



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Exploring the biochemical and productivity implications of incorporating mustard cake in *Hypsizygus ulmarius* (Bull.) cultivation

Rashmi Choudhary^{1,2}, Sudhanshu Rajput², Riyanka Anthwal², Rajan Kumar³, Jagdish C Purohit⁴, Monika Tiwari⁵, Prashant Kumar Chaudhary⁵, Sonika Kalia¹ & Indra Rautela^{1*}

¹Department of Biotechnology, School of Applied and Life Sciences, Uttaranchal University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

²Department of Medical Lab Technology, Dolphin (P.G) Institute of Biomedical and Natural Sciences, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

³Department of Pharmaceutical Science, Dolphin (P.G) Institute of Biomedical and Natural Sciences, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

⁴Department of Agriculture, Dolphin (P.G) Institute of Biomedical and Natural Sciences, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

⁵Taq Gene Training and Research Institute, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

*Email: rautela.indra7@gmail.com



ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 10 November 2023

Accepted: 30 June 2024

Available online

Version 1.0 : 30 September 2024



Additional information

Peer review: Publisher thanks Sectional Editor and the other anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints & permissions information is available at https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/open_access_policy

Publisher's Note: Horizon e-Publishing Group remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Indexing: Plant Science Today, published by Horizon e-Publishing Group, is covered by Scopus, Web of Science, BIOSIS Previews, Clarivate Analytics, NAAS, UGC Care, etc See https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/indexing_abstracting

Copyright: © The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

CITE THIS ARTICLE

Choudhary R, Rajput S, Anthwal R, Kumar R, Purohit J C, Tiwari M, Chaudhary P K, Kalia S, Rautela I. Exploring the biochemical and productivity implications of incorporating mustard cake in *Hypsizygus ulmarius* (Bull.) cultivation. Plant Science Today (Early Access). <https://doi.org/10.14719/pst.3012>

Abstract

The study aimed to evaluate the biological efficiency and nutritional composition of *Hypsizygus ulmarius* (Bull.), an edible and medicinal mushroom species often overlooked by Indian farmers. The mushrooms were cultivated on wheat husk as the control substrate from October to February. The substrate was enriched with mustard cake (M) at concentrations of 10 % (M500), 20 % (M1000) and 30 % (M1500) by dry weight to assess the impact on yield. The growth parameters, including the size and weight of fruiting bodies from each type of substrate were recorded. The control substrate, consisting of wheat straw alone, required the shortest period for mycelium growth at 33.125 ± 0.99 days, while wheat straw supplemented with 30 % mustard cake (M1500) took the longest time at 46.6 ± 1.5 days. However, the M1000 substrate (20 % mustard cake) had the shortest time span for pin head formation, taking 46 ± 1.41 days and for maturation to fully grown fruiting bodies, requiring 50.25 ± 2.12 days. The M1000 substrate also produced the highest yield of 5533.2 kg with the greatest biological efficiency of 92.2 %, but comparatively least values were obtained in M1500, with a yield of 2088.28 kg and a biological efficiency of 51.28 %. The highest total carbohydrate content was found in M500 (10 % mustard cake), at 71.91 %, along with a fiber content of 13.19 %. The highest protein (38.06 %) and fats (2.73 %) contents were observed in M1500. The results of this study suggest that mustard cake can be used as an effective supplement to enhance the nutritional content, biological efficiency and productivity of *Hypsizygus ulmarius*.

Keywords

Hypsizygus ulmarius; biological efficiency; nutritional composition; protein; fats

Introduction

Mushrooms are low in calorie and are often referred to as 'white vegetables' or 'boneless vegetarian meat', rich in polysaccharides, proteins, vitamins and with unique medicinal properties (1). The Indian subcontinent is endowed with diverse agro-climatic zones, providing a wealth of fungal diversity (2). Despite the widespread occurrence of mushrooms in India, many Indian farmers remain unaware of the cultivation techniques and nutritional benefits of edible mushrooms (3, 4). With India surpassing China's population in 2024 and a current annual growth rate of 0.91 % (5), there is an

increasing need to explore sustainable food sources such as mushrooms. There is a need to expand mushroom farming to help address food scarcity (6, 7). Mushrooms hold significant potential to boost the Indian economy due to diverse applications in nutrition, medicine and industry. Recently, the National Horticulture Board reported that Bihar was the largest mushroom-producing state in 2021–2022, with over 28000 metric tonnes produced, accounting for 10.82 % of the country's total production (8). It was followed by Maharashtra with 9.89 %, and Odisha with 9.66 % (9). Earlier, studies revealed that between 2010 and 2017, the mushroom industry grew at an annum rate of 4.3 %, with white button mushroom dominating the market at 73 %. Oyster mushrooms, paddy straw mushrooms and milk mushrooms made up 16 %, 7 % and 3 %, of the total market share respectively (10). Bihar, Maharashtra, Orissa and Haryana emerged as the leading mushroom-producing states, contributing 10.82 %, 9.89 %, 9.66 %, and 8.19 % to the country's overall production (10, 11).

