



RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Elucidation of genetic diversity in distinct brinjal genotypes: Multivariate analysis using D<sup>2</sup>

#### R Kousalya<sup>1</sup>, S Praneetha<sup>1\*</sup>, P Irene Vethamoni<sup>2</sup>, V Ravichandran<sup>3</sup>, K Iyanar<sup>4</sup> & S Varanavasiappan<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Vegetable Science, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India
<sup>2</sup>Department of Horticulture, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India
<sup>3</sup>Department of Crop Physiology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India
<sup>4</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India
<sup>5</sup>Department of Plant Biotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India

\*Email: praneetha.s@tnau.ac.in

## **OPEN ACCESS**

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received: 28 June 2024 Accepted: 26 August 2024 Available online Version 1.0: 17 January 2025

(**I**) Check for updates

#### **Additional information**

**Peer review**: Publisher thanks Sectional Editor and the other anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

**Reprints & permissions information** is available at https://horizonepublishing.com/ journals/index.php/PST/open\_access\_policy

**Publisher's Note**: Horizon e-Publishing Group remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Indexing: Plant Science Today, published by Horizon e-Publishing Group, is covered by Scopus, Web of Science, BIOSIS Previews, Clarivate Analytics, NAAS, UGC Care, etc See https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/ index.php/PST/indexing\_abstracting

**Copyright**: © The Author(s). This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/)

#### **CITE THIS ARTICLE**

Kousalya R, Praneetha S, Vethamoni I P, Ravichandran V, Iyanar K, Varanavasiappan S. Elucidation of genetic diversity in distinct brinjal genotypes: Multivariate analysis using D<sup>2</sup>. Plant Science Today.2025;12(1):01-05. https://doi.org/10.14719/pst.4202

## Abstract

Genetic divergence study among 45 brinjal genotypes was performed using Mahalanobsis D<sup>2</sup> statistics to find prospective genotypes for use in a breeding programme. The genotypes were assessed for 11 traits and divided into twelve clusters based on Tocher's method. Cluster I had the most genotypes (18), followed by cluster III (5), cluster V (4), cluster IV, VI and IX (3 each), cluster II, VII and X (2 each) and cluster VIII, XI and XII (1 each). The intracluster distances ranged between 0 and 1064.87. Cluster X has the largest intra-cluster distance (1064.87), followed by Cluster IV (831.08). Cluster VIII, XI, and XII had the smallest intra-cluster distance (0). The inter-cluster distances varied from 804.88 to 4758.26. The largest inter-cluster distance was between IX and XI (4758.26), followed by III and XII (3923.90), and the shortest distance was between cluster II and III (804.88). Genotypes from the cluster with a large inter-cluster distance could be selected as parents to produce superior F<sub>1</sub> hybrids and segregants. The three characters, fruit length (29.70%), number of primary branches (18.89%), and plant height (14.55%), altogether account for 63.14% of overall divergence. As a result, the combination of those traits could be exploited to develop superior varieties with higher yields.

## **Keywords**

clusters; distances; divergence; mahalanobsis method; per cent contribution

## Introduction

Brinjal, an herbaceous plant belonging to the Solanaceae family, is considered to have originated in India. The family Solanaceae contains about 90 genera and over 2000 species. China is considered the secondary centre of the origin of brinjal (1). India cultivates 0.72 million hectares and produces 12.66 million tons of brinjal (NHB Database, 2018-2019). Brinjal serves as a source of various minerals like phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, manganese, potassium and copper. Fruits of brinjal can be eaten boiled, grilled, roasted, stewed, baked, dried, pickled, pureed or breaded, microwaved, mashed and fried (2). Brinjal plant has analgesic, antioxidant, premenstrual syndrome, hypolipidemic and anaphylactic reaction inhibitory activities (3).

A wide range of diversity is seen in this crop including plant stature, stem colour, size, shape and angle of leaf, fruit size and shape, fruit colour and texture and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stress (4). In any crop

#### KOUSALYA ET AL

development program, understanding genetic diversity among breeding materials is critical. It helps to choose suitable parents for the hybridization program, resulting in superior hybrids and desired recombinants. Mahalanobis  $D^2$  analysis appears to be a powerful tool for measuring genotypic divergence between biological populations and determining the proportional contributions of various components to overall divergence at inter and intracluster levels (5, 6). Its ability to consider multiple traits and their correlations makes it a valuable tool for developing new plant varieties with desirable characteristics. In light of this, efforts were made to obtain information regarding the genetic diversity found in the 45 different genotypes of brinjal, as this aids in the breeding process by enabling the selection of promising parents for future advancements.

