



REVIEW ARTICLE

Nano-technology as an eco-friendly approach in agriculture

Barnali Roy^{1*} & Amit Kumar Pal²

¹Kanchrapara College, Department of Botany, Kanchrapara 743 145, West Bengal, India

²Microbiology Research Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Kalyani, Kalyani 741 235, West Bengal, India

*Email: barnaliroy_barnali@yahoo.co.in



ARTICLE HISTORY

Received: 14 December 2023

Accepted: 17 July 2024

Available online

Version 1.0 : 28 December 2024



Additional information

Peer review: Publisher thanks Sectional Editor and the other anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints & permissions information is available at https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/open_access_policy

Publisher's Note: Horizon e-Publishing Group remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Indexing: Plant Science Today, published by Horizon e-Publishing Group, is covered by Scopus, Web of Science, BIOSIS Previews, Clarivate Analytics, NAAS, UGC Care, etc See https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/indexing_abstracting

Copyright: © The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

CITE THIS ARTICLE

Roy B, Pal AK. Nano-technology as an eco-friendly approach in agriculture. Plant Science Today (Early Access). <https://doi.org/10.14719/pst.3027>

Abstract

Global food security is now the most challenging issue due to the limited natural resources, low productivity of food crops in the agricultural sector, rapid climate changes and huge population growth. Researchers are trying to adopt newer innovations and technologies to increase the production of food crops to meet the demand. Nanotechnology is one of the most challenging technologies that could enhance the productivity of crops in sustainable agriculture, giving importance to nano-fertilizers, nano-pesticides, nano-biosensors and nano-material-based remediation strategies. The physical and chemical processes to produce nanoparticles (NP) have a detrimental effect on the ecosystem. Thus, green synthesis of NPs using various microorganisms offers a more promising and sustainable alternative. Nanotechnology is very promising as it has many potential benefits like improvement of food quality, minimization of agricultural inputs and enrichment of plants by absorbing nutrients from the soil. Nanoparticles can be used as nanofertilizers, distinct agrochemical carriers and site-targeted or regulated nutrition delivery with improved crop protection. The potential of nanomaterials offers a new green revolution in sustainable agriculture.

Keywords

food security; green nanoparticles; nano-biosensors; nano-fertilizers; nanomaterials; nano-pesticides; nano-technology

Introduction

The demand for food security leads to sustainable development in agriculture through technological innovations (1) throughout the world. Global food security facing challenges throughout the world due to the limited natural resources and the huge population growth demanding the development of agriculture which must be beneficial from the economic and environmental standpoint (2). So, the development of sustainable agriculture is essential to reduce hunger and poverty in rural areas as well as new scientific inventions are needed to get better food production for the global population (3). Global agriculture is facing numerous challenges due to rapid changes in climate. Actually, sustainable agricultural growth depends on nanotechnology and the term proposed by Prof. Norio Taniguchi (1974) (4). The protection of the environment from the pollution and conservation of different species from extinction is the main target in the development of sustainable agriculture and the innovation of nanotechnology provides a big opportunity to meet the global food demand (5).

Nanotechnology is the science of small particle study between 1-100 nanometers (6). Small size with a greater surface area of different metallic

nanoparticles such as silver, gold and zinc has unique characteristics that are useful in medicine, pharmacology, water treatment, industry, anti-cancer, anti-tumor, textile engineering, electronic, surgical devices and biomedical, food processing and packaging, agriculture as nano fertilizer, nutrient delivery, etc. (7).

Green Nanoparticles

Nanoparticles can be generated under the processes of photochemical reactions, simple erosions and volcanic eruptions. Those nanoparticles that are derived from plants and microorganisms having an eco-friendly nature are green nanoparticles (NPs) (8).

Different algae, fungi (9), bacteria, Actinomycetes (10) and a group of plants (11) are used to produce nanoparticles in the procedure of Nano-biotechnology. Gold nanoparticles are produced from *Medicago sativa* and *Sesbania* plant species whereas inorganic nanoparticles composed of nickel, cobalt, zinc and copper can be produced inside plants like *Brassica juncea*, *Medicago sativa* and *Helianthus annuus* (12). Some microorganisms like *Verticillium* sp., *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus fumigatus*, *Phanerochaete chrysosporium* and *Fusarium oxysporum* are used for the biosynthesis of nanoparticles containing metal sulphide (12). Green nanotechnology can reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. The nanomaterials that may be synthesized from plant materials are known as green nanotechnology (12). Nanomaterials support eco-friendly sustainable development in the field of green nanotechnology.