The elm mushroom (*Hypsizygus ulmarius* (Bull.)), previously known as *Pleurotus ulmarius*, was first introduced to Indian mushroom growers by the Indian Institute of Horticulture Research (IIHR) in Bengaluru, India (12). This edible and medicinal mushroom typically grows on the trunks or logs of elm trees in forests. The mushroom has blue pinheads that turn dull white as they mature (13). Their large, palatable, gilled fruiting bodies grow in clusters, have a shelf life of 36–48 h at temperature between 25–30 °C and possess a rich, meaty flavour (14). It is well-established that the substrate used in mushrooms cultivation serves as a key source of nutrition, directly influencing their biological efficiency, nutritional and medicinal values and the ability to degrade lignocellulose substrates (15). Therefore, to achieve maximum yield and enhanced nutritional value, it is essential to select or prepare a suitable substrate for mushroom cultivation (16). Researchers have been investigating variations in mushroom yield, both quantitatively and qualitatively, under different environmental conditions and using various substrates (17–22). Numerous organic wastes, such as bean straw, corn silage, wheat straw, popular sawdust and pine sawdust, have been used to study (23) the rate of lignocellulose degradation by *Hypsizygus ulmarius* and its effects on growth. Their study revealed that bean straw could enhance yield and shorten the crop cycle of this mushroom species. Other studies investigating the best combination of substrates (14) have used wheat straw, paddy straw, cardboard and banana leaves in various proportions to obtain maximum yield, biological efficiency and nutritional value for *Hypsizygus ulmarius*. Despite these efforts, there is significant potential to explore mushroom cultivation behaviour using certain agricultural waste (24). The present study aims to identify the best alternative substrate that supports the growth of elm oyster mushrooms, yielding high crop output, larger fruit sizes and a manageable crop cycle for Indian farmers. The research involved preparing different combinations of wheat straw as the primary substrate, supplemented with mustard cake (M), to evaluate their effects on biological efficiency and cultivation patterns.

Materials and Methods

The mycelium (spawn) of *H. ulmarius* mushrooms was obtained from the IIHR, Bangalore, India. The spawn, which is the vegetative growth of the fungus, was used to inoculate the substrate and initiate the cultivation process. 5 kg of milled wheat straw were soaked for 6–7 h, air-dried and thoroughly mixed with coarse mustard cake powder at concentrations of 10 % (500 g), 20 % (1000 g) and 30 % (1500 g) by dry weight, creating 3 substrate-supplement combinations. The bags were labelled to indicate their respective concentrations as M500, M1000, M1500 and control. Propylene bags (60 cm × 30 cm) were filled with the prepared substrates and autoclaved at 15 PSI and 121 °C for 20 min. After autoclaving, the substrates were inoculated with the spawn at a rate of 5 % (w/w) of the total substrate-supplement weight. Sterile needles were used to perforate the bags, creating 12–15 holes (pinning) to allow aeration. The bags were then incubated for the mycelium run, placed on iron shelves in complete darkness at a temperature of 25–30 °C. The number of days required for the mycelium to run in each substrate type was recorded. Once the bags exhibited full white mycelial growth, they were transferred to cropping rooms with controlled conditions-temperature (25–30 °C), humidity (70–80 %) and light-until fully developed fruiting bodies (mushrooms) were harvested.

The duration of primordial body formation was defined as the period between spawning and pinhead formation. The time taken for the fruiting body to mature was measured as the number of days from spawning to the first harvest. The numbers of fully grown fruiting bodies per bag was recorded and the weight and size of the pileus and stipe were measured separately. Biological efficiency was calculated using the following formula (25).

$$\text{Biological efficiency (BE \%)} = \frac{\text{Fresh weight of harvested mushroom}}{\text{Dry weight of the substrate}} \times 100$$

The harvested mushrooms were oven dried at 45–55 °C (26). The dried fruiting bodies were then extracted using petroleum ether and ethanol. The organic solvents were evaporated from the extracts and the resulting extracts were stored in labelled eppendorf tubes at 4 °C for further analysis.