## **Materials and Methods**

The study was conducted in the Department of Vegetable Science at Tami Nadu Agricultural University in Coimbatore in both Kharif and Rabi seasons of 2023–2024. Fortyfive brinjal genotypes gathered from various locations in Tamil Nadu were used as base material for this experiment (Table 1). The investigation was carried out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. The standard package of practices was adopted uniformly. The following observations *viz.*, Plant height (cm), Number of primary branches , Days to 1<sup>st</sup> flowering, Days to 50% flowering, Days to 1<sup>st</sup> harvesting, Fruit length (cm), Fruit girth (cm), Fruit weight (g), Fruit borer incidence (%), Number of fruits per plant and Fruit yield (kg/plant) were recorded.

The genetic divergence of brinjal genotypes was evaluated using the D<sup>2</sup> approach proposed by Mahalanobis. Tocher's approach was used for grouping genotypes (7). Inter and intra-cluster distances, as well as the percentage of each trait contributing to diversity were calculated (8). The statistical analyses were performed using TNAUSTAT software package.In another studyeight clusters were recorded among 30 brinjal genotypes, in which

### **Results and Discussion**

The results of the ANOVA indicated that majority of the traits had significant differences, suggesting that there is an enormous amount of variability present among the genotypes. Using Mahalanobis's D<sup>2</sup> approach, 45 genotypes were categorized into twelve unique groups. Table 2 displays the clustering pattern for 45 genotypes. Among the clusters, cluster I recorded 18 genotypes which was followed by cluster III with 5 genotypes, cluster V with 4 genotypes, cluster IV, VI and IX with 3 genotypes each and cluster II, VII and X, with 2 genotypes each. Clusters VIII, XI and XII had the least number of genotypes (1 genotype each). A previous study noticed five clusters among 20 genotypes, in which cluster IV was the largest consisting of 6 genotypes (9). In another research, eight clusters among 30 brinjal genotypes were recorded, in which cluster I had 22 genotypes (10).

Table 3 illustrates the average intra- and intercluster values. The intra-cluster values were lower than the inter-cluster values, indicating that genotypes are

Table 1. List of 45 brinjal genotypes used in the study.

S.No	Genotypes	Source					
1	Periyakottai Kathiri	Sivagangai					
2	Varikathiri	Coimbatore					
3	Puliampoo kathiri	Erode					
4	Sevanthampatti local	Trichy					
5	Dindigul green long	Dindigul					
6	Poonaithalai kathiri	Salem					
7	Pachai kathiri	Madurai					
8	Puliampatti kathiri	Krishnagiri					
9	Ariyanayagipuram local	Tirunelveli					
10	Kannadi kathirikathiri	Erode					
11	Kinathukadavu local	Coimbatore					
12	Negamam kathiri	Coimbatore					
13	Andarkulam	Kanyakumari					
14	Aladipatti local	Virudhunagar					
15	Pandiyur local	Ramanathapuram					
16	Kottampatti kathiri	Coimbatore					
17	Sankarankoil kathiri	Tenkasi					
18	Vasudevanallur kathiri	Tenkasi					
19	Alavayal kathiri	Pudukkottai District					
20	Salur Kathiri	Sivagangai					
21	Vazhuthanai kathiri	Kanyakumari					
22	Udha neela kathiri	Salem					
23	Udha kathiri	Coimbatore					
24	Virudhunagar local	Virudhunagar					
25	Annur local	Coimbatore					
26	Ujala cluster	Tiruvallur					
27	Ujala long	Perambalur					
28	Ujala oblong	Tiruvallur					
29	Neela kathiri	Salem					
30	Vilakethi kathiri	Erode					
31	Rayakotai kathiri	Krishnagiri					
32	Kumbakonam kathiri	Kumbakonam					
33	Namakkal kathiri	Nammakal					
34	Bhavani long	Erode					
35	Bhavani oblong	Erode					
36	Dharapuram kathiri	Tirupur					
37	Kallimandhayam kathiri	Dindigul					
38	Vellore kathiri	Vellore					
39	Bhavani cluster	Erode					
40	Vellakoil kathiri	Tirupur					
41	Yenthal purple type	Tiruvannmalai					
42	Sillukkudi oblong	Tiruvannmalai					
43	Sillukkudi round	Tiruvannmalai					
44	Pungavadi kathiri	Salem					
45	Hosur local	Krishnagiri					

