

**RESEARCH ARTICLE**



# **Allelic divergence and heritable interrelationship studies for yield and submergence tolerance in rice (***Oryza sativa* **L.)**

Naveen-Kumar<sup>1,2</sup>, Viswabharathy Sakthivel<sup>1,2</sup>,Ameena Premnath<sup>1,2</sup>, Manonmani Swaminathan<sup>1,3</sup>, Senthil Alagarsamy<sup>4</sup>, Sudha Manickam<sup>2</sup>' & **Raveendran Muthurajan2,5\***

<sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Biotechnology, Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Rice, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India

<sup>4</sup>Department of Crop Physiology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India

<sup>5</sup>Directorate of Research, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India

\*Email: [sudhatamil@gmail.com,](mailto:sudhatamil@gmail.com) [raveendrantnau@gmail.com](mailto:raveendrantnau@gmail.com)

#### **[OPEN ACCESS](http://horizonepublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/open_access_policy)** ົດ

#### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received: 04 October 2024 Accepted: 07 November 2024 Available online Version 1.0 : 25 December 2024

Check for updates

#### **Additional information**

**Peer review**: Publisher thanks Sectional Editor and the other anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

**Reprints & permissions information** is available at [https://horizonepublishing.com/](https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/open_access_policy) [journals/index.php/PST/open\\_access\\_policy](https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/open_access_policy)

**Publisher's Note**: Horizon e-Publishing Group remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Indexing**: Plant Science Today, published by Horizon e-Publishing Group, is covered by Scopus, Web of Science, BIOSIS Previews, Clarivate Analytics, NAAS, UGC Care, etc See [https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/](https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/indexing_abstracting) [index.php/PST/indexing\\_abstracting](https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/indexing_abstracting)

**Copyright**: © The Author(s). This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited ([https://creativecommons.org/licenses/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

#### **CITE THIS ARTICLE**

Naveen-Kumar, Sakthivel V, Premnath A, Swaminathan M, Alagarsamy S, Manickam S, Muthurajan R. Allelic divergence and heritable interrelationship studies for yield and submergence tolerance in rice (*Oryza*  sativa L.). Plant Science Today.2024;11 (sp4):01-07. [https:/doi.org/10.14719/](https:/doi.org/10.14719/pst.5600) [pst.5600](https:/doi.org/10.14719/pst.5600)

## **Abstract**

Global low-lying rice cultivation faces a serious threat of flash floods, exacerbated by climate change. Significant progress has been made by plant breeders to introgress *Sub1* locus into elite rice background through marker-assisted breeding approaches. The  $F_2$  population derived from the ADT 36  $\times$  #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 Sub1) was used to identify high-yielding lines that are homozygous for the *Sub1* locus and *Pi54* gene. INDEL markers, ART 5 and Pi 54, were used for genotyping the *Sub1* locus and *Pi54* gene, respectively. Out of 83 F<sub>2</sub> plants, 21 plants are homozygotes and 45 plants are heterozygotes for the *Sub1* locus. Whereas 21 plants are homozygotes and 46 are heterozygotes for the *Pi54* gene. Four plants were identified to be homozygotes for the *Sub1* locus and *Pi54* gene. Genetic analysis of the  $F_2$  plants identified that productive tillers (number/plant) and the filled grains (number/panicle) exerted the highest positive effect on the single plant yield and also had the highest heritability. Also, these traits have a significant positive correlation *per se*. These traits, particularly productive tillers (number/plant), can potentially be used in subsequent generations to select highyielding submergence tolerance and blast resistant lines of ADT 36 × #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 Sub1).

#### **Keywords**

rice; submergence tolerance; genetic analysis; yield

#### **Introduction**

In India, 46.4 million tonnes of rice are produced from 195.4 million hectares of land (1). Rice yield needs to be doubled in the next 25 years to ensure food supply to the growing population. Rice production reached a major leap due to the introduction of semi-dwarf varieties and hybrids (2). Any further increase in rice production is possible only when the challenges of yield plateau, degradation of natural resources, and occurrence of abiotic and biotic stresses accelerated by climate change are addressed. Climate change has caused a rise in the frequency of floods in the recent past (3). Flash floods have a substantial impact on the agroecosystem and has been getting worse over the past few decades (4). Tailing heat and drought stress, a flash flood is the third most important stress that negatively impacts rice production in the rainfed ecosystem (5). Nearly 15 million hectares of area under rice cultivation in Asia are affected by flash floods (6). In India, ~0.5 million hectares of rice-growing areas are being affected by chronic flash floods

(7). Flash floods in rice incur a yield loss between 10% and 100% based on the submergence tolerance status of the crop, followed by the severity and duration of the flash flood (8). Sustaining rice production under complete submergence conditions requires developing submergence-tolerant rice varieties adapted to the target regions (9).

