







Biofortification of zinc in sorghum grown in rainfed black soil (Typic Chromusterts)

Baskar K*, R Vigneshwaran, S Manoharan, M Manikandan & K Manikandan

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, V. O. Chidhambaranar Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Killikulam 628 252, Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu

*Correspondence email - kbaskartnau@gmail.com

Received: 08 April 2025; Accepted: 11 June 2025; Available online: Version 1.0: 14 October 2025

Cite this article: Baskar K, Vigneshwaran R, Manoharan S, Manikandan M, Manikandan K. Biofortification of zinc in sorghum grown in rainfed black soil (Typic Chromusterts). Plant Science Today. 2025;12(sp3):01–09. https://doi.org/10.14719/pst.8737

Abstract

Zinc (Zn) is a crucial micronutrient for crop growth and enzymatic regulations. The present study was formulated to reveal the effect of organic fortified Zn composite on the development and yield of sorghum. The field screening experiment was laid out in a factorial arrangement of Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with three replications. The treatments consisted of factor 1 were four microbial inoculants viz. M₁: Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR), M₂: Zinc-Solubilizing Bacteria (ZSB), M₃: Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhiza (VAM), M₄: Control, 100 % recommended NPK at 40:20:0 kg ha⁻¹ with Zn was applied as a common dose to all treatment plots and 20 sorghum genotypes considered as another factor 2. Among the genotypes, the variety K12 recorded the highest Dry Matter Production (DMP) (5069 kg ha⁻¹) at vegetative and other genotypes like TKSV 1036, PYT CO 30, TKSV 1315 and TKSV 1307 recorded DMP of 4950, 4946, 4921 and 4910 kg ha⁻¹ were found efficient and responsive. Among the screened genotypes K12 and TKSV 1036 were found to be responsive genotypes. Further, various zinc levels of field experiments II were conducted with the efficient and responsive genotypes K12 and TKSV 1036, which were studied by imposing fifteen treatments in a split plot design and replicated thrice. Higher mean Zn uptake of 10.4, 59.9 and 41.9 g ha⁻¹ was registered by S₁₅, which was comparable with S₁₄ (4291 kg ha⁻¹). The highest grain zinc uptake of 48.42 g ha⁻¹ was recorded with the application of S₉ + Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha⁻¹. Farmers in saline soil can adopt this integrated zinc nutrient management to enrich zinc and enhance the growth and yield of sorghum in potentially zinc-deficient soil.

Keywords: biofortification; microbial inoculants; sorghum; vermicompost; yield; zinc

Introduction

Micronutrient malnutrition because of zinc deficiency is a serious public health problem worldwide. Zinc deficiency in children causes stunting, makes them vulnerable to diarrhoea and pneumonia and can lead to death. Crop biofortification is increasingly being recognized as a cost-effective and sustainable approach to overcoming these deficiencies in the food chain. Globally, more than 30 % of soils are low in plant-available Zn. Compared with legumes, cereals are generally more prone to Zn deficiency leading to a substantial reduction in grain yield and nutritional quality especially the crops grown on soils low in available Zn generally produce low yields with poor nutritional quality. For instance, a significant decrease (80 %) in grain Zn concentration was observed in cereals are grown on soils with low plant-available Zn. This decrease in grain Zn also reduces its bioavailability in humans contributing to Zn deficiency in susceptible human populations. Worldwide micronutrient deficiency is an alarming threat to food insecurity and malnutrition. Therefore, biofortification through fertilization strategies in a crop like sorghum, also referred to as poor man's cereal is more beneficial in the aspect of enriching zinc in soil-planthuman continuum. In light of this, the present investigation was undertaken to enrich grain zinc density (biofortification) of sorghum

crop, as an outlet to alleviate zinc deficiency in zinc-deficient soils (saline soils).

Materials and Methods

The field experiment I and II was conducted in farmer's field at Karisalkulam, Thoothukudi District, Tamil Nadu. The farm is situated at 9°11'55.7" N latitude and 77°88'91.3" E longitude with an elevation of 116 m above MSL in the state of Tamil Nadu. The identified farmer's field soil was clay loam in texture and taxonomically as called Typic Chromusterts. The soil is highly saline and deficient in zinc.

Representative surface soil samples (0-15 cm) were collected randomly from experimental sites before the experiment commenced using stainless steel material and a composite sample was prepared. The soil samples were processed and stored in polythene containers to study their various physical and chemical properties. The details on the initial physicochemical properties of the experimental soils are given in Table 1. The field experiment during the Rabi season was carried out with 20 sorghum genotypes. 10 tolerant, 5 moderate tolerant and 5 susceptible were selected from hydroponics. The characteristic