#### Table 2. Clustering pattern of 45 genotypes of brinjal.

Clusters	Number of geno- types	Genotypes
I	18	Pungavadi kathiri, Hosur local, Ariyanayagipuram local, Sillukkudi oblong, Puliampoo kathiri, Sillukkudi round, Alavayal kathiri, Kinathukadavu local, Aladipatti local, Puliampatti kathiri, Pachai kathiri, Annur local, Salur Kathiri, Vellakoil kathiri, Poonaithalai kathiri, Sankarankoil kathiri, Ujala long, Ujala oblong
Ш	2	Neela kathiri, Dharapuram kathiri
111	5	Vilakethi kathiri, Vellore kathiri, Kallimandhayam kathiri, Namakkal kathiri, Kannadi kathiri- kathiri
IV	3	Varikathiri, Kottampatti kathiri, Bhavani cluster
V	4	Dindigul green long, Vasudevanallur kathiri, Udha kathiri, Negamam kathiri
VI	3	Sevanthampatti local, Bhavani long, Bhavani oblong
VII	2	Periyakottai Kathiri, Rayakotai kathiri
VIII	1	Ujala cluster
IX	3	Vazhuthanai kathiri, Udha neela kathiri, Kumbakonam kathiri
Х	2	Pandiyur local, Andarkulam
XI	1	Yenthal purple type
XII	1	Virudhunagar local

Table 3. Intra and inter-cluster D<sup>2</sup> values of 12 clusters of brinjal.

Clus- ters	Т	II	ш	IV	v	VI	VII	VIII	IX	x	XI	XII
I	707.45	1163.83	1291.59	956.73	1445.26	1324.21	1266.02	1294.81	3033.35	2412.55	1295.37	2641.92
н		702.83	804.88	1557.45	2235.67	2504.94	1337.57	1268.00	2679.73	2514.60	1689.96	3784.57
ш			692.30	1229.78	2239.58	2079.66	1385.87	1529.42	2119.97	2102.38	1794.30	3923.90
IV				831.08	1204.13	939.58	1939.22	909.16	2735.07	2391.10	1650.95	2929.32
v					437.37	1357.57	2858.49	1457.54	2454.70	2406.44	3236.46	1316.09
VI						292.33	2569.47	1321.91	3039.85	2635.00	1554.02	1947.88
VII							766.23	2794.25	2734.62	3768.22	1380.83	3823.26
VIII								0	3823.03	2814.76	1507.35	3037.58
IX									739.54	3666.79	4758.26	3608.41
х										1064.87	3846.18	3159.85
хі											0	3778.55
XII												0

homogeneous within each cluster but heterogeneous between them. These results are consistent with previous studies (11, 12, 13). The average intra-cluster distance ranged from 0 to 1064.87, as shown in Table 3. Cluster X (1064.87) had the greatest intra-cluster distance, followed by clusters IV (831.08), VII (766.23), IX (739.54), clusters I (707.45),II (702.83), III (692.30), V (437.37) and VI (292.33). Cluster VIII, XI, and XII had the shortest intra-cluster distance (0). Cluster X, followed by Cluster IV, showed the highest intra-cluster distance, indicating that the genotypes in these clusters were more divergent than in any other cluster. The maximum and minimum intra-cluster values in clusters V and IV were 171.37 and 84.27, respectively (14). Cluster III had the largest intra-cluster distance (103.53), whereas clusters II, VI and VII had the smallest (15). The average inter-cluster distance ranged from 804.88 to 4758.26. The inter-cluster distance was largest between IX and XI (4758.26), followed by III and XII (3923.90), X and XI (3846.18) and VII and XII (3823.26), with clusters II and III having the least distance (804.88). The greatest intercluster distance was recorded between IX and XI, followed by III and XII. As a result, the genotypes in these clusters were highly divergent, and the parent could be chosen from these clusters for the crossing programme to produce superior  $F_1$  hybrids and segregants. Maximum and minimum inter-cluster distance values of 8362.80 (cluster III and cluster V) and 1387.00 (cluster II and cluster) respectively were noticed by (16). In contrast, the range from 35.96 (I and II) to 1584.40 (VIII and X) was found in another study among 110 genotypes of brinjal (17).