Different oxidation and reduction reactions were observed between metallic ions and biomolecules that are secreted by microbial cells like sugars, carbohydrates, proteins and enzymes to produce NPs. (13). However, different microbes produce different NPs via different synthesis pathways. Sulfur-containing proteins or DNA are supposed to be used by bacteria to reduce silver, while fungi employ carboxylic group reduction or nitrate-dependent reductase to manufacture extracellular and intracellular AgNPs (14). The intracellular NPs are expensive due to the cost of extra separation and purification steps. The morphological character of NPs can be altered by different experimental parameters like pH, aeration, reactant concentration, salt content, temperature and reaction time (15) whereas these parameters should be under precise control for the betterment.

Smart delivery through nanoparticles

Nanotechnology provides us the sustainable growth in agriculture by following better management practices and conservation procedures with low wastage of agricultural materials (16). The transport of DNA molecules, organic molecules and agrochemicals are very essential in agricultural farming for sustainable agricultural development (17). For sufficient plant growth, agrochemicals are required in effective concentration but there are losses of chemicals due to leaching by photolysis or by degradation of microbes also (18). The controlled delivery of sufficient quantities of agrochemicals on the large surface is possible through the nanoparticles where micronic or submicronic particles get incorporated into agrochemicals by

various tactics like capsulation, absorption and surface ionic or weak bonding attachment of nano-matrix with effective ingredients (19).

Nano-fertilizers

The increasing world population may reach near about nine billion within 2050 and the future population demands 60-100 % more food (20). But practically the agricultural yield declines due to loss in soil fertility due to lack of proper farming practices. As a result, 40 % of the world's agricultural field is being degraded (21). Generally, one-third of crop productivity mainly depends upon the use of fertilizers whereas the rest is based on agricultural inputs (21). The nutrient use efficiency of fertilizers that are used directly into the soil or sprayed upon the leaves mostly depends upon the concentration of applied fertilizers to move the target sites (22), whereas a very low amount of fertilizers can reach the target site due to loss of chemicals, drift, runoff, hydrolysis, evaporation, photolytic or degradation of microbes also (23). The use of huge fertilizers causes an imbalance in the inherent nutrients of the soil but also causes contamination of drinking water due to the leaching of toxic chemicals into the water (22). Whereas, most of the chemicals remain in the soil and may create adverse effects in the environment that can hamper the growth of flora and fauna (24). Nanotechnology can play an active role in crop productivity through the control of efficient nutrients required for plant growth and it also monitors the quality of water and pesticides for sustainable agricultural growth (12).

There are wonderful changes in agriculture throughout the world as nano-fertilizers are being used to reduce so many problems in agriculture and to meet the demand for food crops (25). Actually, the nano-fertilizers can be generated with special attention to control the release of nutrients and to reduce the various losses during the leaching of chemicals or evaporation (26). Nanofertilizers are so many types depending on their actions (27).

The nano-fertilizers are obtained after the encapsulation of nutrients with nanomaterials which are generated by the use of both physical and chemical approaches. The targeted nutrients are encapsulated within the nanoporous materials or coated by a polymeric film-like substance which is thin-layered and delivered as particles with nano-scale dimension (28).

It has been noticed that the grain yields of rice, spring maize, soybean and winter wheat have been increased after the application of carbon nanoparticles together with fertilizers also (29). The use of porous nanomaterials like zeolites, clay or chitosan can control the uses of nitrogen and also accelerate the nutrient uptake process in the plant body (30, 31).

Several micronutrients such as manganese, boron, copper, iron, chlorine, molybdenum and zinc can play a vital role in crop productivity and the deficiency of those micronutrients can reduce the crop productivity which ultimately affects human health due to intake of micronutrient-deficient food materials (32, 33). It has been observed that the use of nano-zinc oxide enhances the shoot

and root elongation side-by-side with the rate of photosynthesis and the fresh dry weight of the plant also increases (34).

Nanopesticides

More than 90 % of conventional pesticides that are used in agriculture may be lost in the environment causing serious environmental depletion and health hazards. The development of nano-materials brings new areas in plant protection where nano-formulation of pesticides containing a very tiny number of particles may act as ingredients of pesticides that are very effective (35). The nano-encapsulated pesticides with slow-releasing properties that can promote solubility, specificity, stability and permeability also (36) and these nano-encapsulated pesticides have a positive environmental impact which increases crop protection.