Table 1. Initial physico-chemical properties of the experimental soils

	Properties	Value
	A. Physical properties	
1.	Coarse sand (%)	25.8
2.	Fine sand (%)	17.9
3.	Silt (%)	22.5
4.	Clay (%)	33.8
5.	Texture	Clay loam
6.	Bulk density (mg m ⁻³)	1.38
7.	Particle density (mg m ⁻³)	2.41
8.	Porosity (%)	41.1
	B. Physico-chemical properties	
1.	рН	8.15
2.	EC (dS m ⁻¹)	0.39
3.	CEC (c mol (p+) kg-1)	30.29
. .	C. Chemical properties	30.23
1.	Organic carbon (g kg ⁻¹)	3.5
2.	Free CaCO3 (%)	12.5
3.	KMnO4 -N (kg ha ⁻¹)	242
4.	Olsen - P (kg ha ⁻¹)	12
5.	NH4OAc-K (kg ha ⁻¹)	416
6.	Exchangeable calcium (mg kg ⁻¹)	286
7.	Exchangeable magnesium (mg kg ⁻¹)	115
8.	Available sulphur (mg kg ¹)	18.5
9.	DTPA-Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)	0.75
10.	DTPA-Fe(mgkg¹)	2.01
11.	DTPA-Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)	1.09
12.	DTPA-Mn (mg kg ⁻¹)	1.71
± 2.	D. Zinc fractions (mg kg ⁻¹)	1.1.1
1.	Watersoluble+Exchangeable Zn	0.574
2.	Organically bound Zn	1.062
3.	Manganese oxide bound Zn	0.339
4.	Amorphous sesquioxide Zn	2.360
5.	Crystalline sesquioxide	2.260
5. 6.	Residual Zn	452.4
6. 7.	Total Zn	452.4 459.0

features of the genotypes used are depicted in Table 2. The field chosen for screening the performance of sorghum genotypes was initially ploughed twice and then leveled thoroughly. The land configuration of ridges and furrows was formed. The individual plots were laid out with an area of 20 m². The treatment details are as follows, the main plots are different varieties of sorghum i.e. M1: K 12 variety and M₂ as TKSV 1036 (Sorghum genotype, ARS, Kovilpatti) and 15 subplots i.e. S₁: Absolute control, S₂: RDF (without Zn), S₃: ZnSO₄ at 12.5 kg ha⁻¹, S₄: ZnSO₄ at 25 kg ha⁻¹, S₅: ZnSO₄at 50 kg ha⁻¹, S₀: Zn-EOM at 12.5 kg ha⁻¹, S₀: Zn-EOM at 25 kg ha⁻¹, S₈: Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha⁻¹, S₉: Seed priming + Foliar spray + Zinc Solubilizing Bacteria (ZSB), S₁₀: S₉ + ZnSO₄ at 12.5 kg ha⁻¹, S₁₁: S₉ + $ZnSO_4$ at 25 kg ha⁻¹, S_{12} : $S_9 + ZnSO_4$ at 50 kg ha⁻¹, S_{13} : $S_9 + Zn$ -EOM at 12.5 kg ha⁻¹, S₁₄: S₉ + Zn-EOM at 25 kg ha⁻¹ and S₁₅: S₉ + Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha-1. The experiment was laid out in a split plot design and replicated thrice. The field experiment was conducted with zincefficient sorghum genotypes of K12, TKSV 1036 chosen from bestperformed experiment in soils having low zinc status and high salt content. The recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) at 40:20:0 kg N, P₂O₅, K₂O ha⁻¹ for TNAU dual sorghum was applied as a common

dose to all treatment plots. The full dose of N and P was applied as basal. Zinc was also applied as basal dressing in the form of ZnSO₄. $7H_2O$ as per treatments.

The DMP was assessed treatment-wise at vegetative, flowering and harvest stages respectively. Grain samples that are well-filled were drawn from individual plots. The yield was calculated after calibrating the marked area yield to one hectare and expressed as kg ha⁻¹.

Results and Discussion

Dry matter production of different genotypes

The data furnished in Table 3 showed an increasing trend in dry matter yield of sorghum genotypes with the advancement of crop growth stages. Also, the dry matter production increased with a concomitant increase in levels of zinc. With soil application of zinc, the dry weight of the plant varied from 1190 to 1646, 3929 to 5445 and 7368 to 8792 kg ha¹ at vegetative, flowering and harvest stages respectively.

Table 2. Details on the genotypes chosen from the hydroponics experiment utilized in the study

Best responsive (10)	Moderate tolerant (5)	Susceptible (5)
TKSV 1012	K8	SPV 2121
TKSV 1036	PYT CO30	TKSV 1003
TKSV 1143	AYT 1314	TKSV 1017
Kalanpatti chencholam	SPV 2104	TKSV 1307
Dharmapuri chencholam	Duraisamipuram chencholam	TNS 598
SPV 2118	·	
TKSV 1041		
K 12		
K 11		
TKSV 1315		

Table 3. Effect of zinc fertilization and microbial inoculants on dry matter production (kg ha⁻¹) of sorghum genotypes in various stages

