (g), WP stands for Weight of plant material (g).

The extracts were screened for the presence of phytochemical components using standard techniques (13, 14).

The 1% stock solutions were prepared by dissolving 200 mg of the crude extract in 20 ml of ethanol. The desired test solutions were made from the stock solutions using ethanol or distilled water. Polysorbate 80 (Qualigens) was used as an emulsifier in the final test solution at a concentration of 0.05%.

Mosquitoes rearing technique

The eggs of An. stephensi were obtained from the National Institute of Malaria Research in Bangalore (Karnataka, India). The eggs were kept for hatching in a white tray containing 3 cm of reverse osmosis (RO) water for 24 to 48 hours at a temperature of (27 ± 2) °C, refractive humidity of (75% – 85%), and photoperiod cycles of 14 L: 10 D. For the first two days after the eggs hatch, the larvae were fed 50 – 60 mg of the finely ground yeast slurry. After the third day, 50 – 60 mg of finely powdered yeast was added as a feed to the tray containing approximately 300 2nd instar larvae. On the fifth day, take 120 - 200 mg of finely ground and powdered yeast and dog biscuits (40:60) were fed on the 3rd and 4th instar larvae. The pupal stage lasts 7-8 days. Pupae were removed from the trays, placed in labelled plastic bowls, and placed in a freshly prepared cage for emergence.

After emergence, the cotton swabs were prepared for mosquito feeding by soaking them in a 10% glucose solution and placing them inside the cage. After 3-4 days of emergence, the mosquitoes begin to feed on blood. The mosquitos were starved for 3-4 hours during the day before being fed blood. For 2-3 hours, mosquitos were fed a blood meal via membrane feeding. Later, the mosquitos were given a 10% glucose solution in cotton swabs. Water filled Ovi-traps were placed in the cage. After three days of a blood meal, the eggs were laid in the Ovi-trap (15).

Oviposition deterrence activity

For this study, 30 male and female pupae from wellmaintained stock colonies were separated based on the presence or absence of terminalia using a stereomicroscope. Pupae were placed in the test cage (45 X 45 X 40 cm) and allowed to emerge. A cotton swab was used to administer 10% glucose to newly emerged mosquitos. A blood meal was provided for egg formation after the fifth day of emergence (after the mating period). Females who had been blood-fed were transferred to a new cage using an aspiration tube. Oval test cups (ovitraps) were filled with 100 ml of distilled water and solvent extracts at concentrations of 100, 300, 500, 700, and 900 ppm for oviposition. The cage was filled with test cups. The Control cage is kept separate. To eliminate any effect of position on oviposition, the test bowl positions were alternated between replicates. Three replicates of each concentration were run for each bioassay, with cages set side by side. After 24 hours, thenumber of eggs laid in treated and control bowls was counted using a stereomicroscope (16, 17). The following formula was used to compute the % ovipositional deterrent for each concentration,

$$OD = \frac{NC - NT}{NC} \times 100$$
NC(.Eqn. 2)

Where, OD is for Effective Deterrence, NC stands for Number of Eggs in Control, and NT stands for Number of Eggs in Treatment.

The following formula was used to determine the oviposition activity index (OAI),

Where NT represents the total number of eggs in the test solution and NC represents the total number of eggs in the Control solution. (18).

Ovicidal activity

For the ovicidal activity, 100 gravid female mosquitoes were introduced into the cage, and 10 ovi-traps were set up for oviposition two days following a blood meal. Once the eggs were removed from the cage, they were placed in cups with varying concentrations of petroleum ether (300, 700, 1100, 1500, 1900, and 2300 ppm), chloroform (500, 900, 1300, 1700, 2100, 2500, and 2900 ppm), and methanol (100, 300, 500, 700, and 900 ppm) extract containing 100ml of distilled water. After 24 hours of treatment with the test solution, the eggs were carefully removed from the test cups with a brush. Following that, eggs were placed in 100 ml of fresh distilled water with plastic bows and labelled according to concentrations. Experiments were conducted in triplicate. The percent ovicidal activity was calculated 48 hours after treatment using the formula (19, 20),

%OA =
$$\frac{EHC}{EHT}$$
 X 100
.....Eqn. 4)

Where, %OA is represents Percent ovicidal activity, EHC stands for % of eggs hatched in control and, EHT stands for % eggs hatched in treated.

Larvicidal Activity

An. stephensi third instar larvae were used in the bioassay. The stock solution was used to make test solutions of various concentrations. 25 healthy larvae were placed in plastic cups containing 199 ml of distilled water (the depth of the water in the cups was kept between 5 and 10 cm; as deeper levels may cause undue mortality) and 1 ml of test solutions. The activity was measured using the standard WHO method with minor modifications at (27 ± 2) °C, (75 % - 85 %) RH, and 14 L: 10 D photoperiod cycles (21-23). Ethanol was used as a control. The LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ were calculated using probit analysis after 24 and 48 hours of exposure (24). The test was repeated if pupation occurred during the exposure period and more than 10% of the larvae died in the control group (21-23). Abbott's formula (25) was used to correct the control mortalities.

$$CM = \frac{MT - MC}{MC} \times 100$$

.....(Eqn. 5)

Where, CM stands for Corrected Mortality, MT stands for Mortality In treatment and, MC stands for Mortality in control.

$$PM = \frac{NDL}{NLI} X 100$$
.....(Eqn. 6)

Where, PM represents the Percentage mortality, NDL stands for Number of dead larvae and NLI stands for Number of larvae introduced.