Fusarium wilt in lettuce and tomato in many nations may be controlled by the application of NPs. It was reported that MgONPs can be effective in controlling green peach aphids in a greenhouse habitat (37).

Plant adaption against progressive climate change

Progressive global climate change leads to food insecurity for the growing population as the available resources are limited and the adaptation of plants in existing sensitive ecosystems is very vital (2). Plants are facing continuous environmental stresses. To overcome this stress and to regularize various functions like control of the enzymatic system of the plant body, regulation of plant hormone and regulation of uptake of toxic metal gene expression, several research efforts have been granted under sustainable agricultural systems (38) to reduce the adverse effects of climate. The adverse environmental situation like salinity stress reduces the production of food crops by approximately 23 % of cultivated land throughout the world (39). It has been noticed that the foliar application of nanoparticles like iron sulfate (FeSO_4) gives a better response in sunflower cultivars under salinity stress (40). The application of nano-material shows a better response in various cases like the foliar spray of nano-Si at 2.5 mM concentration in rice plants shows a positive response under Cd stress by controlling the accumulation of Cd (41). The nano-Si fertilizers can also reduce heavy metal accumulation which occurred in the case of conventional fertilizers (42). The potential use of nano-materials shows promising effects in the plant protection field which is environment-friendly in nature (8). The various nano-fertilizers have

been applied to various plant species but their response on plants depends upon the plant species, stage of plant growth and the composition of applied nano-materials (31).

Nanotechnology in abiotic stress management

Different abiotic stresses like salt and drought hampered plant growth and productivity (43). Nanobiotechnology can be an alternative to reduce plant stress management from the last few decades. Nanobiotechnology is presumed to improve plant physiology and molecular and biochemical processes to increase plant vigor and yield. Moreover, it can help the plant to fight against environmental factors.

Future trends and Challenges

The use of nano-sensors is very useful to check the crop growth and the conditions of soil, deficiency of micronutrients and macronutrient status, disease development and determination of toxicity that would provide us protection from environmental depletion. The development of bionic plants is very unique in which the nanoparticles are inserted into the chloroplastic cells of living plant parts that develop self-powering plants having big potentials as they are able to communicate as infrared devices (44, 45) which is very useful in farming management. It was shown that the implementation of single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) can able to increase the electron transfer rate of light-mediated chloroplasts *in vivo* conditions which is a very intelligent application (46). Another interesting area of research has been shown, where a gold electrode nano-sensor with copper nanoparticles was used to identify the pathogenic fungus spread out by controlling the salicylic acid level in the case of oil seed crops (47). So, the application of nano-sensors brings a new window for future research.

Green synthesis of NPs is a possible and environment-friendly approach for agricultural applications, such as nanopesticides, nanofertilizers and nanobiosensors. As the behaviors of these NPs in the ecosystem are not predictable and metallic NPs can be detrimental to the growth of many harmful bacteria, they can also be harmful to other neutral microbes in nature. So, it is very critical to assess more prominent ways to apply these NPs in agriculture in a sustainable manner. However, the application and toxicological screening of nanotechnology should have adequate regulations and legislation.

Table 1. Green biosynthesis of NPs using bacteria.

| Bacteria | Nanoparticle | Morphology of the nanoparticle | Size (nm) | Reference |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| <i>Aeromonas</i> sp. SH10 | Silver | - | 6.4 | (48) |
| <i>Bacillus cereus</i> | Silver | Spherical | 20-40 | (49) |
| <i>Bacillus megatherium</i> D01 | Gold | Spherical | 1.9 ± 0.8 | (50) |
| <i>Clostridium thermoaceticum</i> | Cadmium sulfide | Amorphous | - | (51) |
| <i>Corynebacterium</i> sp. SH09 | Silver | - | 10-15 | (52) |
| <i>Desulfobacteraceae</i> | Zinc sulfide | Spherical | 2-5 | (53) |
| <i>Desulfovibrio desulfuricans</i> | Palladium and selenium | - | - | (54) |

| | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|------|
| <i>Desulfovibrio vulgaris</i> | Gold, uranium and chromium | - | - | (55) |
| <i>Desulfovibrio magneticus</i> strain RS-1 | Magnetite | Crystalline | Up to 30 | (56) |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> | Cadmium sulfide | Wurtzite crystal | 2-5 | (57) |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> | Silver | Spherical | 8-9 | (58) |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> DH5 α | Silver | Spherical | 10-100 | (59) |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> DH5 α | Gold | Spherical, triangular and quasi-hexagonal | 25 \pm 8 | (60) |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> MC4100 | Gold | Spherical, triangular, hexagonal and rod shape | Less than 10 to 50 | (61) |
| <i>Geobacillus</i> sp. | Gold | Quasi-hexagonal | 5-50 | (62) |
| <i>Geovibrio ferrireducens</i> | Gold | - | - | (63) |
| <i>Klebsiella aerogenes</i> | Cadmium sulfide | Crystalline | 20-200 | (64) |
| <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains | Silver | Crystalline, hexagonal, triangular and Cluster | 15-500 | (65) |
| <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains | Gold | Crystalline, hexagonal, triangular and Cluster | 20-50 and above 100 | (65) |
| <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains | Silver-gold alloys | Crystalline and cluster | 100-300 | (65) |
| <i>Lactobacillus</i> strains | Titanium | Spherical | 40-60 | (66) |
| <i>Lactobacillus casei</i> subsp. <i>casei</i> | Silver | Spherical | 25-50 | (67) |
| <i>Magnetospirillum magnetotacticum</i> | Magnetite | Cluster (folded-chain and flux-closure ring) | - | (68) |
| <i>Nocardiopsis</i> sp. MBRC-1 | Silver | Spherical | ~45 | (69) |
| <i>Plectonema boryanum</i> UTEX 485 | Gold | Cubic and octahedral Platelet | ~1-10 and 10 to 6000 | (70) |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> | Gold | - | 15-30 | (71) |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> | Lanthanum | Crystalline and needle-like | - | (72) |
| <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> | Gold | Spherical | 50-70 | (73) |
| <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> NCIM 2650 | Silver | Spherical | ~70 | (74) |
| <i>Pseudomonas stutzeri</i> AG259 | Silver | Hexagonal, equilateral triangle, crystalline silver and monoclinic silver sulfide acanthite | 35-46 and up to 200 | (75) |
| <i>Rhodobacter sphaeroides</i> | Zinc sulfide | Spherical | Average diameter of 8 | (76) |
| <i>Rhodopseudomonas capsulata</i> | Gold | Nanoplate and spherical | 10-20 | (77) |

Conclusion

At present sustainable agriculture is a promising way to provide human food that should take modern knowledge to bring green revolution in the agricultural field to meet the global food demand. The target of nano-materials used in sustainable agriculture is to minimize nutrient losses and to increase the production of food crops. More emphasis should be given to reducing the gaps in our knowledge regarding the properties of different nano-materials and their use as every scientific innovation is being targeted to meet the demand of human welfare. The advancement of nanotechnology in environmental protection and to generate quality food products brings new hope for global food security.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial help from DST-PURSE programme of Department of Science and Technology, GOI, India.

Authors' contributions

BR designed the manuscript. BR and AKP wrote the manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflict of interest.

Ethical issues: None

References

- Dwivedi S, Saquib Q, Al-Khedhairi AA, Musarrat J. Understanding the role of nanomaterials in agriculture. In: Microbial Inoculants in Sustainable Agricultural Productivity; Singh, D.P., Singh, H.B., Prabha, R., Eds.; Springer: New Delhi, India; 2016. pp. 271-88. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2644-4_17
- Mukhopadhyay SS. Nanotechnology in agriculture: prospects and constraints. *Nanotechnology, Science and Applications*. 2014 Aug 4;6:3-71. <https://doi.org/10.2147/NSA.S39409>
- Yunlong C, Smit B. Sustainability in agriculture: a general review. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. 1994 Jul 1;49 (3):299-307. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8809\(94\)90059-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8809(94)90059-0)
- Khan MR, Rizvi TF. Nanotechnology: scope and application in plant disease management. *Plant Pathol J*. 2014;13(3):214-31. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ppj.2014.214.231>
- Ashraf SA, Siddiqui AJ, Abd Elmoneim OE, Khan MI, Patel M, Alreshidi M, et al. Innovations in nanoscience for the sustainable development of food and agriculture with implications on