Parameters such as moisture, volatile content and ash percentage were analyzed using standard methods (27–29). Nutritional analysis of the collected fruiting bodies, including carbohydrates, proteins, fat, fibre and energy (kcal/100 g), was also conducted (28, 30). The total protein content was estimated using the Micro Kjeldahl method (30). The energy obtained from 100 g of dried mushroom was calculated using the formula (31):

$$\text{Energy (kcal/100 g)} = \text{Total carbohydrates} \times 4 + \text{fat} \times 9 + \text{protein} \times 4$$

Data were collected in triplicates and Fisher's analysis of variance was applied to assess the overall significance of the data. Significant differences among treatment means were compared using the LSD- Least Significant Difference Test at $P \leq 0.05$ (32).

Results and Discussion

Influence of substrate- supplement combination on mushroom crop cycle

The study found that the addition of mustard cake increased the duration of mycelium running and primordial body formation, but fruit development occurred more quickly compared to the control. There were significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in the mycelium run, pinhead formation and first harvest periods among the different levels of mustard cake supplementation (Table 1). The control substrate, which contained only wheat straw and no supplements, had a mycelium run period of 33.12 ± 0.99 days, pinhead formation at 38.75 ± 1.66 days and a significantly longer first harvest period of 58.8 ± 1.60 days. With the addition of 10 %, 20 % and 30 % mustard cake, the mycelium run periods were extended to 35.57 ± 1.39 , 40.25 ± 1.66 and 46.6 ± 1.5 days respectively. Previous observations of *Hypsizygus ulmarius* using different substrates have shown that the duration of mycelium run varies with substrate type, ranging from 19.1-31.1 days, which is consistent with the findings for the Control and M500 (10 %) in this study (23). Similar to the current results, earlier studies reported significant variation in the duration of mycelium run for *Hypsizygus ulmarius*; sugarcane bagasse resulted in the shortest time at 16.50 days, while groundnut hulls required the longest at 23.75 days (33). However, other research has indicated that *Hypsizygus ulmarius* on wheat straw took only 14.50 days for mycelium to run (14).

days (23), which aligns with the present study's maximum duration of 51.33 ± 1.21 days. Although some earlier reports noted a shorter pinhead formation period of 20.33 to 28 days (34), this variation could be attributed to differences in the lignin and cellulose content of the substrates. Mature fruiting bodies were harvested after 58.8 ± 1.60 days from non-supplemented substrates, which was the longest period observed among all samples. In contrast, the M1000 (20 % mustard cake) substrate yielded the shortest fruit development period of 50.25 ± 2.12 days. All observations for the first harvest fell within the previously reported range of 39.8 to 62.0 days for various substrates (23) (Fig. 1).

Influence of substrate- supplement combination on total yield, number of effective fruiting bodies (NEFB) and biological efficiency (BE %)

The study found that adding mustard cake as a supplement to the substrate had a significant impact on the yield and biological efficiency of the mushrooms. Total yield ranged from 2088.28–5533.20 g, with BE percentages ranging from 51.82 % to 92.2 % for 30 % and 20 % mustard cake supplementation respectively (Table 1). The 20 % supplement (M1000) also showed a notable improvement in yield and biological efficiency, achieving an efficiency of 84.33 %. These results are consistent with previously reported BE percentage ranging from 36.0 to 93.1 % (23). Comparable BE percentages of 90.10 % and 77.60 % have been recorded using paddy straw (33, 35). Another study reported a

Table 1. Effect of varying concentrations of mustard cake supplement on growth, harvesting time, total yield, number of effective fruiting bodies (NEFB) per bag, biological efficiency, proximate analysis and nutritive composition of *Hypsizygus ulmarius*.