-	Vegetative (kg ha ⁻¹)			Flowering (kg ha ⁻¹)				Harvest (kg ha ⁻¹)								
Tre	atments	M ₁ (RB)	M ₂ (ZSB)	M ₃ (VAM)	M₄ (Control)	Mean	M ₁ (RB)	M ₂ (ZSB)	M ₃ (VAM)	M₄ (Control)	Mean	M ₁ (RB)	M ₂ (ZSB)	M ₃ (VAM)	M₄ (Control)	Mean
G ₁	TKSV 1012	1291	1407	1430	1219	1337	5028	5123	4370	3929	4613	7645	8473	8619	7368	8027
G ₂	TKSV 1036	1425	1393	1638	1410	1467	4373	5445	5237	4743	4950	8546	8536	8648	8277	8502
G₃	TKSV 1041	1517	1641	1304	1353	1454	4254	4607	5117	4568	4637	8451	8531	7544	8272	8200
G ₄	TKSV 1315	1378	1408	1330	1229	1337	4546	5325	5111	4701	4921	8541	7657	8255	8136	8148
G ₅	TKSV 1143	1345	1330	1195	1418	1322	4340	5246	4865	4360	4703	8384	8600	7878	7975	8210
G_6	KCC	1296	1412	1568	1224	1375	4622	5128	4375	3934	4515	7650	8478	8708	7373	8053
G_7	DCC	1373	1200	1325	1224	1281	5227	4383	4375	4220	4552	7650	7662	8493	8141	7987
G_8	SPV 2118	1368	1195	1490	1601	1414	5148	4612	4516	4573	4713	8567	8782	7883	7980	8303
G ₉	K12	1522	1646	1485	1606	1565	4632	5384	5237	5023	5069	8503	8750	8718	8614	8647
G ₁₀	K11	1350	1611	1200	1415	1394	4345	4580	5116	4365	4602	8217	8792	8595	8332	8484
G 11	K8	1301	1340	1573	1423	1410	4627	5379	4870	3939	4704	8389	8745	8713	7378	8307
G ₁₂	PYT CO30	1294	1470	1490	1611	1467	5320	4532	5389	4541	4946	8562	8421	8563	8207	8439
G_{13}	AYT 1314	1430	1221	1368	1358	1345	5153	5184	4521	4244	4776	8551	8620	8653	7534	8340
G ₁₄	SPV 2104	1628	1226	1373	1527	1439	4919	4527	4578	4536	4640	8282	7796	8607	8553	8310
G 15	DPCC	1318	1616	1205	1328	1367	4350	4585	5100	5090	4782	8337	8787	7888	8327	8335
G 16	SPV 2121	1425	1345	1578	1335	1421	4914	4522	5122	4706	4816	8456	7791	8602	8212	8266
G 17	TKSV 1003	1485	1413	1190	1335	1356	4748	4378	4511	4215	4463	8503	8753	7652	8250	8290
G ₁₈	1017	1299	1475	1636	1398	1452	4602	5189	5330	4249	4843	8426	8625	8526	7539	8279
G 19	TKSV 1307	1633	1231	1388	1350	1401	5232	5440	4380	4225	4820	8755	7801	8416	8558	8383
G ₂₀	TNS 598	1323	1621	1325	1435	1426	5241	4590	4711	5095	4910	7655	8748	8498	8146	8262
	Mean	1400	1410	1405	1390	-	4781	4908	4842	4463	-	8304	8418	8373	8059	-
		G	М	GXM			G	М	GXM			G	М	GXM		
	S.Ed	29	29	64			31	31	70			60	60	95		
CD	(0.05 %)	59	59	133			65	65	145			125	125	279		

At the vegetative stage, among the different genotypes, K12 (G_9) recorded significantly higher dry matter production (1565 kg ha⁻¹) and was comparable with genotype TKSV 1036 (G_2). The significantly lowest dry matter production of 1281 kg ha⁻¹ was registered by the genotype Duraisamipuram chencholam (DCC) (G_7). During the flowering stage, a significant maximum dry matter yield of 5069 kg ha⁻¹ was observed for the genotype K 12 (G_9) and was followed by the genotype TKSV 1036 (G_2) with a dry matter yield of 4950 kg ha⁻¹, whereas significantly lower dry matter production of 4463 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded by the genotype TKSV 1003 (G_{17}). At the harvest stage, a maximum dry matter production of 8647 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded by the genotype K 12 (G_9), which was statistically comparable with TKSV 1036 (G_2) (8502 kg ha⁻¹). The lowest dry matter production of 7987 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded by DCC (G_7).

As regards the performance of microbial inoculants, at vegetative, flowering and harvest stages, M_2 (ZSB) recorded significantly higher total dry matter production of 1410, 4908 and 8418 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. The lower mean dry matter production was recorded by M_4 (control) with a value of 1390 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative stage, 4463 kg ha⁻¹ at the flowering stage and 8059 kg ha⁻¹ at the harvest stages respectively. The interaction effect between genotypes and microbial inoculants on dry matter content found to be significant at all stages of the crop. In the vegetative stage, the maximum dry matter content was recorded in G_9M_2 with a value of 1646 kg ha⁻¹. Significantly the lowest dry matter registered by $G_{17}M_3$ (1190 kg ha⁻¹).

Similarly maximum dry matter content of 5445 kg ha⁻¹ was registered for G_2M_2 , which was on par with $G_{12}M_3$ (5389 kg ha⁻¹) and $G_{11}M_2$ (5379 kg ha⁻¹) at the flowering stage. The lowest dry matter production was found to be recorded by G_1M_4 (3929 kg ha⁻¹), which was followed by G_6M_4 (3934 kg ha⁻¹) and $G_{11}M_4$ (3939 kg ha⁻¹) statistically on par with each other. At the harvest stage, the higher dry matter production was registered by $G_{10}M_2$ (8792 kg ha⁻¹) and it was on par with $G_{15}M_2$ (8787 kg ha⁻¹). The lowest dry matter production of 7368 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded by G_1M_4 at harvest stage.

Grain zinc uptake of different genotypes

Soil application of zinc showed a marked variation concerning zinc uptake of sorghum genotypes (Table 4). The mean values of zinc uptake ranged from 6.3 to 15.5 g har¹ at vegetative, 10.3 to 97.9 g har¹ at flowering and 16.5 to 67.1 g har¹ in the harvest stage. Soil application of zinc to sorghum genotypes, microbial inoculants and their interactions with zinc uptake were found to be non-significant in the vegetative stage. In the flowering stage, a higher zinc uptake of 62.1 g har¹ was recorded by K 12 (G9) followed by the genotype TKSV 1036 (G2) (55.7 g har¹) which differed significantly from each other. The significantly lowest zinc uptake of 10.3 g har¹ was recorded by TKSV 1041 (G3). Higher zinc uptake of 67.1 g har¹ was recorded by K 12 (G9) followed by PYT CO 30 (G12) were statistically on par with each other. The lowest zinc uptake of 16.5 g har¹ was recorded by TNS 589 (G20) at the harvest stage.