- health and environment. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2021 May 10;768:144990. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.144990>
6. Dhoondia ZH, Chakraborty H. *Lactobacillus* mediated synthesis of silver oxide nanoparticles. *INTECH*. 2012;(2):1-5. <https://doi.org/10.5772/55741>
 7. Kenneth KY, Wong K, Xuelai LX. Silver nanoparticles—the real “silver bullet” in clinical medicine. *J Med Chem Commun*. 2010; (1):125-31. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c0md00069h>
 8. He X, Deng H, Hwang HM. The current application of nanotechnology in food and agriculture. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis*. 2019 Jan 1;27(1):1-21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfda.2018.12.002>
 9. Ahmad A, Mukherjee P, Senapati S, Mandal D, Khan MI, Kumar R, Sastry M. Extracellular biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles using the fungus *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Collide Surface B:Biointerf*. 2003;28(4):313-18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7765\(02\)00174-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7765(02)00174-1)
 10. Sastry M, Ahmad A, Khan MI, Kumar R. Biosynthesis of metal nanoparticles using fungi and actinomycete. *Current Science*. 2003;(85):162-70.
 11. Nabikhan A, Kandasamy K, Raj A, Alikunhi NM. Synthesis of antimicrobial silver nanoparticles by callus and leaf extracts from saltmarsh plant, *sesuvium portulacastrum*. *Colloid and Surfaces B*. 2010;(79):488-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2010.05.018>
 12. Park TJ, Lee KG, Lee SY. Advances in microbial biosynthesis of metal nanoparticles. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. 2016 Jan;100:521-34. [CrossRef] [PubMed] <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6904-7>
 13. El-Seedi HR, El-Shabasy RM, Khalifa SA, Saeed A, Shah A, Shah R, Guo W. Metal nanoparticles fabricated by green chemistry using natural extracts: Biosynthesis, mechanisms and applications. *RSC Adv*. 2019;9(42):24539-59. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9RA02225B>
 14. Sabri MA, Umer A, Awan GH, Hassan MF, Hasnain A. Selection of suitable biological method for the synthesis of silver nanoparticles. *Nanomater Nanotechnol*. 2016;6:29. <https://doi.org/10.5772/62644>
 15. Asiya SI, Pal K, Kralj S, El-Sayyad GS, de Souza FG, Narayanan T. Sustainable preparation of gold nanoparticles via green chemistry approach for biogenic applications. *Mater Today Chem*. 2020;17:100327. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtchem.2020.100327>
 16. Jampilek J, Králová K. Application of nanotechnology in agriculture and food industry, its prospects and risks. *Ecological Chemistry and Engineering S*. 2015 Sep 1;22(3):321-61. <https://doi.org/10.1515/eces-2015-0018>
 17. Joga MR, Zotti MJ, Smagge G, Christiaens O. RNAi efficiency, systemic properties and novel delivery methods for pest insect control: what we know so far. *Frontiers in Physiology*. 2016 Nov 17;7:553. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2016.00553>
 18. Nair R, Varghese SH, Nair BG, Maekawa T, Yoshida Y, Kumar DS. Nanoparticulate material delivery to plants. *Plant Science*. 2010 Sep 1;179(3):154-63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2010.04.012>
 19. Nuruzzaman MD, Rahman MM, Liu Y, Naidu R. Nanoencapsulation, nano-guard for pesticides: a new window for safe application. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2016 Feb 24;64(7):1447-83. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b05214>
 20. Pandey G. Challenges and future prospects of agrinotechnology for sustainable agriculture in India. *Environmental Technology and Innovation*. 2018 Aug 1;11:299-307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2018.06.012>
 21. Kale AP, Gawade SN. Studies on nanoparticle induced nutrient use efficiency of fertilizer and crop productivity. *Green Chem Technol Lett*. 2016 Apr 7;2(2):88-92. <https://doi.org/10.18510/gctl.2016.226>
 22. Solanki P, Bhargava A, Chhipa H, Jain N, Panwar J. Nano-fertilizers and their smart delivery system. *Nanotechnologies in Food and Agriculture*. 2015;81-101. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14024-7_4
 23. Sabir A, Yazar K, Sabir F, Kara Z, Yazici MA, Goksu N. Vine growth, yield, berry quality attributes and leaf nutrient content of grapevines as influenced by seaweed extract (*Ascophyllum nodosum*) and nanosize fertilizer pulverizations. *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2014 Aug 15;175:1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2014.05.021>
 24. Heffer P, Prud'homme M. Fertilizer outlook 2012–2016. In: 80th IFA Annual Conference; 2012 May. pp. 21-23.
 25. Shukla P, Chaurasia P, Younis K, Qadri OS, Faridi SA, Srivastava G. Nanotechnology in sustainable agriculture: studies from seed priming to post-harvest management. *Nanotechnology for Environmental Engineering*. 2019 Dec;4:1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41204-019-0058-2>
 26. Miao YF, Wang ZH, Li SX. Relation of nitrate N accumulation in dryland soil with wheat response to N fertilizer. *Field Crops Research*. 2015;170:119-30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2014.09.016>
 27. Lateef A, Nazir R, Jamil N, Alam S, Shah R, Khan MN, Saleem M. Synthesis and characterization of zeolite based nanocomposite: An environment friendly slow release fertilizer. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*. 2016 Sep 15;232:174-83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2016.06.020>
 28. Subramanian KS, Manikandan A, Thirunavukkarasu M, Rahale CS. Nano-fertilizers for balanced crop nutrition. *Nanotechnologies in Food and Agriculture*. 2015;69-80. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14024-7_3
 29. Liu J, Zhang YD, Zhang ZM. The application research of nanobiotechnology to promote increasing of vegetable production. *Hubei Agricultural Sciences*. 2009;1:20-25.
 30. Millán G, Agosto F, Vázquez M, Botto L, Lombardi L, Juan L. Use of clinoptilolite as a carrier for nitrogen fertilizers in soils of the Pampean regions of Argentina. *Cien Inv Agr*. 2008 Sep 1;35(3):293-302. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-16202008000300007>
 31. Abdel-Aziz HM, Hasaneen MN, Omer AM. Nano chitosan-NPK fertilizer enhances the growth and productivity of wheat plants grown in sandy soil. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2016 Mar 2;14(1):e0902. <https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2016141-8205>
 32. Monreal CM, DeRosa M, Mallubhotla SC, Bindraban PS, Dimkpa C. Nanotechnologies for increasing the crop use efficiency of fertilizer-micronutrients. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 2016 Apr;52:423-37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-015-1073-5>
 33. Swaminathan S, Edward BS, Kurpad AV. Micronutrient deficiency and cognitive and physical performance in Indian children. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2013 May;67(5):467-74. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2013.14>
 34. Peteu SF, Oancea F, Siciua OA, Constantinescu F, Dinu S. Responsive polymers for crop protection. *Polymers*. 2010 Aug 19;2(3):229-51. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym2030229>
 35. Ul Haq I, Ijaz S. Use of metallic nanoparticles and nanoformulations as nanofungicides for sustainable disease management in plants. *Nanobiotechnology in Bioformulations*. 2019;289-316. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17061-5_12
 36. Bhattacharyya A, Duraisamy P, Govindarajan M, Buhroo AA, Prasad R. Nano-biofungicides: emerging trend in insect pest control. *Advances and Applications Through Fungal Nanobiotechnology*. 2016;307-19. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42990-8_15