Pupal toxicity

The bioassay was carried out on freshly emerged pupae at 25 pupae per concentration. Pupae were placed in a 500 ml plastic bowl containing 199 ml of distilled water and 1ml of each concentration of test sample. Control was ethanol (26, 27). Abbott's formula (25) was used to correct the control mortality. Using probit analysis, the LC_{50} and LC_{90} were calculated from the data (24).

$$CM = \frac{MT - MC}{MC} \times 100$$
.....(Eqn. 7)

Where, CM stands for Corrected Mortality, MT stands for Mortality in treatment and, MC stands for Mortality in control.

$$M = \frac{NDP}{NPI} \quad X \ 100$$

.....(Eqn. 8)

Where, PM represents the Percentage mortality, NDP stands for Number of dead Pupae and NPI stands for Number of Pupae introduced.

Statistical analysis

Ρ

Data were expressed as mean \pm SEM and one-way ANOVA, which was followed by Tukey's test. To determine LC₅₀ and LC₉₀, the average larval mortality data were subjected to probit analysis using the SPSS 16.0 version. The data were statistically significant at *p< 0.05 (26).

Results

The crude leaf extract percentage yield ranged from 9.4 to 32.4 gm. It was discovered that methanol extract (16.2 gm) had the highest yield, followed by chloroform (9.2 gm) and petroleum ether (4.7 gm) extracts (Table 1). The prelimi-

nary qualitative phytochemical analysis reveals that the extracts of *P. longifolia* contain a wide range of phytochemical constituents, including alkaloids, carbohydrates, starch, glycosides, saponins, steroids, phenols, tannins, flavonoids, proteins, and resins (Table 2).

| Extract | % of yield |
|----------------------|------------|
| Petroleum ether (PE) | 4.7 |
| Chloroform (CH) | 9.2 |
| Methanol (ME) | 16.2 |
| | |

Table 2. The preliminary qualitative phytochemical analysis of crude leaf extracts of *P. longifolia*.

| Dhuta shawiaa la | Crude extracts | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Phytochemicals | Petroleum ether | Chloroform | Methanol | | | | |
| Alkaloids | - | - | - | | | | |
| Carbohydrates | - | - | + | | | | |
| Starch | - | - | + | | | | |
| Glycosides | - | + | - | | | | |
| Saponins | - | - | + | | | | |
| Steroids | - | + | + | | | | |
| Phenols | + | + | + | | | | |
| Tannins | + | - | + | | | | |
| Flavonoids | + | + | + | | | | |
| Proteins | - | - | + | | | | |
| Resins | - | - | + | | | | |

Where, + indicates presence and - indicates the absence of phytochemicals.

Oviposition deterrence activity

The oviposition deterrent activity of *P. longifolia* extracts differed. According to the findings, the methanol leaf extract is the most effective oviposition deterrent, followed by chloroform and petroleum ether in decreasing order of efficacy. Methanol extract at 900 ppm demonstrated 98.58 % oviposition deterrent activity with a negative OAI of 0.97 against *An. stephensi* (Table 3). The oviposition deterrent activity of chloroform extract was 50.27% with a negative OAI of 0.31 and petroleum ether extract was 41.07% with a negative OAI of 0.26 against *An. stephensi* (Table 4). The experiment results clearly show that as the concentration of the extract increases, the polar solvent exhibits greater activity than the nonpolar solvent.

Ovicidal activity

During the ovicidal action study, methanol leaf extract showed 100% mortality at 900 ppm, with LC_{50} and LC_{90} values of 722.683 ppm and 1223.950 ppm, respectively. At higher concentrations, petroleum ether and chloroform extracts were lethal. At 2300 ppm, petroleum ether extract showed 99.17% mortality with LC_{50} of 1172.495 ppm and LC_{90} of 2437.433 ppm, respectively. With 99.58% mortality at 2900 ppm, chloroform extract outperformed the other two extracts. Chloroform extracts have LC_{50} and LC_{90} values of 1054.343 ppm and 2849.878 ppm, respectively (Table 5).

Larvicidal activity

The larvicidal activity of three different P. longifolia extracts (methanol, chloroform, and petroleum ether) against An. stephensi was calculated (Table 6). The bioassay was performed in triplicate, with mortality counts taken after 24 and 48 hours of exposure. Bioassay tests that revealed more than 20% control mortality were discarded and repeated. The current study's findings clearly showed that methanol extract had the highest larvicidal activity, followed by petroleum ether and chloroform extract. In 24 hours, the most potent methanol extract of P. longifolia showed 100% mortality at 1300 ppm, with LC_{50} and LC_{90} values of 446.56 and 750.65 ppm, respectively. The mortality rate grows with increasing exposure time. After 48 hours of exposure at 950 ppm, these values are clearly visible, with LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values of 453.94 and 685.02 ppm, respectively. Petroleum ether and chloroform extracts demonstrated minimal activity, with LC₅₀ values of 2458.72 and 2118.56 ppm at 24 and 48 hours, respectively, and LC₉₀ values of 7653.88 and 7536.79 ppm at 24 and 48 hours. The findings clearly show that mortality increases with time spent exposed to leaf extracts.