Table 4. Effect of zinc fertilization and microbial inoculants on zinc uptake (g ha⁻¹) of sorghum genotypes in various stages

Vegetative (g ha ⁻¹)				Flowering (g ha ⁻¹)						Harvest (g ha ⁻¹)						
Tre	atments	Мı	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	M ₃	M ₄	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	Мз	M ₄	Mean
		(RB)	(ZSB)	(VAM)	(Control)	меан	(RB)	(ZSB)	(VAM)	(Control)	меан	(RB)	(ZSB)	(VAM)	(Control)	меан
G ₁	TKSV 1012	10.3	10.5	6.8	9.1	9.2	25.6	50.7	19.6	39.4	33.8	17.1	48.5	23.9	24.7	28.5
G ₂	TKSV 1036	9.8	12.6	14.5	8.2	11.3	58.6	47.4	49.2	67.6	55.7	42.2	34.3	45.0	33.3	38.7
G ₃	TKSV 1041	7.6	14.4	10.9	6.8	9.9	10.3	86.9	39.8	37.3	43.6	32.9	45.1	21.5	35.3	33.7
G ₄	TKSV 1315	7.8	7.1	15.0	7.5	9.3	14.7	49.9	58.3	19.6	35.6	24.6	33.8	42.6	25.3	31.6
G₅	TKSV 1143	8.8	6.9	8.7	11.2	8.9	34.8	22.6	43.8	52.0	38.3	32.0	27.0	25.0	42.8	31.7
G_6	KCC	9.2	10.4	13.2	9.0	10.5	25.3	51.4	67.9	40.1	46.2	16.8	49.2	43.9	25.4	33.8
G ₇	DCC	11.1	9.7	6.4	9.0	9.1	46.7	42.6	32.3	40.1	40.4	29.9	28.3	34.5	25.4	29.5
G ₈	SPV 2118	7.5	15.5	8.0	13.3	11.1	11.0	86.6	17.4	71.7	46.7	33.6	44.8	25.1	45.9	37.3
G۹	K12	11.2	8.6	13.1	13.4	11.6	40.1	72.5	86.2	49.6	62.1	38.6	67.1	25.4	39.0	42.5
G_{10}	K11	9.9	12.7	7.9	14.4	11.2	25.0	67.2	68.6	52.7	53.4	32.7	66.4	25.7	26.0	37.7
G_{11}	K8	10.3	6.5	13.1	11.1	10.3	46.0	42.9	50.5	71.0	52.6	21.8	49.8	44.4	33.5	37.4
G ₁₂	PYT CO30	14.0	8.7	10.8	8.6	10.5	39.4	71.8	18.1	71.4	50.2	39.3	66.8	26.3	25.0	39.3
G_{13}	AYT 1314	12.2	7.8	6.3	10.1	9.1	27.3	97.9	44.2	27.7	49.3	21.1	49.1	25.8	45.6	35.4
G 14	SPV 2104	7.7	8.8	10.9	6.7	8.5	57.9	47.7	53.8	10.7	42.5	29.6	27.3	35.2	25.1	29.3
G_{15}	DPCC	6.6	14.0	9.7	7.6	9.5	15.4	47.0	53.1	38.0	38.4	29.2	28.6	40.9	45.2	36.0
G 16	SPV 2121	6.9	6.4	14.2	7.4	8.7	18.9	67.9	68.3	32.7	46.9	23.2	38.4	44.3	34.9	35.2
G 17	TKSV 1003	13.2	7.7	8.7	7.4	9.2	49.8	25.7	42.2	32.7	37.6	40.2	28.0	27.9	34.9	32.7
G 18	TKSV 1017	8.7	14.1	8.6	7.4	9.7	35.5	97.2	44.5	20.3	49.4	25.3	33.6	44.3	36.0	34.8
G 19	TKSV 1307	13.9	9.8	7.2	7.5	9.6	46.4	25.0	33.0	39.8	36.0	42.9	34.0	33.1	38.1	37.0
G_{20}	TNS 598	6.5	15.1	7.0	8.8	9.4	28.0	97.6	21.9	15.1	40.6	16.5	37.7	44.6	43.5	35.6
	Mean	9.7	10.4	10.0	9.2	-	32.8	59.9	45.6	41.5	-	29.5	41.9	34.0	34.2	-
		G	M	GXM			G	М	GXM			G	М	GXM		
	S.Ed	2.1	2.1	4.2			2.2	2.2	4.4			3.2	3.2	6.4		
CD	(0.05 %)	NS	NS	NS			4.4	4.4	NS			6.4	6.4	12.8		

With regard to microbial inoculants, the application of zinc in soil markedly influenced the zinc uptake in all the growth stages of crop. The maximum zinc uptake of 59.9 kg ha⁻¹ and 41.9 kg ha⁻¹ by M₂ (ZSB) was observed in the flowering and harvest stages respectively. Similarly, M₁ (RB) recorded the lowest zinc uptake 32.8 and 29.5 g ha⁻¹ in the flowering and harvest stages respectively.