37. Ghidan AY, Al-Antary TM, Awwad AM, Ayad JY. Physiological effect of some nanomaterials on pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) plants. *Fresenius Environ Bull.* 2018;27(11):7872-78.
38. Dubey A, Mailapalli DR. Nanofertilisers, nanopesticides, nanosensors of pest and nanotoxicity in agriculture. *Sustainable Agriculture Reviews.* 2016;19:307-30. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-26777-7_7
39. Onaga G, Wydra K. Advances in plant tolerance to abiotic stresses. *Plant Genomics.* 2016 Jul 14;10(9):229-72. <https://doi.org/10.5772/64350>
40. Torabian S, Zahedi M, Khoshgoftar AH. Effects of foliar spray of nano-particles of FeSO₄ on the growth and ion content of sunflower under saline condition. *Journal of Plant Nutrition.* 2017 Mar;16(5):615-23 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2016.1240187>
41. Wang ZH, Miao YF, Li SX. Effect of ammonium and nitrate nitrogen fertilizers on wheat yield in relation to accumulated nitrate at different depths of soil in drylands of China. *Field Crops Research.* 2015 Nov 1;183:211-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2015.07.019>
42. Wang S, Wang F, Gao S, Wang X. Heavy metal accumulation in different rice cultivars as influenced by foliar application of nano-silicon. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution.* 2016 Jul;227:1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-016-2928-6>
43. Gupta A, Dubey P, Kumar M, Roy A, Sharma D, Khan MM, et al. Consequences of arsenic contamination on plants and mycoremediation-mediated arsenic stress tolerance for sustainable agriculture. *Plants.* 2022;11(23):3220. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11233220>
44. Cheng HN, Klasson KT, Asakura T, Wu Q. Nanotechnology in agriculture. In: *Nanotechnology: Delivering on the Promise.* American Chemical Society; 2016.2:pp. 233-42. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2016-1224.ch012>
45. Ghorbanpour M, Fahimirad S. Plant nanobionics a novel approach to overcome the environmental challenges. *Medicinal Plants and Environmental Challenges.* 2017;247-57. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68717-9_14
46. Miller JB, Zhang S, Kos P, Xiong H, Zhou K, Perelman SS, et al. Non-viral CRISPR/Cas gene editing *in vitro* and *in vivo* enabled by synthetic nanoparticle co-delivery of Cas9 mRNA and sgRNA. *Angewandte Chemie.* 2017 Jan 19;129(4):1079-83. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201610209>
47. Wang S, Wang F, Gao S. Foliar application with nano-silicon alleviates Cd toxicity in rice seedlings. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research.* 2015 Feb;22:2837-45. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3525-0>
48. Rai A, Singh A, Ahmad A, Sastry M. Role of halide ions and temperature on the morphology of biologically synthesized gold nanotriangles. *Langmuir.* 2006 Jan 17;22(2):736-41. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la052055q>
49. Sunkar S, Nachiyar CV. Biogenesis of antibacterial silver nanoparticles using the endophytic bacterium *Bacillus cereus* isolated from *Garcinia xanthochymus*. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine.* 2012 Dec 1;2(12):953-59. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691\(13\)60006-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2221-1691(13)60006-4)
50. Wen L, Lin Z, Gu P, Zhou J, Yao B, Chen G, Fu J. Extracellular biosynthesis of monodispersed gold nanoparticles by a SAM capping route. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research.* 2009 Feb;11:279-88. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11051-008-9378-z>
51. Beveridge TJ, Murray RG. Sites of metal deposition in the cell wall of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Journal of Bacteriology.* 1980 Feb;141(2):876-87. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.141.2.876-887.1980>
52. Saifuddin N, Wong CW, Yasumira AN. Rapid biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles using culture supernatant of bacteria with microwave irradiation. *Journal of Chemistry.* 2009;6(1):61-70. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/734264>