Molecular breeding enables the improvement of an elite cultivar for a particular stress that is prevalent in the target environment (10). The selection of superior lines in early generations drives the success of breeding programs. Markerassisted foreground selection coupled with genetic analysis of a bi-parental population facilitates the identification of superior lines. Selection of plants in a population based on traits that have high heritability coupled with high genetic advance is a reliable strategy (11) to accumulate the additive effect of the gene on the trait. On the other hand, when high heritability is combined with low genetic advance of a trait over the generations, it complicates the selection process due to the influence of non-additive gene action, such as epistasis and dominance. Consequently, the response to selection may be limited, which makes it challenging to achieve significant gains for those traits. Understanding these dynamics helps breeders to make decisions regarding the prioritization of traits during the selection process (12).

Yield is a polygenic trait controlled by several interrelated component traits influenced by the environment (13). Correlation analysis of yield and yield component traits offers a preliminary outlook in the selection of superior plants in a population (14). In addition, the selection of the best yielders in a population also requires consideration of the direct and indirect effects of yield components on the yield, which can be determined by path coefficient analysis (15). Here, we perform the preliminary genetic studies (correlation, heritability and genetic advancement) on yield and its component traits in a F<sup>2</sup> population (segregating for *Sub 1* locus and *Pi54* gene) derived from ADT 36 × #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 Sub1).

## **Materials and Methods**

ADT 36 and #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 Sub1) were crossed. The true  $F_1$ plants were selected using the *INDEL* markers, ART5 (Sub1 QTL) and forwarded to  $F_2$  generation by selfing. The  $F_2$  population consists of 83 plants which were used for genetic analysis of yield and yield components in rice. All the  $F_2$  progenies were individually tagged and genotyped with the *INDEL*markers ART 5 and Pi54 to check for the presence of the *Sub1* QTL and *Pi54*  gene, respectively. The field experiment was undertaken at the Department of Rice, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University (TNAU), Coimbatore, with 83  $F_2$  segregants of the cross, ADT 36  $\times$  #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 Sub1). All eighty-three  $F_2$  lines with two parents were sown during the *Summer* of 2024. Twenty-one days old seedlings were transplanted with a spacing of 20 × 20 cm, having one seedling per hill. Appropriate agronomic and crop protection practices were followed as per the recommendations given by the TNAU crop production guide (16). The plants were harvested at the physiological maturity. Twelve agro-morphological traits *viz.,* days to 50 % flowering (DFF; days), plant height (PH; cm), number of productive tillers (NPT; number/plant), flag leaf length

(FLL; cm), panicle length (PL; cm), spikelet fertility (SF; percent), filled grains (FG; number/panicle), chaffy grains (CG; number/ panicle), hundred seed weight (HSW; g), grain length (GL; mm), grain width (GW; mm) and single plant yield (SPY; g) were recorded. The agro-morphological traits measurements were subjected to statistical analysis. The genotypic variance (GV) and phenotypic variances (PV) were estimated based on the formula given by Johnson *et al.* (11). The computed GV and PV values were used to calculate the Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV) and Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (GCV) respectively based on the formula proposed by Mirza *et al.* (17). PCV, as well as GCV estimates, were graded to be low if the values are less than 10 %; moderate, if the values are 10-20 %; and high if the values are above 20 % (18). Broad-sense heritability (H<sup>2</sup>) was categorized as low when the estimates fall below 30 %, medium when the estimates ranged between 30 and 60 % and high for estimates exceeding 60%. Genetic advance as percent of mean (GAM) was calculated based on the formula given by Johnson *et al.* (11). Skewness and kurtosis estimates were computed based on the formula given by Snedecor and Cochran (19). The distribution pattern of twelve agro-morphological traits in the F<sub>2</sub> population was comprehended using histograms generated from SPSS 16.0 software. PCV, GCV, heritability and GAM calculations were performed manually using MS Excel.