Sl. No.		Supplement concentration				Mean	SD
		M500	M1000	M1500	Control		
1	Mycelium run (days)	35.57±1.39 ^a	40.25±1.66 ^b	46.60±1.5 ^c	33.12±0.991 ^a	38.885	5.933209
2	Pin head formation (days)	48.71±3.35 ^b	46.0±1.41 ^a	51.33±1.21 ^b	38.75±1.66 ^b	46.1975	5.420931
3	First harvest (days)	52.57±4.27 ^c	50.25±2.12 ^b	58.33±5.60 ^a	58.80±1.60 ^c	54.9875	4.242471
4	Total yield (g)	4638.53±2.37 ^a	5533.2±6.07 ^c	2088.28±2.57 ^b	3383.6±3.07 ^b	3910.903	1501.254
5	NEFB per bag	48.57±6.5 ^c	37.60±7.4 ^a	15.80±7.7 ^c	38.12±7.41 ^a	35.0225	13.77531
6	Biological efficiency (%)	84.33±1.7 ^b	92.2±9.5 ^b	51.82±8.5 ^b	67.67±4.32 ^b	74.005	17.98119
7	Moisture content (%)	93.05±4.1 ^a	91.58±7.5 ^a	87.75±3.5 ^a	83.05±8.02 ^a	88.8575	4.469999
8	Ash content (%)	0.98±9.6 ^c	0.48±0.5 ^b	0.97±0.53 ^c	0.19±0.56 ^b	0.655	0.388029
9	Volatile content (%)	2.03±0.2 ^b	2.9±0.5 ^c	2.06±0.52 ^b	2.7±1.71 ^c	2.4225	0.44365
10	Total Carbohydrates (%)	71.91±1.01 ^a	61.33±1.5 ^a	58.24±4.5 ^c	69.7±3.43 ^b	65.295	6.548702
11	Protein (%)	25.16±2.03 ^c	36.57±3.5 ^b	38.06±2.5 ^a	28.27±4.54 ^a	32.015	6.279758
12	Crude fat (%)	2.07±1.05 ^a	2.62±4.5 ^c	2.73±0.6 ^b	2.01±0.21 ^c	2.3575	0.370169
13	Fiber content (%)	13.19±0.56 ^a	8.75±2.5 ^a	10.98±5.5 ^c	12.41±3.43 ^b	11.3325	1.949776
14	Energy (kcal/100 g)	406.91±0.71 ^c	406.18±5.78 ^b	409.77±7.71 ^b	409.98±9.01 ^c	408.21	1.947426

$p < 0.05$ level of significance using Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT).

The formation of primordial bodies or pinheads took longer in the supplemented substrates, with durations of 48.71 ± 3.35 , 46 ± 1.41 and 51.33 ± 1.21 days for M500, M1000 and M1500 respectively, compared to 38.75 ± 1.66 days in the control. The study indicated that primordial initiation or pinhead formation ranged from 32.6 to 50.6

maximum biological efficiency of 84.11 % with neem cake as a supplement and a minimum BE of 32.00 % with soybean flour (34). Few Indian researchers have studied various agro-wastes as substrates for *Hypsizygus ulmarius* Co (OM)₂ strain and reported maximum biological efficiency of 99.8 % with paddy straw and water hyacinth and a



Fig. 1. Showing growth of *Hypsizygos ulmarius* mushroom at different concentrations of mustard cake. (A) control, (B) M500, (C) M1000 and (D) M1500 concentration.

minimum of 49.4 % with coir pith (36). Given that Uttarakhand does not produce much water hyacinth waste, this study focuses on local agro-waste like mustard cake and wheat straw combinations. However, a previous report indicated higher efficiency ranges of 155.60 % and 136.88 % (14) and another study found extremely high BEs of 231.7 % and 437.5 % using wheat straw and biogas digester liquid-sprayed wheat straw respectively (37). A related study on the king oyster mushroom (*Pleurotus eryngii*) reported a biological efficiency of 48.24 % with wheat straw, compared to 51.82 % in the control sample of this study (38). The study also found that a 30 % mustard cake supplement (M1500) significantly reduced both yield and biological efficiency (Table 1). These findings suggest that while a 20 % mustard cake supplement can significantly improve yield and biological efficiency, the 30 % supplement may not be optimal due to their high lignocellulose content.

The number of effective fruiting bodies (NEFB) per bag was highest with the 10 % mustard cake supplement, averaging 48.57 ± 6.5 and lowest with 30 % supplemented, averaging 15.8 ± 7.7 , indicating that M500 supports better development of fruiting bodies. Previous studies have reported a wide range of fruiting bodies per bag from 6.11 to 89.56 (34).