Pupicidal activity

An. stephensi pupal mortality was observed after treatment with methanol, chloroform, and petroleum ether extract (Table 7). *P. longifolia* methanol extract exhibited 100% pupal mortality at 2100 ppm in 24 hours, with LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values of 1210.62 and 2010.02 ppm, respectively. With increasing exposure time, the mortality rate rises. After 48 hours of exposure at 1900 ppm, these values are clearly visible, with LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values of 998.32 and 1620.26 ppm, respectively. Petroleum ether and chloroform extracts demonstrated minimal activity, with LC₅₀ values of 7205.86 and ppm 6376.38 at 24 hours and 6641.34 and 6361.86 ppm at 48 hours, respectively.

Table 3. Percent oviposition deterrent (OD) activity of the crude extracts against An. stephensi females.

| Mosquito species | Plan | | Plant Treated extracts | | Concentration (ppm) | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| | Plant | part | Treated extracts | 100 | 300 | 500 | 700 | 900 | |
| Anopheles stephensi | Pajanelia longifolia L | Leaf | Petroleum ether* | 15.91 ± 0.31 | 22.4± 0.24 | 29.78 ± 0.24 | 34.22 ± 0.35 | 41.07 ± 0.34 | |
| | | | Chloroform* | 07.24 ± 0.18 | 22.53 ± 0.54 | 36.31 ± 0.50 | 46.40 ± 0.35 | 50.27 ± 0.35 | |
| | | | Methanol* | 41.24 ± 0.46 | 60.80 ± 0.15 | 85.38 ± 0.19 | 89.42 ± 0.31 | 98.58 ± 0.35 | |

Data are the mean \pm standard error (SE). Means are separated by Tukey's test of multiple comparisons, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). *p \leq 0.05, level of significance. **ppm** = parts per million.

Table 4. Oviposition activity index (OAI) of the crude extracts against An. stephensi females.

| M | Plant | Plant | Treated extracts | Concentration (ppm) | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|--------|------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Mosquito species | Plant | part | Treated extracts | 100 | 300 | 500 | 700 | 900 |
| | | | Petroleum ether* | - 0.09 | - 0.13 | - 0.17 | - 0.21 | - 0.26 |
| Anopheles stephensi | Pajanelia longifolia L | a Leaf | Chloroform* | - 0.04 | - 0.13 | - 0.22 | - 0.30 | - 0.34 |
| | | | Methanol* | - 0.26 | - 0.44 | - 0.74 | - 0.81 | - 0.97 |

Increased negative value in the table indicates the decreased oviposition deterrence. *p ≤ 0.05, level of significance. **ppm** = parts per million.

Table 5. Percent Ovicidal activity of the leaf crude extracts against An. stephensi eggs.

| | | Concentration | Ovicidal activity | Lethal conce | entration (ppm) | | |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Plant | Treated extracts | (ppm) | (%) ± SE | LC₅₀ (LCL -UCL) | LC₀₀ (LCL-UCL) | | |
| | | 100 | 23.33 ± 0.88 | | | | |
| | | 500 | 44.67 ± 1.20 | | | | |
| | | 900 | 57.67 ± 0.58 | | | | |
| | | 1300 | 69.33 ± 0.88 | 1170 405* | 2427 422* | | |
| | Petroleum ether | 1700 | 78.67 ± 0.88 | 1172.495* (1006.488 – 1305.352) | 2437.433* (2280.242 – 2646.200) | | |
| | | 2100 | 87.33 ± 1.76 | (1000.400 - 1505.552) | (2200.242 - 2040.200) | | |
| | | 2500 | 97.67 ± 0.67 | | | | |
| | | 2900 | 98.33 ± 0.33 | | | | |
| | | Control | 0.0 ± 0.0 | | | | |
| | Chloroform | 100 | 7.33 ± 1.45 | | 2849.878* (2566.674- 3278.330) | | |
| | | 500 | 32.33 ± 1.76 | | | | |
| | | 900 | 50.67 ± 0.88 | 1054.343* (858.755 – 1214.074) | | | |
| | | 1300 | 60.67 ± 0.33 | | | | |
| | | 1700 | 68.33 ± 0.33 | | | | |
| Pajanelia longifolia | | 2100 | 77.67 ± 0.88 | | | | |
| | | 2500 | 90.67 ± 0.33 | | | | |
| | | 2900 | 100.00 ± 0.00 | | | | |
| | | Control | 0.0 ± 0.0 | | | | |
| | | 100 | 43.33 ± 1.20 | | | | |
| | | 300 | 55.67 ± 1.76 | | | | |
| | | 500 | 65.00 ± 1.53 | | | | |
| | | 700 | 72.00 ± 1.53 | | | | |
| | | 900 | 84.00 ± 0.58 | 700 0001 | 1000 050* | | |
| | Methanol | 1300 | 95.00 ± 0.58 | 722.683* | 1223.950* (1158.872 1294.211) | | |
| | | 1700 | 100.00 ± 0.0 | (644.184 – 788.596) | (1158.872 – 1294.211) | | |
| | | 2100 | 100.00 ± 0.0 | | | | |
| | | 2500 | 100.00 ± 0.0 | | | | |
| | | 2900 | 100.00 ± 0.0 | | | | |
| | | Control | 0.0 ± 0.0 | | | | |

Data are the mean \pm standard error (SE). Means are separated by Tukey's test of multiple comparisons, and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). *p \leq 0.05, level of significance. **ppm** = parts per million. **LCL** =Lower control limit, **UCL**= Upper control limit.