Cluster means for 45 genotypes are shown in Table 4. For plant height, Cluster XI exhibited maximum values whereas, minimum value was noticed in the cluster II (59.91). For number of primary branches, cluster XII possessed higher value (13.25), while cluster III showed less value (4.21). For earliness traits like days to 1<sup>st</sup> flowering, days to 50% flowering and days to 1st harvest, minimum values of 34.31, 46.58 and 56.50 were found in the cluster V respectively. For fruit length maximum value was found in cluster IX (19.36) and a minimum value was found in cluster XI (5.84). For girth and weight of fruit maximum values were exhibited in cluster X of 19.34 and 99.90 respectively. Minimum value for fruit girth and fruit weight was recorded in the cluster II (11.25) and cluster VII (36.82). Cluster V showed minimum fruit borer infestation of 13.76 whereas, maximum infestation was noticed in cluster VII (18.26). Cluster VIII had the greatest mean value for number of fruits (42.94) and yield per plant (2.88). While lowest values for these traits were recorded in cluster X (22.69) and cluster VII (1.16). For earliness and maximum yield, genotypes in cluster V and VIII could be used as parents to produce superior hybrids or transgressive segregants in future generations. From this result, it is evident that different traits indicated superiority with corresponding clusters so breeders should select genotypes from specific clusters based on the needs of the crop improvement programme. These results are consistent with the findings of (13, 18, 19).



Fig. 1. Percentage contribution of traits to genetic diversity.

divergence. Thus, selecting divergent parents based on these characteristics will be beneficial for harnessing hybrid vigour in brinjal. The factors that contributed most to genetic diversity were fruit yield per hectare (15.71%), followed by fruit length (15.67%) and plant height (13.76%) (18). Similar studies were also carried out by (11) and (15).

## Conclusion

The present investigation revealed significant genetic divergence among the 45 brinjal genotypes. From cluster means, it is evident that different traits indicated superior-

S.No	Tusita	Cluster means of brinjal											
	Traits	I	П	ш	IV	v	VI	VII	VIII	IX	х	XI	XII
1	РН	79.79	59.91	81.06	91.17	59.99	116.04	86.85	78.07	73.82	68.41	119.09	72.02
2	РВ	6.40	4.94	4.21	5.68	8.29	8.84	6.08	6.51	6.91	5.34	7.38	13.25
3	DTFF	38.70	50.18	46.60	37.42	34.31	37.81	42.92	49.22	39.36	42.84	50.36	41.24
4	DFPF	50.51	61.84	57.20	47.96	46.58	47.50	53.39	60.17	48.32	53.87	61.34	53.27
5	DTFH	59.72	72.28	67.61	58.58	56.50	57.44	61.88	70.17	60.33	63.85	71.43	64.30
6	FL	8.00	10.98	12.73	9.67	10.57	8.44	10.68	8.28	19.36	10.40	5.84	9.42
7	FG	13.05	11.25	14.60	14.25	15.25	12.68	14.26	12.34	12.30	19.34	13.78	18.80
8	FW	57.51	57.54	62.38	63.89	67.45	72.44	36.82	67.01	62.17	99.90	48.60	69.14
9	FB	17.16	17.24	17.90	15.30	13.76	15.81	18.26	14.31	18.06	15.91	17.23	16.34
10	NFPP	34.62	33.04	33.69	40.55	40.68	34.73	31.69	42.94	34.62	22.69	32.14	30.62
11	YPP	1.98	1.89	2.08	2.58	2.73	2.50	1.16	2.88	2.17	2.23	1.56	2.11

Table 4. Cluster means of 11 traits in brinjal.

PH-Plant height (cm),-PB-Number of primary branches, DTFF-Days to 1st flowering,-DFPF-Days to 50 % flowering, DTFH- Days to 1st harvesting,-FL-Fruit length (cm), -FG-Fruit girth (cm),-FW-Fruit weight (g), FB-Fruit borer incidence (%), NFPP-Number of fruit/plant, YPP-Yield/plant (kg).

The percentage contribution of each attribute to genetic diversity is depicted in Fig. 1. Fruit length made the most significant contribution to genetic diversity, accounting for around 29.70%. This was followed by the trait number of primary branches (18.89%), Plant height (14.55%), yield per plant (14.24%), fruit weight (7.68%), days to  $1^{st}$  flowering (6.16%), number of fruits per plant (3.94%), fruit girth (2.93%), fruit borer infestation (1.72%), days to 50% flowering (0.10%) and days to  $1^{st}$  harvest (0.10%). Thus, fruit length, number of primary branches, plant height and yield per plant are the major factors leading to genetic