53. Cunningham DP, Lundie Jr LL. Precipitation of cadmium by *Clostridium thermoaceticum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology.* 1993 Jan;59(1):7-14. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.59.1.7-14.1993>
54. Zhang H, Li Q, Lu Y, Sun D, Lin X, Deng X, et al. Biosorption and bioreduction of diamine silver complex by *Corynebacterium*. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology: International Research in Process, Environmental and Clean Technology.* 2005 Mar;80(3):285-90. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.1191>
55. Labrenz M, Druschel GK, Thomsen-Ebert T, Gilbert B, Welch SA, Kemner KM, et al. Formation of sphalerite (ZnS) deposits in natural biofilms of sulfate-reducing bacteria. *Science.* 2000 Dec 1;290(5497):1744-47. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.290.5497.1744>
56. Kessi J, Ramuz M, Wehrli E, Spycher M, Bachofen R. Reduction of selenite and detoxification of elemental selenium by the phototrophic bacterium *Rhodospirillum rubrum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology.* 1999 Nov 1;65(11):4734-40. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.65.11.4734-4740.1999>
57. Kashefi K, Tor JM, Nevin KP, Lovley DR. Reductive precipitation of gold by dissimilatory Fe (III)-reducing bacteria and archaea. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology.* 2001 Jul 1;67(7):3275-79. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.7.3275-3279.2001>
58. Pósfai M, Moskowitz BM, Arató B, Schüller D, Flies C, Bazylinski DA, Frankel RB. Properties of intracellular magnetite crystals produced by *Desulfovibrio magneticus* strain RS-1. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters.* 2006 Sep 30;249(3-4):444-55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.06.036>
59. Sweeney RY, Mao C, Gao X, Burt JL, Belcher AM, Georgiou G, Iverson BL. Bacterial biosynthesis of cadmium sulfide nanocrystals. *Chemistry and Biology.* 2004 Nov 1;11(11):1553-59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2004.08.022>
60. Mahanty A, Bosu RA, Panda P, Netam SP, Sarkar B. Microwave assisted rapid combinatorial synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *E. coli* culture supernatant. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci.* 2013;4(2):1030-35.
61. Ghorbani HR. Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles by *Escherichia coli*. *Asian Journal of Chemistry.* 2013 Mar 1;25(3). <https://doi.org/10.14233/ajchem.2013.12805>
62. Du L, Jiang H, Liu X, Wang E. Biosynthesis of gold nanoparticles assisted by *Escherichia coli* DH5 α and its application on direct electrochemistry of haemoglobin. *Electrochemistry Communications.* 2007 May 1;9(5):1165-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elecom.2007.01.007>
63. Deplanche K, Macaskie LE. Biorecovery of gold by *Escherichia coli* and *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans*. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering.* 2008 Apr 1;99(5):1055-64. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.21688>
64. Correa-Llantén DN, Muñoz-Ibacache SA, Castro ME, Muñoz PA, Blamey JM. Gold nanoparticles synthesized by *Geobacillus* sp. strain ID17 a thermophilic bacterium isolated from Deception Island, Antarctica. *Microbial Cell Factories.* 2013 Dec;12:1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-12-75>
65. Holmes JD, Smith PR, Evans-Gowing R, Richardson DJ, Russell DA, Sodeau JR. Energy-dispersive X-ray analysis of the extracellular cadmium sulfide crystallites of *Klebsiella aerogenes*. *Archives of Microbiology.* 1995 Feb;163:143-47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002030050184>
66. Shahverdi AR, Minaeian S, Shahverdi HR, Jamalifar H, Nohi AA. Rapid synthesis of silver nanoparticles using culture supernatants of Enterobacteria: a novel biological approach. *Process Biochemistry.* 2007 May 1;42(5):919-23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2007.02.005>