Discussion

Mosquitoes are nuisance insects that have a higher potential for disease transmission than any other cohort of arthropods. *An. stephensi*, a common urban malaria vector, prefers to breed in artificial breeding grounds. Synthetic chemicals have been applied to control mosquitos for numerous decades. These hazardous chemicals residues in the environment have a global impact on biodiversity and are directly or indirectly responsible for global warming. For decades, the worldwide utilization of synthetic chemicals such as organochlorines, organophosphates, carbamates, and pyrethroids led to vector resistance (27).

Resistance to DDT, Dieldrin, Malathion, and Pyrethroid insecticides has been reported in malaria-endemic India (28 – 38). The rapid increase in insect populations resistant to synthetic insecticides could be attributed to a Table 6. Larvicidal activity of the leaf crude extracts against 3rd instar larvae of An. stephensi mosquitoes.

| Concen- | | | Concen- | | 95% Confidence Li | 95% Confidence Limits (ppm) (24hrs) | | 95% Confidence | Limits (ppm) (48hrs) |
|------------|---------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|---|--|----------------|----------------------|
| (Extract | tration | Larval Mortality (24 hrs) (%) | LC ₅₀ | LC ₉₀ | Larval Mortality (48 hrs) (%) | LC ₅₀ | LC ₉₀ | | |
| | (ppm) | (| (LCL-UCL) | (LCL-UCL) | (10 110) (70) | (LCL-UCL) | (LCL-UCL) | | |
| | 100 | 0 ± 0.0 | | | 0 ± 0.0 | | | | |
| | 500 | 4 ± 2.31 | | | 5 ± 1.33 | | | | |
| | 900 | 11 ± 1.33 | | | 23 ± 2.67 | | | | |
| Petroleum | 1300 | 20 ± 2.31 | 2458.72 ^{ace} | 7653.88 ^{ace} | 33 ± 1.33 | 2118.56 ^{bdf} | 7536.79 ^{bdf} | | |
| ether | 1700 | 40 ± 2.31 | (2094.06 – 2869.24) | (5446.16 – 16296.30) | 40 ± 2.31 | (1648.54 – 2561.37) | (5572.01 – 13059.38 | | |
| | 2100 | 49 ± 2.67 | , | | 48 ± 2.31 | 2301.31) | | | |
| | 2500 | 49 ± 3.53 | | | 57 ± 1.33 | | | | |
| | 2900 | 52 ± 2.31 | | | 61 ± 1.33 | | | | |
| | 100 | 0 ± 0.0 | 4029.56 ^{cae} (3243.07 – 5726.32) | | 1 ± 1.33 | 5901.49 ^{dbf} (3974.05 – 21948.42) | 33687.71 ^{dbf} (12456.05 – 1296057.47 | | |
| | 500 | 0 ± 1.33 | | | 7 ± 1.33 | | | | |
| | 900 | 3±1.33 | | | 9±1.33 | | | | |
| | 1300 | 9±1.33 | | 12057.35 ^{cae} | 13 ± 1.33 | | | | |
| Chloroform | 1700 | 21 ± 1.33 | | (7703.62 – 31359.83) | 21 ±1.33 | | | | |
| | 2100 | 25 ± 1.33 | , | · ····, | 27 ± 1.33 | , | | | |
| | 2500 | 28 ± 0.00 | | | 29 ± 1.33 | | | | |
| | 2900 | 29 ± 1.33 | | | 31 ± 1.33 | | | | |
| | 100 | 7 ± 1.33 | | | 21 ± 3.53 | | | | |
| | 500 | 69 ± 3.53 | | | 77 ± 2.67 | | | | |
| | 900 | 97 ± 1.33 | | | 100 ± 0.00 | | | | |
| | 1300 | 100 ± 0.00 | 446.56 ^{eac} | 750.65 ^{eac} | 100 ± 0.00 | 453.94 ^{fbd} | 685.02 ^{fbd} | | |
| Methanol | 1700 | 100 ± 0.00 | (403.28 – 483.03) | (701.70 – 814.07) | 100 ± 0.00 | (398.00 -495.90) | (639.97 -743.28) | | |
| | 2100 | 100 ± 0.00 | | | 100 ± 0.00 | | | | |
| | 2500 | 100 ± 0.00 | | | 100 ± 0.00 | | | | |
| | 2900 | 100 ± 0.00 | | | 100 ± 0.00 | | | | |

Data are the mean \pm standard error (SE). Means are separated by Tukey's test of multiple comparisons, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). p \leq 0.05^{a,b,c,d,e,f} considered as significant. ^aindicates compared to petroleum ether extract at 24 hour, ^bindicates compared to petroleum ether extract at 48hour, ^cindicates compared to chloroform extract at 24hour, ^cindicates compared to chloroform extract at 24hour, ^dindicates compared to Chloroform extract at 48hour, ^eindicates compared to methanol extract at 24hour, ^findicates compared to methanol extract at 24hour, ^findicates compared to methanol extract at 24hour, ^{findicates} compared to meth

variety of physiological mechanisms, including target site insensitivity and increased production of detoxifying enzyme (35). Recent research shows that the distribution of *An. stephensi* has spread to Sri Lanka, Djibouti, Ethiopia, and Sudan (27, 39 - 41). Long-term use of synthetic insecticides can be hazardous to one's health and the environment. They disrupt the food chain through biomagnification and cause ecosystem imbalance by destroying non-target organisms. To meet the global goal of eliminating malaria by 2030, researchers need to find solutions to techniques to combat malaria vectors. This knowledge sparked the idea of employing bioactive plants to establish an environmentally acceptable vector control technique. Much research has shown promising plant extracts as