Correlation coefficient analysis was performed in R software using "metan package" (20). Path coefficient analysis was done using TNAUSTAT (21).

# **Results**

A total of 83  $F_2$  plants, along with their parental lines, were phenotyped for twelve agro-morphological traits and genotyped for *Sub1* locus and *Pi54* gene. Genotyping analysis of F<sup>2</sup> population displayed a clear segregation pattern for submergence tolerance, with  $21$  F<sub>2</sub> plants exhibiting homozygosity and 45 plants exhibiting heterozygosity for the *Sub1* locus (Fig. 1a). In case of blast resistance, 21 plants showed homozygosity and 46 plants showed heterozygosity for *Pi54* gene (Fig. 1b). A total of four plants were identified to be homozygous for *Sub1* locus and *Pi54* gene.

The extensive variation in the segregating population facilitates the selection of superior rice genotypes with favourable traits. The estimates of PCV, GCV, heritability, GAM, skewness and kurtosis are provided in Table 1. Among the twelve traits, chaffy grains per panicle were not discussed in this study, as it is not an important trait used in the selection. The PCV reflects both the genetic and environmental effects, while the GCV focuses on the genetic effect (22). The phenotypic coefficient of variation was higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation for all the twelve traits, which emphasize the influence of environment on these traits. High coefficient of variance for both phenotype and genotype were recorded for NPT (26.72 % and 26.08 %), followed by SPY (26.63 % and 26.61 %) and FG (22.17 % and 22.04 %). Similar results were reported by Bitew (23).

Heritability indicates the proportion of the observed phenotypic variation that can be attributed to its corresponding genetic differences, aiding in the reliable evaluation of trait-based selection (24). When the high GCV of a trait is complemented by its high heritability, the reliability of a trait to be used in the selection process is high, as the substantial genetic component can be reliably inherited by the next generation (25). High heritability was recorded for all the traits. Notably, FG, SF, NPT, SPY and GW recorded > 90% of broad-sense heritability. Since these traits showed high broadsense heritability with reference to its female parent (ADT 36), these traits can be used in selecting a superior line in ADT 36  $\times$  # 91-27 (NIL of CO 43 Sub1) derived population. GAM is employed to determine the expected genetic gains of a particular trait during selection (26). GAM was least for DFF (8.48 %) whereas, it was highest for SPY (54.79 %). Similar results were stated by Babu *et al*. (27). High broad-sense heritability coupled with high GAM was observed for NPT, FLL, FG, SF and GL, suggesting that these traits are influenced by additive gene actions making them desirable for selection. High broad-sense heritability combined with low GAM was observed for DFF and PH, signifying the influence of the environment and making these traits undesirable for selection (Table 1).

Skewness and kurtosis were used to recognise the distribution characteristics of various traits (28). Positive skewness indicates the presence of average complementary interactions, while negative skewness suggests duplicate interactions (29). Kurtosis helps to identify gene interactions. The Kurtosis value ( $\beta_2$ )) indicates that  $\beta_2$  o means trait behaviour is leptokurtic,  $\beta_2$ < 0 means trait behaviour is platykurtic and  $\beta_2 = 0$  means trait behaviour is mesokurtic (30). Among all the agro-morphological traits, HSW exhibited positive skewness with leptokurtosis indicating a complementary gene action on this trait controlled by many genes (Fig. l). Further, DFF as well as SF were found to be controlled by duplicate gene interaction as shown by leptokurtic (2.12, 1.50) and negatively skewed (-1.30, -1.09) distribution in the  $F_2$  population of ADT 36  $\times$ #91-27, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 2a, f). These results appeared to be in concordance with Patel *et al.* (31), Ardiarini *et al.* (32) and Pavithra *et al.* (33).

Correlation analysis of traits helps to determine the interrelationship between the traits (34). SPY exhibited a significant positive correlation ( $p$  ≤ 0.01; Fig. 3) with NPT (0.61), PH (0.49), FG (0.35) and GW (0.32). A similar correlation between yield and yield component traits was reported by Reetisana *et al*. (35) in rice. Further, FG exhibited a positive correlation with four traits *viz.* SF, PL, PH and FLL. A significant negative correlation (*p* ≤ 0.01*)* was observed between GL and HSW (Fig. 3).