Influence of substrate- supplement combination on size of fruiting bodies

The study observed that the largest pileus-size fruiting bodies, measuring 8.40 cm, were obtained from the M1500 beds, while the smallest, at 7.5 cm, came from the M1000 beds. The M1000 (20 % mustard cake) supplementation resulted in the tallest stipe at 5.9 cm, whereas the M1500 (30 % mustard cake) had the shortest stipe at 4.9 cm. The maximum stem diameter was 0.91 cm for fruiting bodies from M1000, while the minimum was 0.71 cm from M500. A recent study reported a maximum pileus size of 6.72 cm with 2 % karanja cake supplementation and a minimum size of 4.09 cm with 2 % soybean flour supplementation. The maximum stipe length was 4.43 cm with 2 % wheat

supplementation, while the minimum was 2.62 cm with 2 % karanja cake supplementation. Additionally, the maximum stipe diameter was 3.84 cm with the addition of 2 % g flour and the minimum was 3.19 cm on wheat straw as the control (34) (Table 2). Researchers have reported that differences in pileus width may result from the substrate material, which affects stalk height, stalk diameter and cap size in mushrooms (39). In their study, the maximum stipe length of 11.17 cm was observed with T2 (wheat straw + bajra dry stem), while the minimum length was 7.50 cm with T1 (wheat straw + sarpat). The maximum pileus width in T2 was 8.33 cm and the minimum was 5.67 cm in T1 (39). Another report indicated that the maximum cap or pileus diameter on corn husk substrate was 7.9 ± 3.5 cm, whereas the minimum on paddy straw was 6.63 ± 0.66 cm. The maximum stipe diameter on a combination of corn husk and paddy straw was 1.6 ± 0.55 cm, with a minimum stipe diameter of 1.06 ± 0.11 cm and a maximum stipe length of 4.36 ± 1.01 cm on corn husk substrate (40).

Table 2. Effect of supplemented substrate over the size of fruiting bodies of *H. ulmarius*.

Sl. No.	Cultivation bag code	Diameter of pileus (cm)	Length of stipe (cm)	Diameter of stipe (cm)
1	M500	8.35 ^b	5.5 ^a	0.71 ^b
2	M1000	7.5 ^c	5.9 ^{bc}	0.91 ^{bc}
3	M1500	8.4 ^{bc}	4.9 ^a	0.83 ^a
	LSD	0.01	0.002	0.032
	CV	6.25	9.26	12.32

*Values in the same column followed by a different lowercase letter are significantly different at $P < 0.05$; LSD- Least Significant Difference Test at $P \leq 0.05$; CV- Coefficient of Variance

Influence on proximate analysis and nutritive composition of fruiting bodies harvested from varying supplemented bags

The ash content was highest in fruiting bodies from M500 bags, at 0.98 %, followed by M1500 at 0.97 %, M1000 at 0.48 % and the control at 0.19 %. Several studies have reported significantly higher ash contents, ranging from 4.3 % to 13.06 % (30, 38, 40-44). The volatile content was highest in mushrooms grown on M1000 at 2.9 % and lowest in M500 at 2.03 %. The volatile contents for M1500 and the control substrates were 2.06 % and 2.70 % respectively. The proximate analysis of *Hypsizygos ulmarius* grown on supplemented substrates was compared to the control substrate (Table 1). The moisture content was lowest in the control at 83.05 % and highest in M500 at 93.05 %, with M1500 showing a moisture content of 87.75 %. Studies on various edible mushrooms, including both fruiting bodies and submerged cultures, report moisture content ranging between 85 % and 95 % (28). Another study using the same strain of *Hypsizygos ulmarius* (IIHR Hul) found a comparable moisture content of 90.06 % (41). For related species like *Pleurotus eryngii*, moisture content ranged from 85.10 % on sugarcane bagasse to 88.66 % on wheat straw (38). Literature shows moisture content ranges from 83.05 % to 91.8 % (28, 42), while another study reported a range of 61.66 % to 69.86 % (40). Variations in moisture content can

be attributed to differences in substrate, fungal strain, substrate bed moisture and environmental conditions during cultivation.

Proximate nutritive composition

The protein percentage in the mushrooms ranged from 25.16 % to 38.06 %, reflecting the increased concentration of supplementation. A recent study on the same species reported a lower protein percentage of 12.85 % to 17.00 % with various supplements at different concentrations (44) (Table 1). In another study of various edible mushrooms, the protein concentration ranged from 8.60 % in *Trametes versicolor* to 38.7 % in *Ophiocordyceps sinensis* (28). Research on the same species with seaweed supplementation found that crude protein concentration increased in all fruiting bodies compared to the control substrate, with values ranging from a minimum of 17.37 % to a maximum of 21.22 % (30).