Table 7. Pupicidal activity of the leaf crude extracts on An. stephensi mosquitoes.

| | | | 95% Confidence L | imits (ppm) (24hrs) | | 95% Confidence L | imits (ppm) (48hrs) |
|----------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Extract | Concentra- tion (ppm) | | LC ₅₀ (LCL-UCL) | LC₃₀ (LCL-UCL) | Pupal Mortali- ty (48 hrs) (%) | LC₅₀ (LCL-UCL) | LC ₉₀ (LCL-UCL) |
| | 100 | 0 ± 0 | | | 0 ± 0 | | |
| | 500 | 500 0 ± 0 | | 1 ± 1.33 | | | |
| | 900 | 5±1.33 | | | 13 ± 1.33 | | |
| Petrole- | 1300 | 11 ± 1.33 | 7205.86 ^{ace} | 34651.02 ^{ace} | 17 ± 1.33 | 6641.34 ^{bdf} | 46989.35 ^{bdf} |
| um ether | 1700 | 13 ± 1.33 | (4702.72 - 21461.69) | (14272.15 - 604866.76) | 21 ± 1.33 | (4166.80 - 14933.88) | (19080.79 - 411697.81) |
| | 2100 | 15 ± 1.33 | | | 23 ± 1.33 | | |
| | 2500 | 19 ± 1.33 | | | 24 ± 2.31 | | |
| | 2900 | 21 ± 1.33 | | | 25 ± 1.33 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | 100 | 0 ± 0.0 | | | 0 ± 0 | | |
|----------|------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------|--|
| | 500 | 0 ± 0.0 | | | 1 ± 1.33 | | |
| | 900 | 3 ± 1.33 | | | 7 ± 1.33 | | |
| Chloro- | 1300 | 5 ± 1.33 | 6376.381 ^{cae} | 24341.29 ^{cae} | 9 ± 1.33 | 6361.86 ^{dbf} | 32235.41 ^{dbf} (13391.38 - 554979.31 |
| form | 1700 | 16 ±2.31 | (4418.33 - 16083.08) | (11384.22 - 234788.78) | 19 ±1.33 | (4392.51 - 17624. 45) | |
| | 2100 | 16 ± 2.31 | | | 21 ± 1.33 | | |
| | 2500 | 17 ± 1.33 | | | 23 ± 1.33 | | |
| | 2900 | 19 ± 1.33 | | | 23 ± 1.33 | | |
| | 100 | 13 ± 1.33 | | | 23 ± 1.33 | | |
| | 500 | 19 ± 1.33 | | | 45 ± 1.33 | | |
| | 900 | 35 ± 2.67 | | | 59 ± 1.33 | | |
| Malland | 1300 | 59 ± 1.33 | 1210.62 ^{eca} | 2010.02 ^{eca} | 75 ± 1.33 | 998.32 ^{fdb} | 1620.26 ^{fdb} |
| Methanol | 1700 | 79 ± 3.53 | (1108.25 -1295.97) | (1855.28 – 2250.57) | 99±1.33 | (769.96 – 1127.53) | (1482.89 – 1805.45) |
| | 2100 | 100 ± 0.00 | | | 100 ± 0.00 | | |
| | 2500 | 100 ± 0.00 | | | 100 ± 0.00 | | |
| | 2900 | 100 ± 0.00 | | | 100 ± 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Data are the mean \pm standard error (SE). Means are separated by Tukey's test of multiple comparisons, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). p \leq 0.05^{a,b,c,d,e,f} considered as significant. ^aindicates compared to petroleum ether extract at 24hour, ^bindicates compared to petroleum ether extract at 48hour, ^cindicates compared to chloroform extract at 24hour, ^dindicates compared to Chloroform extract at 48hour, ^eindicates compared to methanol extract at 24hour, ^findicates compared compared compared to methanol extract at 24hour, ^findicates compared to methanol extract at 24hour, ^findicates compared compared to methanol extract at 24hour, ^findicates compared to methanol extract at 24hour, ^fin

alternatives to synthetic pesticides against the rising number of mosquito-borne diseases. Environmentally friendly crude extracts from plants with a significant number of phytochemicals have synergistic effects on target species. This lessens the detrimental impact on the environment.

In this study, methanol leaf extract of *P. longifolia* was found to have significant oviposition deterrence, ovicidal, larvicidal, and pupicidal properties against the malaria vector An. stephensi mosquitoes. This result is also comparable to earlier reports by Prathibha et al. (2013), who reported that Spilanthus mauritiana exhibited higher oviposition deterrence activity, followed by Solidago canadensis, Euodia ridleyi, and Eugenia jambolana (42). Veni et al. (2016) studied the oviposition-deterrent activity of the seaweed Lobophora variegata extracts, viz., hexane, benzene, chloroform, ethyl acetate, and methanol, which were tested against gravid An. stephensi mosquitoes (43). Wangrawa et al. (2016) observed the oviposition deterrence activity of crude acetone, ethanol, and hexane leaf extracts of Lantana camara L., Ocimum canum, Hyptis suaveolens, and Hyptis spicigera against Anopheles gambiae Lam (44). Elango et al. (2009) studied the oviposition deterrence activity of Aegle marmelos, Andrographis lineata, and Cocculus hirsutus extracts against malarial vector Anopheles subpictus mosquitoes (17).