Correlation combined with path analysis offers a clear understanding of the interaction between different traits (36). This comprehensive approach enables the breeders to focus on traits that critically impact the yield (37). The path coefficient analysis of the  $F<sub>2</sub>$  population estimated the direct effect of the component traits on the single plant yield (SPY). A trait to be used for selection should have a high direct effect. NPT, PL, PH, SF, FG, GL and GW showed a positive direct effect on the SPY, with SF contributing more to SPY (Table 2, Fig. 4). This was like the results obtained by Thuy et al. (38). Three traits, namely FLL, HSW and DFF showed negative significant direct effect on SPY, similar to the results obtained by Sing et al. (39). The highest indirect positive effect for SPY was detected in NPT influenced by SPF, followed by CG through NPT, GL through NPT and PH through FG. SF had a significant negative indirect effect on SPY. This was followed by the NPT through SF, PH through FG, FLL through FG and FG through CG. The residual effect of path analysis (0.3900) was moderate, indicating the significant influence of the observed agro-morphological traits on the grain yield.

Out of all the traits, productive tillers had the highest direct effect on the single plant yield with a significant positive correlation along with a high *H <sup>2</sup>* and GCV. Hence, the selection of high-yielding plants based on this trait will be highly effective in ADT 36 × #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 Sub1) derived population.

Table 1. Range and genetic variability of agro-morphological traits of ADT36 × #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 Sub1) derived F<sub>2</sub> population



\* *p* ≤ 0.05; \*\* *p* ≤ 0.01; *ns* denotes non-significance



Fig. 1a. Molecular screening for submergence tolerance in F<sub>2</sub> population of ADT 36 × #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 *Sub1*)using ART 5 primer



**Fig. 1b.** Molecular screening for blast resistance in F<sup>2</sup> population of ADT 36 × #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 *Sub1*) using *Pi54* gene specific primer

Table 2. Path coefficients of yield and its components in F<sub>2</sub> population of ADT36 × #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 *Sub1*)

<b>Traits</b>	<b>DFF</b> (days)	PH (cm)	<b>NPT</b> (No./plant)	PL $\mathsf{(cm)}$	FLL (cm)	FG (No./panicle)	CG (No./panicle)	<b>SF</b> (%)	GL (mm)	GW (mm)	<b>HSW</b> (g)	<b>SPY</b> (g/plant)
DFF (days)	$-0.0190$	0.0238	$-0.0105$	$-0.0057$	$-0.0019$	$-0.0330$	$-0.0168$	$-0.0245$	$-0.0051$	0.0053	$-0.0010$	$-0.04$ <sup>ns</sup>
PH (cm)	$-0.0015$	0.3091	0.0667	0.0033	0.0011	0.1163	$-0.0394$	$-0.0850$	0.0124	0.0246	$-0.0042$	$0.49$ *
NPT (No./ plant)	0.0004	0.0380	0.5421	$-0.0055$	0.0038	$-0.0063$	0.1174	$-0.1287$	0.0140	0.0238	0.0008	$0.61$ "
PL (cm)	0.0014	0.0134	$-0.0397$	0.0751	$-0.0191$	$-0.1551$	$-0.0733$	0.0242	0.0046	0.0237	$-0.0038$	0.11 <sup>ns</sup>
FLL (cm)	$-0.0007$	$-0.0067$	$-0.0390$	0.0272	$-0.0526$	$-0.1136$	0.0679	0.0009	0.0143	0.0047	0.0085	0.01 <sup>ns</sup>
<b>FG (No./</b> panicle)	0.0014	0.0777	0.0074	0.0252	$-0.0129$	0.4626	$-0.1050$	0.3575	0.0050	0.0208	$-0.0049$	$0.35$ "
CG (No.) panicle)	$-0.0007$	$-0.0248$	0.1299	0.0112	$-0.0073$	$-0.0991$	$-0.4900$	$-0.5633$	0.0030	0.0117	0.0013	0.09 <sup>ns</sup>
SF (%)	0.0007	0.0420	$-0.1116$	0.0029	0.0001	0.2646	$-0.4416$	0.6251	$-0.0068$	0.0020	$-0.0022$	0.03 <sup>ns</sup>
GL (mm)	$-0.0014$	0.0572	0.1125	$-0.0051$	0.0112	$-0.0345$	$-0.0222$	$-0.0632$	0.0672	0.0262	$-0.0109$	0.14 <sup>ns</sup>
GW (mm)	0.0007	0.0542	0.0917	0.0126	$-0.0018$	$-0.0684$	0.0409	$-0.0089$	0.0125	0.1406	$-0.0081$	$0.32$ **
HSW (g)	0.0004	$-0.0277$	0.0094	$-0.0061$	$-0.0095$	$-0.0485$	$-0.0135$	0.0293	$-0.0156$	0.0242	$-0.0471$	$-0.03$ <sup>ns</sup>