The total carbohydrate percentage in the mushrooms varied from 58.24 % in M1500 to 71.91 % in M500. A recent study reported carbohydrate content ranging from 66.54 % (with 30 % maximum supplementation) to 75.15 % (with 10 % minimum supplementation), which is in agreement with the current findings (44). Another study found that the maximum soluble carbohydrate content in the control sample without supplementation was 11.91 %, while seaweed supplementation at 5 %, 10 % and 20 % resulted in carbohydrate contents of 10.53 %, 10.13 % and 5.75 % respectively (34). Additionally, the total carbohydrate percentage in most edible and medicinal Basidiomycetes mushrooms typically ranges from 42.9 % to 83.5 % (28). In contrast, another study reported a lower carbohydrate content of 32.00 % to 47.81 % for the same species (40).

The crude fat percentage was highest in *Hypsizygus ulmarius* fruiting bodies harvested from M1500 at 2.73 %, followed by M1000 at 2.62 %, M500 at 2.07 % and the control at 2.01 %. The study observed a broader range of lipid content in edible mushrooms, from 0.8 % to 7.9 %. In *H. ulmarius*, a similar fat range of 1.7 % to 3.50 % has been reported (28, 30, 36). For *H. ulmarius* grown on seaweed-alternated rice straw substrates, the fat content ranged from 1.48 % to 1.98 %. Some studies have reported even higher fat contents, ranging from 4.80 % to 10.03 % and up to 26.20 % (40, 41). The fiber content was highest in fruiting bodies from M500 at 13.19 %, followed by the control at 12.41 %, M1500 at 10.98 % and M1000 at 8.75 %. These values are lower than previously reported ranges of 15.75 % to 19.42 % and 15.45 % to 19.45 % (30, 41).

Conclusion

According to our findings, mustard cake can be used as a significant supplement to enhance yield, biological efficiency and nutritional content. The integration of mustard cake was observed to increase the time required for mycelium run and pinhead formation, but it promotes the faster development of fruiting bodies, which helps in obtaining flushes earlier as it shortens the crop cycle. The biological

efficiency, protein content and crude fat percentage of *H. ulmarius* were positively correlated with the concentration of mustard cake supplementation. However, while the total carbohydrate percentage decreased with higher mustard cake supplementation, both protein and fat percentages increased. Therefore, a 20 % mustard cake supplementation (M1000) is recommended for optimal yield and nutritional value in *H. ulmarius* cultivation.

Acknowledgements

The Author's are very grateful to Department of Biotechnology, School of Applied and Life Sciences, Uttaranchal University, Uttarakhand and Training and Research Institute, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, for providing Lab Support to complete Research work.

Authors' contributions

RC conducts experiments and initial draft of the manuscript. The experiment's framework was created by SR, RA and RK after retrieving research and review publications. The statistical analysis was carried out and the results were compiled by JCP, MT and PKC. Technical help is given by SK, who also edits the manuscript and IR Finalize manuscript for publishing form and oversees the entire work as supervisor.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest: Authors do not have any conflict of interests to declare.

Ethical issues: None.

References

1. Thakur MP, Singh HK. Mushrooms, their bioactive compounds and medicinal uses: A review. *Medicinal Plants*. 2013;5(1):1-20. <https://doi.org/10.5958/j.0975-6892.5.1.004>
2. Praveen G, Ruchika S, Kamna S. Diversity of wild mushroom flora from Indian Thar Desert. In: Proceedings of 8th International Conference on Mushroom Biology and Mushroom Products (ICMBMP8), New Delhi, India, 19-22 November 2014. I & II: 92-97.
3. Maity P, Sen IK, Chakraborty I, Mondal S, Bar H, Bhanja SK, Maity GN. Biologically active polysaccharide from edible mushrooms: A review. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*. 2021;172:408-17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.01.081>
4. Titilawo MA, Oluduro AO, Odeyemi O. Proximate and chemical properties of some underutilized Nigerian wild mushrooms. *Journal of Microbiology, Biotechnology and Food Sciences*. 2020;10:390-97. <https://doi.org/10.15414/jmbfs.2020.10.3.390-397>
5. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. *World Population Prospects 2022: Methodology of the United Nations Population Estimates and Projections* (UN DESA/POP/2022/TR/NO. 4).
6. Gergel SE, Powell B, Baudron F, Wood SL, Rhemtulla JM, Kennedy G, Sunderland TC. Conceptual links between landscape diversity and diet diversity: a roadmap for transdisciplinary research. *BioScience*. 2020;70:563-75. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa048>