67. Nair B, Pradeep T. Coalescence of nanoclusters and formation of submicron crystallites assisted by *Lactobacillus* strains. *Crystal Growth and Design*. 2002 Jul 3;2(4):293-98. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cg0255164>
68. Prasad K, Jha AK, Kulkarni AR. *Lactobacillus* assisted synthesis of titanium nanoparticles. *Nanoscale Research Letters*. 2007 May;2:248-50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11671-007-9060-x>
69. Philipse AP, Maas D. Magnetic colloids from magnetotactic bacteria: chain formation and colloidal stability. *Langmuir*. 2002 Dec 10;18(25):9977-84. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la0205811>
70. Manivasagan P, Ven atesan J, Senthil umar K, Siva umar K, Kim SK. Biosynthesis, antimicrobial and cytotoxic effect of silver nanoparticles using a novel *Nocardiopsis* sp. MBRC-1. *Microbiol Res*. 2013;1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/287638>
71. Lengke MF, Fleet ME, Southam G. Morphology of gold nanoparticles synthesized by filamentous cyanobacteria from gold (I)-thiosulfate and gold (III)-chloride complexes. *Langmuir*. 2006 Mar 14;22(6):2780-87. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la052652c>
72. Husseiny MI, Abd El-Aziz M, Badr Y, Mahmoud MA. Biosynthesis of gold nanoparticles using *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*. 2007 Jul 1;67(3-4):1003-06. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2006.09.028>
73. Rajasree SR, Suman TY. Extracellular biosynthesis of gold nanoparticles using a gram negative bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease*. 2012 Jan 1;2:S796-99. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2222-1808\(12\)60267-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2222-1808(12)60267-9)
74. Thamilselvi V, Radha KV. Synthesis of silver nanoparticles from *Pseudomonas putida* NCIM 2650 in silver nitrate supplemented growth medium and optimization using response surface methodology. *Digest Journal of Nanomaterials and Biostructures (DJNB)*. 2013 Jul 1;8(3).
75. Haefeli C, Franklin CH, Hardy K. Plasmid-determined silver resistance in *Pseudomonas stutzeri* isolated from a silver mine. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 1984 Apr;158(1):389-92. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.158.1.389-392.1984>
76. Bai HJ, Zhang ZM, Gong J. Biological synthesis of semiconductor zinc sulfide nanoparticles by immobilized *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. *Biotechnology Letters*. 2006 Jul;28:1135-39. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-006-9063-1>
77. He S, Zhang Y, Guo Z, Gu N. Biological synthesis of gold nanowires using extract of *Rhodopseudomonas capsulata*. *Biotechnology Progress*. 2008 Mar;24(2):476-80. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bp0703174>