Residual effect = 0.3900

\* *p* ≤ 0.05; \*\* *p* ≤ 0.01; *ns* denotes non-significance



Fig. 2. Frequency distribution of agro-morphological traits in F<sub>2</sub> population of ADT36 × #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 Sub1)



Fig. 3. Correlation analysis of agro-morphological traits in F<sub>2</sub> population of ADT36 × #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 Sub1)



Residual effect: 0.3900

Fig. 4. Path diagram for yield and its attributing traits in F2 population of ADT36 × #91-27 (NIL of CO 43 Sub1)

## **Conclusion**

Succinctly, the genetic analysis of the  $F_2$  population emphasises the effect of productive tillers on single plant yield. Furthermore, productive tillers can be potentially used to select the best yielders in the subsequent generations coupled with foreground selection of submergence tolerance and blast resistance in rice. The identified four double homozygotes for submergence and blast resistance can be subjected to marker assisted backcross breeding to be released as a variety in regions exposed to flash floods and blasts. Further, the identified double homozygote lines can be used as potential donors in the breeding pipeline for developing climate resilient rice cultivars by gene pyramiding.

### **Acknowledgements**

Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Department of Rice and Centre for Plant Breeding and Genetics are gratefully acknowledged for their support and for making this research feasible.

## **Authors' contributions**

NK, RM and SM designed and carried out the genetic variability studies. NK conscripted the manuscript. NK and VS contributed to the design of the study and executed the statistical investigation. AP carried out the editing of the draft. MS and SA conceived the study and contributed to the planning and execution of the study. All the authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

## **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest:** Authors do not have any conflict of interest to declare.