7. Paroda RS, editor. Reorienting Indian agriculture: challenges and opportunities. CAB International. 2018;313. <https://doi.org/10.1079/9781786395177.0000>
8. Gupta S, Summuna B, Gupta M, Annepu SK. Edible mushrooms: cultivation, bioactive molecules and health benefits. *Bioactive Molecules in Food*. 2018;1:1-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-54528-8_86-1
9. Somasundaram J, Brijesh Y, Ram CD, Anandkumar N, Nishant KS, Srinivasa R, et al. Mushroom farming: A review focusing on soil health, nutritional security and environmental sustainability. *Farming System*. 2024;2(3):100098. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.farsys.2024.100098>
10. Sharma VP, Annepu SK, Gautam Y, Singh M, Kamal S. Status of mushroom production in India. *Mushroom Research*. 2017;26:111-20.
11. Kumari J, Jaiswal RR. Scientific research and its approach towards the mushroom production and industrial developments. *International Journal of Home Science*. 2023;9:157-62.
12. Dixit R, Shukla PK. Effect of supplemented nutrition on yield of oyster mushroom, *Hypsizygu ulmarius*. *Indian Phytopathology*. 2012;65(3):286-88.
13. Ranjini R, Padmavathi T. Phenol tolerance and degradation profile of novel edible mushroom *Hypsizygu ulmarius* in ligninolytic and non-ligninolytic media. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci*. 2012;3(4):987-94.
14. Mondal SR, Rehana J, Noman MS, Adhikary SK. Comparative study on growth and yield performance of oyster mushroom (*Pleurotus florida*) on different substrates. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University*. 2010;8:213-20. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jbau.v8i2.7928>
15. Miles PG, Chang ST. *Mushrooms: Cultivation, nutritional value, medicinal effect and environmental impact* (2nd ed.). CRC. 2004; Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9780203492086>
16. Kumar K, Lal AA, Mohle KK. Evaluation of different substrates for growth, yield and nutritive value of *Hypsizygu ulmarius* (Blue Oyster Mushroom). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019;8(6):1634-38.
17. Tang EN, Ngome FA, Ndindengc SA, Bigoga JD, Suh C, Moreira J. Rice residues can be converted to functional health nutrients through mushroom (*Pleurotus ostreatus* Jacq.ex Fr.) Kummer production. *Agriculture and Natural Resources*. 2019;53:506-14.
18. Alam N, Amin R, Khair A, Lee TS. Influence of different supplements on the commercial cultivation of milky white mushroom. *Mycobiology*. 2010;38:184-88. <https://doi.org/10.4489/MYCO.2010.38.3.184>
19. Mleczeck M, Gąsecka M, Budka A, Niedzielski P, Siwulski M, Kalač P, Rzymyski P. Changes in mineral composition of six strains of *Pleurotus* after substrate modifications with different share of nitrogen forms. *European Food Research and Technology*. 2021;247:245-57. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-020-03622-9>
20. El Sebaaly Z, Assadi F, Sassine YN, Shaban N. Substrate types effect on nutritional composition of button mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*). *Poljoprivreda I Sumarstvo*. 2019;65:73-80. <https://doi.org/10.17707/AgricultForest.65.1.08>
21. Girmay Z, Gorems W, Birhanu G, Zewdie S. Growth and yield performance of *Pleurotus ostreatus* (Jacq. Fr.) Kumm (oyster mushroom) on different substrates. *Amb Express*. 2016;6:1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-016-0265-1>
22. Peksen A, Yakupoglu G, Yakupoglu T, Gulser C, OzturkE, OzdemirN. Changes in chemical compositions of substrates before and after *Ganoderma lucidum* cultivation. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2011;27:637-42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-010-0500-x>
23. Öztürk C, Atila F. Changes in lignocellulosic fractions of growing substrates during the cultivation of *Hypsizygu ulmarius* mushroom and its effects on mushroom productivity. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2021;288:110403. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2021.110403>
24. Suwannarach N, Kumla J, Zhao Y, Kakumyan P. Impact of cultivation substrate and microbial community on improving mushroom productivity: A review. *Biology*. 2022;11(4):569. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology11040569>
25. Chang ST, Lau OW, Cho KY. The cultivation and nutritional value of *Pleurotus sajor-caju*. *European Journal of Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 1981;12:58-62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00508120>
26. Kaewnarin K, Suwannarach N, Kumla J, Lumyong S. Phenolic profile of various wild edible mushroom extracts from Thailand and their antioxidant properties, anti-tyrosinase and hyperglycaemic inhibitory activities. *Journal of Functional Foods*. 2016;27:352-64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2016.09.008>
27. AOAC. Determination of moisture, ash, protein and fat. *Official Method of Analysis of the Association of Analytical Chemists*. 18th Edition, AOAC, Washington DC;2005.
28. Cohen N, Cohen J, Asatiani MD, Varshney VK, Yu HT, Yang YC, Wasser SP. Chemical composition and nutritional and medicinal value of fruit bodies and submerged cultured mycelia of culinary-medicinal higher Basidiomycetes mushrooms. *International Journal of Medicinal Mushrooms*. 2014; 16 (3):273-91. <https://doi.org/10.1615/intjmedmushr.v16.i3.80>
29. Kolawole FL, Akinwande BA, Ade-Omowaye BI. Physicochemical properties of novel cookies produced from orange-fleshed sweet potato cookies enriched with sclerotium of edible mushroom (*Pleurotus tuberregium*). *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*. 2020;19:174-78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2018.09.001>
30. Hausiku MK, Mupambwa HA. Seaweed amended rice straw substrate and its influence on health-related nutrients, trace elements, growth and yield of edible white elm mushroom (*Hypsizygu ulmarius*). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 2018;2763-69.
31. Ragunathan R, Swaminathan K. Nutritional status of *Pleurotus* spp. grown on various agro-wastes. *Food Chemistry*. 2003;80:371-75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146\(02\)00275-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(02)00275-3)
32. Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dicky DA. *Principles and procedures of statistics, a biometrical approach*. 3rd Edition, McGraw Hill, Inc. Book Co., New York; 1997:352-58.
33. Sen A, Dhal A, Pradhan T, Jena B. Growth behaviour and yield of blue oyster mushroom on the basis of various spawn as well as bed substrates: Evaluation of blue oyster mushroom on various spawn and bed substrates. *Journal of AgriSearch*. 2022;9: 88-91. <https://doi.org/10.21921/jas.v9i01.9900>
34. Khade RS, Jadhav AC, Dhavale MC, Gaikwad AP. Evaluation of different supplementations on growth and yield of elm oyster (*Hypsizygu ulmarius*) mushroom. *Int J CurrMicrobiol Appl Sci*. 2019;8:1084-95. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.811.128>
35. Joshi S, Borkar PG, Saykar AD, Pawar SV. Assessment of biological efficiency of *Pleurotus sajor-caju*, *P. florida*, *P. citrinopileatus* and *Hypsizygu*. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2018;6:2299-2301.
36. Eswaran A, Henry DC, Jaiganesh V. Effect of different substrate alone and in combination on the sporophore production of elm oyster mushroom *Hypsizygu ulmarius*. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019;8:3167-71.
37. Malayil S, Chanakya HN, Ashwath R, Suresh H. Biogas digester liquid as a supplement for higher yields of *Hypsizygu ulmarius*. *Environmental Technology and Innovation*. 2017;8:269-81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2017.05.001>