The interaction between soil application of zinc with genotypes and microbial inoculants showed significant variations in zinc uptake at 5 % level in the harvest stage. Further close scrutiny of data revealed that the interaction between soil application with genotypes and microbial inoculants recorded a maximum zinc uptake of 67.1 g ha¹ by G_9M_2 . The lowest zinc uptake was recorded by $G_{19}M_1$ (16.5 g ha¹).

Grain and stover yield with harvest index

The application of zinc in the form of enriched manures was found to have influenced grain yield (Table 5). The maximum grain yield (2356 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in the treatment M₁ (K 12) followed by the treatment M₂ (TKSV 1036) (2250 kg ha⁻¹) which were found to be significantly different from each other. Among the different zinc levels, the application of Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha⁻¹ along with seed priming (0.5 % ZnSO₄) + Foliar Spray 0.5 % Zn EDTA at 15, 30 and 45 DAS + ZSB (2 kg ha⁻¹) recorded the highest yield of 3292 kg ha⁻¹ (S₁₅), followed by S₁₄ (2885 kg ha⁻¹), S₁₃ (2836 kg ha⁻¹), S₁₂ (2667 kg ha⁻¹) and S₁₁ (2394 kg ha⁻¹) which were comparable from each other. The treatment (S₁) received the lowest grain yield of 1507 kg ha⁻¹. The genotypes with treatment interactions on grain yield were found non-significant.

Higher stover yield (3937 kg ha¹¹) was recorded by treatment M¹ (K 12) which was significantly different from each other with M² (TMSV 1036) (3847 kg ha¹¹). Among the different levels of zinc application on sorghum genotypes, the significantly highest stover yield of 4867 kg ha¹¹ was observed in S¹5 (S³ + Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha¹¹) and it was on par with S¹4 (S³ + Zn-EOM at 25 kg ha¹¹) and S¹3 (S³ + Zn-EOM at 12.5 kg ha¹¹) with the stover yield of 4576 kg ha¹¹ and 4405 kg ha¹¹ respectively. The interaction effect of zinc × sorghum genotypes on stover yield was non-significant at 5 % level.

Harvest Index did not vary among the treatments with the application of various NPK combinations. The harvest index varied from 33.6% to 40.3% among all the treatments.

Plant zinc uptake

All different soil application treatments on sorghum genotypes showed a significant influence on the Zn uptake (Table 6). The observed range was from 68.3 to 436.7 kg ha⁻¹ during the vegetative stage, 296 to 1660 kg ha⁻¹ during the flowering stage and 899.4 to 1605.3 kg ha⁻¹ during the harvest stages respectively. In main plots, Zn uptake of sorghum genotypes was significantly influenced by soil application of zinc fertilizer. K 12 (M₁) (196.5, 947.2 and 1361.6 kg ha⁻¹) recorded the highest value which was significantly different from TKSV 1036 (M₂) (160.8, 820.7 and 1342.9 kg ha⁻¹) at vegetative, flowering and harvest stages respectively. Within the subplots with different zinc levels of zinc application, significantly higher Zn uptake was observed in S₁₅ (S₉+ Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha⁻¹) (369.8 and 1540.8 kg ha⁻¹) at vegetative and flowering stages respectively. This was followed by S₁₄ (S₉+ Zn-EOM at 25 kg

Table 5. Effect of zinc fertilization on grain yield (kg ha⁻¹), stover yield (kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index of sorghum genotypes

Treatments	Gr	ain yield (kg h	ıa ⁻¹)	Sto	ver yield (k	g ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index			
	M ₁	M ₂	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	Mean	
S ₁	1597	1417	1507	3250	2732	2991	32.9	34.2	33.6	
S_2	1759	1720	1740	3198	3196	3197	35.5	35.0	35.2	
S ₃	1882	1834	1858	3142	3256	3199	37.5	36.0	36.7	
S ₄	1993	1870	1932	3567	3352	3460	35.8	35.8	35.8	
S ₅	2209	2029	2119	3783	3512	3648	36.9	36.6	36.7	
S ₆	2082	1916	1999	3535	3551	3543	37.1	35.0	36.1	
S ₇	2187	2130	2159	3836	3767	3802	36.3	36.1	36.2	
S ₈	2802	2731	2767	4247	4204	4226	39.8	39.4	39.6	
S ₉	2154	2168	2161	4081	4050	4066	34.5	34.9	34.7	
S ₁₀	2301	2159	2230	4163	4113	4138	35.6	34.4	35.0	
S ₁₁	2484	2303	2394	4168	4143	4156	37.3	35.7	36.5	
S ₁₂	2686	2647	2667	4184	4043	4114	39.1	39.6	39.3	
S ₁₃	2880	2792	2836	4420	4389	4405	39.5	38.9	39.2	
S ₁₄	2958	2811	2885	4558	4594	4576	39.4	38.0	38.7	
S ₁₅	3366	3217	3292	4924	4810	4867	40.6	40.1	40.3	
Mean	2356	2250	-	3937	3847	-	37.2	36.6	-	
	М	S	MXS	M	S	MXS	М	S	MXS	
S.Ed	32	32	72	43	43	96	0.22	0.22	0.51	
CD (0.05 %)	67	67	NS	90	90	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Table 6. Effect of zinc fertilization on plant Zn uptake (g ha⁻¹) of sorghum genotypes at various stages