**Ethical issues:** None.

## **References**

- 1. FAO. FAOSTAT Database. [https://wwwfaoorg/faostat/en/#data/](about:blank) [QCL/visualize2021](about:blank) [cited 16 October 2024].
- 2. Qian Q, Guo L, Smith SM, Li J. Breeding high-yield superior quality hybrid super rice by rational design. National Science Review. 2016;3(3):283-94. [https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nsr/nww006](https://doi.org/%2010.1093/nsr/nww006)
- 3. Krishnan R, Sanjay J, Gnanaseelan C, Mujumdar M, Kulkarni A, Chakraborty S. Assessment of climate change over the Indian region: a report of the ministry of earth sciences (MOES). Government of India: Springer Nature; 2020. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/%2010.1007/978-981-15-4327-2_1)  [10.1007/978](https://doi.org/%2010.1007/978-981-15-4327-2_1)-981-15-4327-2\_1
- 4. Oladosu Y, Rafii MY, Arolu F, Chukwu SC, Muhammad I, Kareem I, et al. Submergence tolerance in rice: Review of mechanism, breeding and future prospects. Sustainability. 2020;12(4):1632. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041632) [doi.org/10.3390/su12041632](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041632)
- 5. Setter T, Ramakrishanayya G, Ram P, Singh B, Mallik S, Roy J, et al., editors. Physiology of rice: prospects for increasing tolerance to submergence. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Rainfed Rice for Sustainable Food Security Central Rice Research Institute, Cuttack, India; 1998.
- 6. Sarkar R, Das K, Panda D, Reddy J, Patnaik S, Patra B, et al. Submergence tolerance in rice: biophysical constraints, physiological basis and identification of donors. Central Rice Research Institute, Cuttack, India. 2014;36.
- 7. Peramaiyan P, Singh K, Borgohain R, Khandai S, Varkey LM, Kumar V, et al. Submergence-tolerant rice varieties and mechanical transplanting for intensification of rice-rice cropping systems in Assam. Farming System. 2024;2(1):100068. [https://doi.org/ 10.1016/](https://doi.org/%2010.1016/j.farsys.2023.100068) [j.farsys.2023.100068](https://doi.org/%2010.1016/j.farsys.2023.100068)
- 8. Ismail AM, Singh US, Singh S, Dar MH, Mackill DJ. The contribution of submergence-tolerant (*Sub1*) rice varieties to food security in flood-prone rainfed lowland areas in Asia. Field Crops Research. 2013;152:83-93.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2013.01.007>
- 9. Phukon M, Das J, Sruthi R, Verma RK, Modi MK, Bhattacharyya A, et al. Study on submergence tolerance of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in a core panel of North-East India using GWAS. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding. 2024;84(02):193-201. [https://doi.org/10.31742/](https://doi.org/10.31742/ISGPB.84.2.6) [ISGPB.84.2.6](https://doi.org/10.31742/ISGPB.84.2.6)
- 10. Cairns JE, Sonder K, Zaidi P, Verhulst N, Mahuku G, Babu R, et al. Maize production in a changing climate: impacts, adaptation and mitigation strategies. Advances in Agronomy. 2012;114:1-58. [https://doi.org/10.1016/b978](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-394275-3.00006-7)-0-12-394275-3.00006-7
- 11. Johnson HW, Robinson H, Comstock R. Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. 1955. [https://](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1955.00021962004700070009x) [doi.org/10.2134/agronj1955.00021962004700070009x](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1955.00021962004700070009x)
- 12. Saha SR, Hassan L, Haque MA, Islam MM, Rasel M. Genetic variability, heritability, correlation and path analyses of yield components in traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces: Variability and traits association in rice. Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University. 2019;17(1):26-32. [https://doi.org/10.3329/](https://doi.org/10.3329/jbau.v17i1.40659) [jbau.v17i1.40659](https://doi.org/10.3329/jbau.v17i1.40659)
- 13. Ashfaq M, Rasheed A, Zhu R, Ali M, Javed MA, Anwar A, et al. Genome -wide association mapping for yield and yield-related traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using SNPs markers. Genes. 2023;14(5):1089. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes14051089>
- 14. Viswabharathy S, Kalaimagal T, Manonmani S, Jeyakumar P, Raveendran M. Estimation of narrow sense heritability in early segregating generations of rice introgressed with *Sub1* QTL. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2023;14(3):912-22. [https://](https://doi.org/10.37992/2023.1403.103) [doi.org/10.37992/2023.1403.103](https://doi.org/10.37992/2023.1403.103)
- 15. Reshma O, Shivaprasad K, Surendra P, Reddy B. Path and correlation analysis of elite rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes under diverse situations. Biological Forum - An International Journal. 2023;15(5):1443-48.
- 16. TNAU. TNAU Crop Production Guide Agriculture 2020 [Available from: [https://tnau.ac.in/site/research/wp](https://tnau.ac.in/site/research/wp-content/uploads/sites/60/2020/02/Agriculture-CPG-2020.%20pdf)-content/uploads/ [sites/60/2020/02/Agriculture](https://tnau.ac.in/site/research/wp-content/uploads/sites/60/2020/02/Agriculture-CPG-2020.%20pdf)-CPG-2020. pdf].
- 17. Mirza MY, Khan MA, Akmal M, Mohmand AS, Nawaz MS, Nawaz N, et al. Estimation of genetic parameters to formulate selection strategy for increased yield in linseed. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research. 2011;24(1-4):19-24.
- 18. Sivasubramaniam S, Madhava Menon P. Genotypic and phenotypic variability in rice. 1973.
- 19. Snedecor G, Cochran W. Statistical methods. 6<sup>th</sup> Ed, Iowa State Univ Press. Ame Iowa USA. 1967;2:304-08.