ity with corresponding clusters, so breeders should select genotypes from specific clusters based on the needs of the crop improvement programme. Traits such as fruit length, number of primary branches, plant height and yield per plant were the main contributors to genetic diversity. So, higher production recombinants could be produced by selecting parents with different fruit lengths followed by the number of primary branches, and plant height. Cluster X has the largest intra-cluster distance, followed by cluster IV. Clusters IX and XI had the greatest inter-cluster distance, followed by III and XII, and clusters II and III had the shortest distance (804.88). Thus, genotypes from the cluster with a large inter and intra-cluster distance could be chosen as parents to produce superior  $F_1$  hybrids and segregants in future generations.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the Department of Vegetable Science at TNAU, Coimbatore, for their assistance in carrying out this experiment. We also want to express our gratitude to the Chairperson and members of advisory committee for their assistance.

## **Authors' contributions**

RK conducted the research experiments and wrote the manuscript. PI, RV and SV helped in conducting the experiments. SP designed the study and supervised it. KI helped to perform statistical analysis. SP helped in genotype collection and corrected and revised the manuscript.

## **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest**: Authors do not have any conflict of interests to declare.

Ethical issues: None

#### References

- 1. Zeaven AC, Zhukovsky PM. Dictionary of cultivated plants and their centre of diversity. Wageningen, Netherlands. 1975;219.
- 2. Fraikue FB. Unveiling the potential utility of eggplant: a review. Proceedings of the conference of INCEDI 2016;1:883-95.
- Das M, Barua N. Pharmacological activities of Solanum melongena Linn. (Brinjal plant). Int J Green Pharm. 2013;7(4). https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-8258.122049
- Sindhuja K, Vinithra S, Senthilkumar N, Senthilkumar P, Ponsiva ST, et al. Studies on genetic diversity in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Electron J Plant Breed. 2019;10(4):1554-59. https://doi.org/10.5958/0975-928X.2019.00199.6
- Islam MA, Ivy NA, Mian MK, Shahadat MK, Shahjahan M. Genetic diversity in exotic eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). Libyan Agric Res Cent J Int. 2011;2(1):15-19.
- Banerjee S, Singh BY, Verma A. Genetic diversity of brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) in the foot hills of Himalaya. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(4):3240-48. https://doi.org/10.20546/ ijcmas.2018.704.367

- 7. Rao CR. Advanced statistical methods in biometrical research. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York; 1952:390.
- Singh RK, Chaudhary BD. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Scientific Researcher. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi; 1977:317.
- Zabbar MA, Parveen S, Rahim MA, Huda KM, Arif MA, Sharif MR, et al. Morphological characterization and genetic diversity analysis of yield and yield contributing parameters in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes. 2023 Dec 19. https:// doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3744782/v1
- Lintu P, Namboodiri RV. Genetic diversity of brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) and its wild relatives. Electron J Plant Breed. 2023;14(1):137-47. https://doi.org/10.37992/2023.1401.014
- Devappa V, Venugopalan R. Breeding potential of brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) genotypes using D<sup>2</sup> analysis under hill zones of Karnataka, India. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci. 2020;9 (3):2768-73. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.903.317
- 12. Verma HS, Yadav GC, Kumar S, Verma P. Estimation of genetic diversity among the genotypes of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). J Pharm Innov. 2021;10(8):847-49.
- Chaitanya V. Divergence studies in brinjal for yield attributes and shoot and fruit borer incidence. J Pharm Innov. 2022;11 (6):1035-38.
- 14. Mohanty KK, Mishra H, Barik S. Morphological profiling and assessment of genetic divergence of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2021;10 (1):602-07.
- Susmitha J, Eswaran R, Kumar NS. Elucidation of genetic diversity through multivariate analysis in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) landraces for varietal improvement. J Appl Nat Sci.2023;15(3):1012-17. https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v15i3.4694
- 16. Solanki AB, Acharya RR. Genetic divergence study in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). J Pharm Innov. 2021;10(12):986-99.
- Kaur S, Sidhu MK, Dhatt AS. Genetic diversity analysis through cluster constellation in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). Genetika. 2021;53(2):629-40. https://doi.org/10.2298/GENSR2102629K
- Khan S, Hussain K, Khan T, Shah LR, Ali G, Dar ZA, et al. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield components in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). J Pharm Innov. 2023;12 (4):773-81.
- Bharathi KI, Shanthi A, Manikandan M. Assessment of genetic diversity of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) in the coastal region of Karaikal, India. J Sci Res Rep. 2024;30(6):407-11. https:// doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i62056