Treatments	V	egetative (g	ha ⁻¹)	F	lowering (g h	a ⁻¹)	Harvest (g ha ⁻¹)			
	Mı	M ₂	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	Mean	M ₁	M ₂	Mean	
S ₁	82.0	68.3	75.1	438.5	296.0	367.3	1306.8	1227.8	1267.3	
S_2	93.9	91.3	92.6	499.0	484.0	491.5	1208.2	1209.9	1209.1	
S ₃	116.3	105.8	111.1	639.1	529.6	584.3	1241.5	1138.8	1190.2	
S ₄	124.7	110.6	117.7	672.1	587.3	629.7	1359.3	1416.4	1387.9	
S ₅	128.7	113.4	121.1	689.1	620.3	654.7	1333.6	1385.8	1359.7	
S_6	153.5	138.5	146.0	805.2	734.5	769.9	1083.4	1329.5	1206.5	
S ₇	161.9	143.0	152.4	811.1	772.1	791.6	899.4	1362.5	1131.0	
S ₈	164.9	144.1	154.5	824.8	791.5	808.1	1402.5	1229.3	1315.9	
S ₉	179.4	175.2	177.3	836.8	833.8	835.3	1253.9	1341.1	1297.5	
S ₁₀	195.7	182.3	189.0	1029.7	901.0	965.4	1597.9	1130.1	1364.0	
S ₁₁	200.6	189.2	194.9	1069.5	902.6	986.0	1539.2	1157.9	1348.6	
S ₁₂	214.0	190.6	202.3	1134.0	1020.3	1077.1	1564.4	1699.5	1631.9	
S ₁₃	322.6	219.2	270.9	1486.3	1158.6	1322.4	1481.4	1358.5	1419.9	
S ₁₄	372.3	238.2	305.3	1612.8	1257.0	1434.9	1605.3	1568.6	1586.9	
S ₁₅	436.7	302.9	369.8	1660.0	1421.6	1540.8	1547.5	1587.7	1567.6	
Mean	196.5	160.8		947.2	820.7		1361.6	1342.9		
	M	S	MXS	M	S	MXS	М	S	MXS	
S.Ed	5.3	5.3	10.3	4	4	8.3	6	6	12	
CD (0.05 %)	10.5	10.5	20.6	8	8	17	13	13	25	

ha⁻¹) which had Zn uptake of 305.3 and 1434.9 kg ha⁻¹. During the harvest stage, the highest Zn uptake was recorded by S₁₂ (1631.9 kg ha⁻¹) followed by S₁₄ (1586.9 kg ha⁻¹). The lowest Zn uptake (75.1 and 367.3 kg ha⁻¹ at vegetative and flowering was recorded in S₁ (absolute control) while S₇ (Zn-EOM at 25 kg ha⁻¹) recorded the lowest Zn uptake (1131.0 kg ha⁻¹) during harvest stage.

Main and subplot interaction was significant for all the treatments. The maximum Zn uptake was recorded by M_1S_{15} (436.7 and 1660.6 kg ha⁻¹) at the vegetative and flowering stages respectively and it was statistically different from M_2S_{15} (302.9 and 1421.6 kg ha⁻¹) while the treatment M_1S_{14} (1605.3 kg ha⁻¹) showed high Zn uptake and differed significantly from M_2S_{14} during harvest stage. Minimum Zn uptake of 68.3 and 296.0 kg ha⁻¹ (vegetative and harvest stages respectively) was recorded by the interaction plot M_2S_1 while during the harvest stage, M_1S_7 showed the minimum value (899.4 kg ha⁻¹). Significant differences had been noticed among the interaction plots for all the treatments during all the crop growth stages.

Grain - zinc uptake

The treatments had a significant influence on the zinc uptake of sorghum genotypes, which ranged from 12.7 to 69.2 mg kg⁻¹ (Table 7). In the main plot, the zinc uptake of sorghum genotypes owing to soil application of zinc was found to be significant. The maximum value was recorded by the genotype K 12 (M₁) (36.3 mg kg⁻¹), and it was on par with TKSV 1036 (M₂) (31.5 mg kg⁻¹) of the grain zinc. In subplots, among the different zinc levels, significantly higher zinc uptake was recorded by S₁₅ (S₉+ Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha⁻¹) (69.2 mg kg⁻¹) in grain. This was followed by S₁₄(S₉+ Zn-EOM at 25 kg ha⁻¹) recorded zinc uptake of 58.3 mg kg⁻¹. The lowest zinc uptake (12.7 mg kg⁻¹) was recorded in S₁ (absolute control). A significant interaction was noticed between zinc applications with genotypes of the grain. The maximum zinc uptake was recorded by M₁S₁₅ (74.7 mg kg⁻¹) of zinc uptake in grain and it was statistically comparable with M₂S₁₅ (63.7 mg kg¹) of zinc uptake in grain and the lowest zinc uptake of 11.1 mg kg⁻¹was recorded by M₂S₁and

Table 7. Effect of zinc fertilization on grain zinc uptake (g ha⁻¹) of Sorghum genotypes