- 20. Olivoto T, Lúcio ADC. metan: An R package for multi-environment trial analysis. Methods in Ecology and Evolution. 2020;11(6):783-89. [https://doi.org/10.1111/2041](https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13384)-210X.13384
- 21. Manivannan N. TNAUSTAT-Statistical package. 2014.
- 22. Allard R. Principles of plant breeding. John Wiley and Sons; 1999.
- 23. Bitew JM. Estimation of genetic parameters, heritability and genetic advance for yield related traits in upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L. and *Oryza glaberrima* Steud) genotypes in northwestern Ethiopia. World Scientific News. 2016;2(47):340-50.
- 24. Visscher PM, Hill WG, Wray NR. Heritability in the genomics era concepts and misconceptions. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2008;9 (4):255-66. [https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nrg2322](https://doi.org/%2010.1038/nrg2322)
- 25. Burton GW. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. 1952.
- 26. Ogunniyan D, Olakojo S. Genetic variation, heritability, genetic advance and agronomic character association of yellow elite inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Nigerian Journal of Genetics. 2014;28 (2):24-28.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nigjg.2015.06.005>
- 27. Babu VR, Shreya K, Dangi KS, Usharani G, Nagesh P. Genetic variability studies for qualitative and quantitative traits in popular rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) hybrids of India. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications. 2012;2(6):1-5.
- 28. Patel R, Rukhsar AP, Patel D, Parmar DJ. Genetic analysis and trait association in F2 interspecific population in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) using third and fourth degree statistics. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci. 2018;7(12):2933-37. <https://doi.org/> [10.20546/](http://dx.doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.712.334) [ijcmas.2018.712.334](http://dx.doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.712.334)
- 29. Choo T, Reinbergs E. Analyses of skewness and kurtosis for detecting gene interaction in a doubled haploid population. Crop Science. 1982;22(2):231-35. [https://doi.org/ 10.2135/](https://doi.org/%2010.2135/cropsci1982.0011183X002200020008x) [cropsci1982.0011183X002200020008x](https://doi.org/%2010.2135/cropsci1982.0011183X002200020008x)
- 30. Khandappagol M, Rajanna M, Savita S. Variability and frequency distribution studies in F<sup>2</sup> population of two crosses involving traditional varieties of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(1):1630-34.
- 31. Patel M, Patel V, Patel S, Patel R. Assessment of genetic variability for yield and yield attributing traits in F2 population of intervarietal cross in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2020;9:1550-55.<https://doi.org/>[10.20546/ijcmas.2020.903.181](http://dx.doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.903.181)
- 32. Ardiarini N-R, Adiredjo A-L. Genetic variability and gene action for several traits in F<sup>4</sup> and F<sup>5</sup> population of rice. Plant Breeding and Biotechnology. 2022;10(1):31-36. [https://doi.org/10.9787/](https://doi.org/10.9787/PBB.2022.10.1.31) [PBB.2022.10.1.31](https://doi.org/10.9787/PBB.2022.10.1.31)
- 33. Pavithra S, Senthil A, Djanaguiraman M, Raveendran M, Pushpam R, ManikandaBoopathi N. Analysis of genetic variability for leaf and yield traits in diverse rice germplasm. J of Curr Crop Sci Technol. 2022;109(4-6):29-34. <https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.000603>
- 34. Falconer DS. Introduction to quantitative genetics: Pearson Education India; 1996.
- 35. Reetisana N SE, Renuka T, Julia T, Pyngrope AH. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in screening of submergence tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes of Manipur. Biological Forum - An International Journal. 2022;14(2):1130-35.
- 36. Khan MMH, Rafii MY, Ramlee SI, Jusoh M, Al Mamun M. Pathcoefficient and correlation analysis in Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* [L.] Verdc.) accessions over environments. Scientific Reports. 2022;12(1):245. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03692-z)-021-03692 [z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03692-z)
- 37. Saleh MM, Salem KF, Elabd AB. Definition of selection criterion using correlation and path coefficient analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. Bulletin of the National Research Centre. 2020;44:1-6. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269](https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-020-00403-y)-020-00403-y
- 38. Thuy NP, Trai NN, Khoa BD, Thao NHX, Phong VT, Thi QVC. Correlation and path analysis of association among yield, micronutrients and protein content in rice accessions grown under aerobic condition from Karnataka, India. Plant Breeding and Biotechnology. 2023;11(2):117-29. [https://doi.org/10.9787/](https://doi.org/10.9787/PBB.2023.11.2.117) [PBB.2023.11.2.117](https://doi.org/10.9787/PBB.2023.11.2.117)
- 39. Singh AK, Dwivedi D, Kumar D, Singh A, Dixit S, Khan N, et al. Genetic variability, character association and path coefficient analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa*) genotypes of semi-arid region of India. The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2023;93(8):844-49. [https://](https://doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v93i8.137199) [doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v93i8.137199](https://doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v93i8.137199)