38. Sardar H, Ali MA, Anjum MA, Nawaz F, Hussain S, Naz S, Karimi SM. Agro-industrial residues influence mineral elements accumulation and nutritional composition of king oyster mushroom (*Pleurotus eryngii*). *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2017;225:327-34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.07.010>
39. Munna J, Lal AA, Singh PK. Performance of different substrate on the production and nutritional composition of blue oyster mushroom (*Hypsizygus ulmarius* (Bull.: Fr.) Redhead). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*. 2018;6:366-68.
40. Balasubramanian S, Kannan P. Growth efficiency of elm oyster mushroom (*Hypsizygus ulmarius*) using plant - based waste substrates. *Recycling and Sustainable Development*. 2023;16:29-40. <https://doi.org/10.5937/ror2301029B>
41. Usha S, Suguna V. Studies on nutrient analysis of two strains of blue oyster mushroom (*Hypsizygus ulmarius* CO₂ and IIHR Hu1). *Asian Journal of Dairy and Food Research*. 2015;34:168-70. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-0563.2015.00034.2>
42. Khan MA, Khan LA, Hossain MS, Tania M, Uddin MN. Investigation on the nutritional composition of the common edible and medicinal mushrooms cultivated in Bangladesh. *Bangladesh J Mushroom*. 2009;3:21-28.
43. Shivashankar M, Premkumari B. Preliminary qualitative phytochemical screening of edible mushroom *Hypsizygus ulmarius*. *Science, Technology and Arts Research Journal*. 2014;3:122-26. <https://doi.org/10.4314/star.v3i1.19>
44. Atíla F. Using phenol-rich agro-wastes as substrates for the cultivation of *Hypsizygus ulmarius* mushroom with enhanced functional and nutritional potential. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*. 2022;65. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-4324-2022210669>