Tuestussuts		Zinc (g ha ⁻¹)	
Treatments -	M ₁	M ₂	Mean
S ₁ - Absolute control	14.4	11.1	12.7
S ₂ - RDF (without Zn)	16.4	15.5	15.9
S₃ - ZnSO₄ at 12.5 kg ha ⁻¹	19.4	17.4	18.4
S₄- ZnSO ₄ at 25 kg ha ⁻¹	21.1	18.0	19.5
S ₅ - ZnSO ₄ at 50 kg ha ⁻¹	24.3	20.3	22.3
S ₆ - Zn-EOM at 12.5 kg ha ⁻¹	27.3	21.7	24.5
S ₇ - Zn-EOM at 25 kg ha ⁻¹	29.3	25.6	27.4
S ₈ - Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha ⁻¹	40.9	33.0	37.0
\textbf{S}_{9} - Seed priming (0.5 % ZnSO ₄) + Foliar spray 0.5 % Zn EDTA at 15, 30 and 45 DAS + ZSB (2 kg ha ⁻¹)	32.1	31.7	31.9
\mathbf{S}_{10} - \mathbf{S}_9 + ZnSO ₄ at 12.5 kg ha ⁻¹	36.8	33.0	34.9
\mathbf{S}_{11} - S_9 + ZnSO ₄ at 25 kg ha ⁻¹	40.0	35.9	38.0
S ₁₂ - S ₉ + ZnSO ₄ at 50 kg ha ⁻¹	45.7	42.1	43.9
S ₁₃ - S ₉ + Zn-EOM at 12.5 kg ha ⁻¹	58.8	49.7	54.2
S ₁₄ - S ₉ + Zn-EOM at 25 kg ha ⁻¹	62.7	54.0	58.3
S ₁₅ - S ₉ + Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha ⁻¹	74.7	63.7	69.2
Mean	36.3	31.5	
	М	S	MXS
S.Ed	0.8	0.8	0.2
CD (0.05 %)	1.8	1.8	0.4

was comparable with M₁S₁ (14.4 and mg kg⁻¹) of zinc uptake in grain.

The field experiment employed twenty genotypes and four microbial inoculants along with soil application of various zinc treatments to examine the influence of zinc and microbial inoculants versus the response of genotypes. The results inferred that application of different microbial inoculants along with zinc on vegetative and booting stages significantly increased the enzyme activity, content, uptake, yield and quality of all genotypes. However, the cultivars K 12, TKSV 1036, K11, TKSV 1012, Kalanpatti chencholam, Dharmapuri chencholam and SPV 2118 were found to be highly responsive to the externally applied iron and zinc fertilizers.

Total dry matter production

DMP was recorded at the three stages of sorghum genotypes and the impact of zinc application and microbial inoculants. Zinc sulphate application at 25 kg ha⁻¹ along with microbial inoculants increased the DMP of sorghum genotypes K 12 (1565 kg ha⁻¹) compared to the control (1281 kg ha⁻¹). The increase in DMP in the presence of adequate zinc might be due to the induced auxin synthesis that promotes the growth of the plant (1, 2). This reduction in dry matter production without zinc application might be due to Zn shortage leading to slowdown of photosynthesis (3).

Zinc uptake

Considerable increase in Zn uptake was observed with the advancement in growth stages of the crop and with the application of zinc sulphate and microbial inoculants.

Highest Zn uptake of $67.1~g~ha^{-1}$ was observed by the G_9M_2 and the lowest was recorded by $G_{19}M_1$. Higher DMP and Zn content might be the reason for higher zinc uptake under this treatment. Also, higher nutrient uptake in plants can be attributed to more availability of nutrients and more absorptive area due to microbial inoculation (4).

Among the inoculants, M_2 (VAM) registered maximum (10.4, 59.9 and 41.9 g ha⁻¹) of zinc uptake over control at all three stages of crop growth. It is expected that higher zinc content and DMP recorded due to the application of inoculants ZSB (M_2) have resulted in maximum zinc uptake under this treatment. Plants that

received nutrients (100 % NPK + Zn at 25 kg ha⁻¹) in combination with microbial inoculants produced maximum growth which could be attributed to better availability of nutrients from the rhizosphere and due to congenial environment prevailed in the vicinity of the root zone for the persistence and perpetuation of beneficial microbial inoculants to perform the better task of nutrient mobilization. This might be due to the solubilization of insoluble soil Zn by the production of gluconic acid by the microbes (5). The overall increase in plant growth and nutrient uptake was the result of partly Zn solubilization and IAA production by the inoculants used. Similar results on nutrient uptake were recorded in rice (6) and common bean (7).

Field experiment II (Soil application zinc)

The field experiment employed two genotypes and fifteen different zinc levels and to examine the influence of zinc versus response of genotypes. According to the findings, applying vermicompost-enriched zinc boosted zinc availability, enzyme activity, content, absorption, yield and quality in all genotypes. The genotypes K 12, TKSV 1036 were shown to be particularly tolerant to externally supplied zinc fertilizers and salinity.

Total dry matter production

Sorghum genotypes' dry matter yield rose as a result of different treatments. There was a considerable rise in total dry matter output with crop growth progress in all genotypes. The dry matter production of sorghum is the manifestation of progress and expansion of many morphological characteristics, which is directly connected to yield. The dry matter production at various phases of crop development is indicative of the influence of treatments throughout crop growth. At all phases of crop development, the complete experimental field obtaining NPK with zinc fertilizers performed much better than the control.

The application of a 25 % additional dosage of zinc enriched form (Zn-EOM) led to a rise in plant growth regulators, which led to higher total dry matter production at all stages than the control.

Zinc's favourable effect on dry matter production may be attributed to tryptophan synthesis in plants. Tryptophan is a precursor for the manufacturing of growth regulators such as auxin, indole acetic acid and cytokine, which perform important roles in cell division, cell elongation and root growth, which would have augmented crop growth and nutrient uptake, resulting in a greater in vegetative growth and ultimately promoting the DMP (8-10).