Ali *et al.* (2020) investigated the larvicidal activity of *Saussurea costus* root and leaf extracts against *An. stephensi* mosquitos. The roots' methanol extract had the strongest larvicidal activity, with LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values of 7.96 and 34.39 ppm, respectively. (45). Muhammed *et al.* (2022) studied the larvcidal activity of *Calpurnia aurea*, *Momordica foetida*, and *Zehneria scabra* leaf extracts, finding the lowest LC₅₀ values in aqueous extracts of *M. foetida*, followed by *Z. scabra* extract and *C. aurea* leaves at 34.61,

35.85, and 38.69 ppm, respectively, against the larvae (46). Veni et al. (2016) observed the ovicidal and larvicidal activities of Lobophora variegata extracts. The methanol extract was notable for its remarkable ovicidal activity, whereas a larvicidal response was observed with a LC_{50} value of 61.63 ppm (43). Veni et al. (2017) assessed the larvicidal and avicidal efficacy of Terminalia chebula against An. stephensi mosquitoes; the highest larval mortality was recorded in the methanol extract of *T. chebula* against the larvae with an LC₅₀ of 87.13 ppm (47). Prabhu et al. (2011) evaluated and proved the larvicidal activity of Moringa oleifera against An. stephensi 3rd instar larvae with a LC50 value of 78.93 ppm (22); Kovendan et al. (2012) observed the larvicidal and pupicidal activity of Leucas aspera with 10.823% larval mortality and 0.073% pupal mortality (24). Krishnappa et al. (2012) investigated the ovicidal, larvicidal, and pupicidal effects of Gliricidia sepium (Jacq.) (Leguminosae) against the An. stephensi mosquito, with LC₅₀ values of 250 ppm, 121.79 ppm, and 75 ppm, respectively (48).

After screening natural bioactive plants with antimosquito activity, leaf extracts were identified as general toxicants against the early stages of the malarial vector *An. stephensi.* In this study, multiple crude extracts of *P. longifolia* were used against the early stages of *An. stephensi* mosquitoes, and it was discovered that the methanol extract was more effective against larvicidal activity than other early stages of mosquitoes. These findings may motivate the search for novel, active natural chemicals that can be used as an alternative to synthetic pesticides derived from other medicinal plants. Further research into the mode of action of the constituents, their impacts on nontarget organisms, and field evaluation are required.

Conclusion

P. longifolia plant extracts were the most effective against all early stages of *An. stephensi* mosquitoes. According to the findings, a methanol extract of the plant may be used to kill the *An. stephensi* mosquito, and the active ingredient responsible for the bioactivities could be isolated further. These findings will aid in the hunt for more selective, biodegradable, and naturally occurring mosquitocidal phytochemicals.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr. Susanta K Ghosh, Director of the National Institute of Malaria Research, Field Unit, Bengaluru for providing a laboratory facility to conduct the activities.

Authors contributions

Sowmyashree K: The selection of the objectives and methodology for this study, performed all practical investigations, and wrote the complete original article draft. Raju Krishna Chalannavar: The selection of the topic and finalising the objectives for the study, supervision of overall the experiment methods, and reviewing of the manuscript. Nityasree B R: The statistical analysis, reviewing, and editing of the manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Ethical issues: None.

References

- WHO [Internet]. World malaria report 2020: 20 years of global progress and challenges. [retrieved on 30 November 2020] Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/ item/9789240015791
- WHO [Internet]. Global technical strategy for malaria 2016-2030. [retrieved on 19 July 2021] Available from: https:// www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240031357
- Beck-Johnson LM, Nelson WA, Paaijmans KP, Read AF, Thomas M B, Bjornstad ON. The effect of temperature on Anopheles mosquito population dynamics and the potential for malaria transmission. PLOS One. 2013; 8(11):1-12. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079276
- Alam MT, Bora H, Das MK, Sharma YD. The type and mysorensis forms of the Anopheles stephensi (Diptera: Culicidae) in India exhibit identical ribosomal DNA ITS2 and domain-3 sequences. Parasitology research. 2008; 103(1): 75-80. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00436-008-0930-7
- WHO [Internet]. Vector alert: Anopheles stephensi invasion and spread. [retrieved on 26 August 2019] Available from: https:// www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-HTM-GMP-2019.09
- Liu N. Insecticide resistance in mosquitoes: impact, mechanisms, and research directions. Annual review of entomology 2015; 60: 537-559. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010814 -020828

- Oberemok V V, Laikova K V, Gninenko Y I, Zaitsev AS, Nyadar PM, Adeyemi TA. A short history of insecticides. Journal of Plant Protection Research. 2015; 55(3): 221-226. https:// doi.org/10.1515/jppr-2015-0033
- Saha P, Talukdar A D, Choudhury M D. Antioxidant Potential Profile of *Pajanelia Longifolia* (WILLD.) K. SCHUMAN; Potential New Sources of Natural Antioxidant. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research. 2017; 10(6): 184-188. http:// dx.doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2017.v10i6.17750
- Datta S, Choudhury S, Choudhury M D. Hepatoprotective Activity of Bark Extracts of *Pajanelia longifolia* (Willd.) K. Schuman against CCl₄ Induced Hepatic Damage in Mice. Drug Invention Today. 2012; 4(10): 537-539.
- Sharma P, Mazumder S, Choudhury S. Preliminary phytochemical screening and antibacterial activity of the leaves of *Pajanelia longifolia* (wild.) k schuman and *Crataeva magna* (Lour.) DC. Indo American Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 2013; 3 (10): 8165-8168. http://dx.doi.org/10.1044/1980-iajpr.01063
- Choudhury S, Choudhury M D, Sharma G D, Paul S B. Antibacterial Activity of Crude Leaf Extracts of *Pajanelia longifolia* (Willd.) K. Schuman. Assam University Journal of Science and Technology. 2010; 5(1): 53-57.
- Zainab A, Bhat R, Acharya S, Yende A, PS, P, Padyana S. Studies on antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of *Pajanelia longifolia* (Willd.) Schumann. Obesity Research Journal. 2013; 756484: 1-12. http://dx.doi.org/10.5171/2013.756484
- Harborne A J. Phytochemical Methods: a guide to modern techniques of plant analysis. 3rd Ed. Chapman & Hall, an imprint of Thornson Science, London, UK. 1998. p. 1-278.
- 14. Prashant T, Bimlesh K, Mandeep K, Gurpreet, K, Harleen K. Phytochemical screening and extraction: A review. Internationale pharmaceutica sciencia, 2011; 1(1): 98-106.
- Nathan S S. The use of *Eucalyptus tereticornis* Sm. (Myrtaceae) oil (leaf extract) as a natural larvicidal agent against the malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). Bioresource technology. 2007; 98(9): 1856-1860. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.07.044
- Reegan A D, Gandhi M R, Paulraj M G, Ignacimuthu S. Ovicidal and oviposition deterrent activities of medicinal plant extracts against *Aedes aegypti* L. and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). Osong Public Health and Research Perspectives 2015; 6(1): 64-69. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.phrp.2014.08.009
- Elango G, Bagavan A, Kamaraj C, Abduz Zahir A, Abdul Rahman A. Oviposition-deterrent, ovicidal, and repellent activities of indigenous plant extracts against *Anopheles subpictus* Grassi (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitology research. 2009; 105(6): 1567-1576. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00436-009-1593-8
- Kramer W L, Mulla M S. Oviposition attractants and repellents of mosquitoes: oviposition responses of Culex mosquitoes to organic infusions. Environmental Entomology. 1979; 8(6), 1111-1117.
- Mahanta S, Sarma R, Khanikor B. The essential oil of *Lippia alba* Mill (Lamiales: Verbenaceae) as mosquitocidal and repellent agent against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae) and *Aedes aegypti* Linn (Diptera: Culicidae). The Journal of Basic and Applied Zoology. 2019; 80(64): 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s41936-019-0132-0
- 20. Samuel T, Ravindran K J, Arivoli S. Screening of plant extracts for ovicidal activity against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae). Applied Botany. 2011; 40: 5456-5460.
- WHO [Internet]. Guidelines for laboratory and field testing of mosquito larvicides. Geneva: World Health Organization. [Retrieved on 24 May 2005]. Available from: https:// www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-CDS-WHOPES-GCDPP-

2005.13

- Prabhu K, Murugan K, Nareshkumar A, Ramasubramanyan N, Bragadeeswan S. Larvicidal and repellent potential of *Moringa oleifera* against malarial vector, *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Insecta: Diptera: Culicidae). Asian Pacific journal of tropical biomedicine. 2011;1(2): 124-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691 (11)60009-9
- Tiwary M, Naik S N, Tewary D K, Mittal PK, Yadav S. Chemical composition and larvicidal activities of the essential oil of *Zanthoxylum armatum* DC (Rutaceae) against three mosquito vectors. Journal of vector-borne diseases. 2007: 44(3): 198.
- Kovendan K, Murugan K, Vincent S, Barnard DR. Studies on larvicidal and pupicidal activity of *Leucas aspera* Willd.(Lamiaceae) and bacterial insecticide, *Bacillus sphaericus*, against malarial vector, *Anopheles stephensi* Liston.(Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitology research. 2012; 110(1): 195-203. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00436-011-2469-2
- Abbott W.S. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. Journal of economic Entomology. 1925; 18:265-7. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jee/18.2.265a
- Panneerselvam C, Murugan K, Kovendan K, Kumar PM, Subramnayan J. Mosquito larvicidal and pupicidal activity of *Euphorbia hirta* Linn. (Family: Euphorbiaceae) and *Bacillus sphaericus* against *Anopheles stephensi* Liston. (Diptera: Culicidae). Asian Pacific journal of tropical medicine. 2013; 6(2), 102-109. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1995-7645(13)60003-6
- Enayati A, Hanafi-Bojd A A, Sedaghat M M, Zaim M, Hemingway J. Evolution of insecticide resistance and its mechanisms in *Anopheles stephensi* in the WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region. Malaria Journal. 2020; 19(1): 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-020-03335-0
- Hariprasad TP, Shetty NJ. Biochemical basis of alphamethrin resistance in diferent life stages of *Anopheles stephensi* strains of Bangalore, India. Pest Management Science. 2016; 72:1689–701. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4194
- Dykes CL, Das MK, Eapen A, Batra CP, Ghosh SK, Vijayan VA, Shobana Mishra, Singh OP. Knock⊠ down resistance (kdr) mutations in Indian *Anopheles stephensi* (Diptera: Culicidae) populations. Journal of Medical Entomology. 2016; 53: 315–20. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjv242
- Singh RK, Kumar G, Mittal PK. Insecticide susceptibility status of malaria vectors in India: a review. International Journal of Mosquito Research. 2014; 1:5–9.
- Singh OP, Dykes CL, Lather M, Agarwal OP, Adak T. Knockdown resistance (kdr)-like mutations in the voltage-gated sodium channel of a malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* and PCR assays for their detection. Malaria Journal. 2011;10:59 https:// doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-10-59
- Tikar SN, Mendki MJ, Sharma AK, Sukumaran D, Veer V, Prakash S, Parashar BD. Resistance status of the malaria vector mosquitoes, Anopheles stephensi and Anopheles subpictus towards adulticides and larvicides in arid and semi-arid areas of India. J Insect Sci. 2011;11:85. https://doi.org/10.1673/031.011.8501
- Tiwari S, Ghosh SK, Ojha VP, Dash AP, Raghavendra K. Reduced susceptibility to selected synthetic pyrethroids in urban malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi*: a case study in Mangalore city, South India. Malar J. 2010 Jun 23;9:179. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-9-179
- Singh OP, Dykes CL, Das MK, Pradan S, Bhat RM, Agarwal OP, Adak T. Presence of two alternative kdr-like mutations, L1014F and L1014S, and a novel mutation, V1010L, in the voltage gated Na+channel of *Anopheles culicifacies* from Orissa, India. Malar J (9) 146, 2010 https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-9-146
- Gayathri V, Murthy P B. Reduced susceptibility to deltamethrin and kdr mutation in *Anopheles stephensi* Liston, a malaria vector

in India. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association. 2006; 22(4): 678-688. https://doi.org/10.2987/8756-971X (2006)22[678:RSTDAK]2.0.CO;2

- Ganesh KN, Vijayan VA, Urmila J, Gopalan N, Prakash S. Role of esterases and monooxygenase in the deltamethrin resistance in *Anopheles stephensi* Giles (1908), at Mysore. Indian J Exp Biol. 2002 May;40(5):583-8.
- Chitra S, Pillai M. Development of organophosphorus and carbamate resistance in Indian strains of *Anopheles stephensi* Liston. Proceedings: Animal Science. 1984; 93:159–70
- Rajagopalan N, Vedamanikkam J, Ramoo H. A preliminary note on the development of resistance to DDT by larvae of *Anopheles stephensi* type in Erode Urban, South India. Bulletin of National Society of India Malaria and other Mosquito Borne Diseases. 1956; 4:126–8
- Carter TE, Yared S, Gebresilassie A, Bonnel V, Damodaran L. First detection of *Anopheles stephensi* Liston, 1901 (Diptera: culici⊠ dae) in Ethiopia using molecular and morphological approaches. Acta Tropica. 2018; 188:180–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.actatropica.2018.09.001
- Dharmasiri AG, Perera AY, Harishchandra J, Herath H, Aravindan K. First record of *Anopheles stephensi* in Sri Lanka: a potential challenge for prevention of malaria reintroduction. Malaria Journal. 2017; 16:326. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-017-1977-7
- 41. Faulde MK, Rueda LM, Khaireh BA. First record of the Asian malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* and its possible role in the resurgence of malaria in Djibouti, Horn of Africa. Acta Tropica. 2014; 139:39–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2014.06.016
- Prathibha K P, Raghavendra B S, Vijayan V A. Larvicidal, ovicidal, and oviposition-deterrent activities of four plant extracts against three mosquito species. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2014; 21(10):6736-43. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-2591-7
- Veni T, Pushpanathan T, Mohanraj J. Ovicidal, oviposition deterrent, and larvicidal response of *Anopheles stephensi* Liston, 1901 to *Lobophora variegata* Lamouroux, 1817 from Tuticorin coast, Gulf of Mannar, India. Journal of Experimental Sciences. 2016; 7: 20-26. https://doi.org/10.25081/jes.2016.v7.2976
- Wangrawa D W, Badolo A, Guenne S, Sanon A. Larvicidal and oviposition-deterrence activities of four local plant extracts from Burkina Faso against *Anopheles gambiae* S. l. (Diptera: Culicidae). International Journal of Mosquito Research. 2016; 3(6): 11-19.
- 45. Ali S I, Venkatesalu V. Evaluation of the larvicidal potential of root and leaf extracts of *Saussurea costus* (Falc.) Lipsch. against three mosquito vectors: *Anopheles stephensi, Aedes aegypti*, and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical. 2020; 53. https://doi.org/10.1590/0037-8682-0018-2019
- Muhammed M, Dugassa S, Belina M, Zohdy S, Irish S R, Gebresilassie A. Insecticidal effects of some selected plant extracts against *Anopheles stephensi* (Culicidae: Diptera). Malaria Journal. 2022; 21(1): 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-022-04320-5
- Veni T, Pushpanathan T, Mohanraj J. Larvicidal and ovicidal activity of *Terminalia chebula* Retz. (Family: Combretaceae) medicinal plant extracts against *Anopheles stephensi*, *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. Journal of Parasitic Diseases. 2017; 41(3): 693-702. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12639-016-0869-z
- Krishnappa K, Dhanasekaran S, Elumalai K. Larvicidal, ovicidal and pupicidal activities of *Gliricidia sepium* (Jacq.) (Leguminosae) against the malarial vector, *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Culicidae: Diptera). Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine. 2012; 5(8):598 -604. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1995-7645(12)60124-2