K12 (M_1) was shown to be better in total dry matter production throughout all phases. The zinc ingested engages in plant metabolic processes and enhances the photosynthetic rate, which may result in higher in plant dry matter production. Increasing DMP has been linked to improved photosynthetic rates and also increased nutrient absorption and utilization (11). A constant rise in dry matter production witnessed with the imposed of enriched zinc may be attributed to the rise in root foraging capacity as a result of greater root development (10) which may have aided the plants to have enhanced uptake of lant nutrients and ultimately improved plant vegetative growth (12, 13).

Grain and stover yield

All the yield attributing characters viz ear head length and 1000 grain weight were significantly reflected in the respective grain yield of the genotypes. Grain yield was found to be enhanced by the application of zinc in the form of enriched manures. Treatments with Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha¹ (M¹S¹5) (3366 kg ha³) had the highest grain yield, followed by treatments with Zn-EOM at 25 kg ha¹ (M²S¹5) (3217 kg ha³). In M_1S_{15} , M_1S_{14} and M_2S_{13} , the percentage increase in yield over control was 16.1, 15.3 and 13.6% respectively.

The treatments from M_1S_{15} to M_2S_{15} received zinc enhanced with vermicompost, which promoted continuous availability of these micronutrients throughout crop development, as shown by the higher growth parameters observed in this research. Application of zinc would have boosted biosynthesis, growth hormone, starch synthesis and maturity, which resulted in increasing seed weight (14) and grain yield (15).

The plots cultivated with K 12 (M1) had the highest grain production of the two genotypes. As evidenced by the data recorded by those genotypes, application of recommended doses of fertilizers along with micronutrients like zinc in the chelated or vermicompost enriched form may have promoted plant growth characters and yield attributes like productive tillers, ear head length and grain weight, which would have improved the grain yield of the above-mentioned genotypes (16). Earlier study researchers noted similar grain yield findings with different genotypes (17-20). Increases in plant height, leaf area, number of tillers per plant and dry matter content of the plant were presumably responsible for the increase in stover yield. Vermicompost enhanced form treatments (Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha⁻¹) produced more stover. M₁ seemed to have the maximum stover yield among the various sorghum genotypes (K 12). With the provision of a balanced dose of NPK with zinc, increased stover yield is the result of a positive and synergistic interaction between nutrient supply and crop development, as evidenced by enhanced growth parameters (19, 21). Moreover, zinc is involved in the detoxification of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which plays a barrier role in the prevention of photo oxidative damage in chloroplasts, which would have promoted crop development (22). Similarly, iron supplementation would have increased the chlorophyll content, resulting in increased plant height by promoting vegetative growth, which in turn increased the crop's stover yield (23-25).

Zinc uptake

The uptake of zinc was higher with soil application of Zn-EOM at 50 kg ha⁻¹ (M_1S_{15}) and Zn-EOM at 25 kg ha⁻¹(M_1S_{14}) compared to ZnSO₄ at 50 kg ha⁻¹ (M_1S_{12}) and ZnSO₄ at 25 kg ha⁻¹ (M_1S_{11}) at all growth stages of crop. The influence of chelated Zn over FYM enriched ZnSO₄ in crop growth and utilization was higher due to less retention and greater transport and movement of Zn chelate by plant roots. The uptake of zinc in grain (48.4 g ha⁻¹) and straw (1567 g ha⁻¹) was observed in treatment supplemented with Zn-EOM. This might be due to less fixation with soil application of chelated Zn (26) coupled with greater transport and movement of zinc chelate by plant roots over FYM enriched zinc. Further, enriched Zn applied increased the labile form of Zn during crop growth and enhanced the Zn content and uptake in maize (27).

The treatments, which received FYM-enriched zinc, recorded higher content and uptake of zinc next to Zn-EOM. It was documented increased zinc content by combined use of organics and mineral fertilizers (28). Application of farmyard manure enriched with zinc on decomposition produce a variety of biochemical substances, which would have stimulated the solubility of zinc that might have increased the availability of zinc and increased uptake of zinc by plants (29-32).

With regard to genotypes, the application of zinc in soil markedly influenced the zinc uptake in all the growth stages of crop. The maximum zinc uptake was recorded by K 12 (M_1) followed by TKSV 1036 (M_2). This may be attributed to differential ability of genotypes on absorption and transport of the nutrient during crop growth. Similar results were reported earlier in sorghum (33), maize (34), sorghum (25, 35).

Conclusion

The concept of biofortification of enriching nutrient density in economic part, targeting the soil-plant and human continuum facilitates enriching zinc from source to sink with sustainable supply chain scenario and thus recommending Zn fertilizers more judiciously as per levels of soil fertility could be the future key to maintain soil health and human nutrition. Integrated zinc nutrient management with the combination of the best Zn use efficient and responsive genotype of sorghum K 12 along with seed priming, ZSB and Zn-EOM plus foliar spray enhanced the growth, yield and zinc enrichment of sorghum in saline soil. The combination of 50 kg ha⁻¹ ZnSO₄as enriched vermicompost along with seed priming, foliar spray thrice plus ZSB with recommended dose of NPKgave higher grain yield (3292 kg ha⁻¹), zinc content of 2.22 mg kg⁻¹ (in grain), over recommended NPK alone. Thus, zinc biofortification in sorghum (K 12) is recommended to overcome malnutrition and to improve livelihood and socioeconomic status of rainfed black soil farmers of Southern Tamil Nadu.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University and the Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, V. O. Chidhambaranar Agricultural University, TNAU, Killikulam, Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu for providing necessary permission to publish research outcome.

Authors' contributions

RV carried out the experiment, analysed the data and BK wrote the manuscript. SM, MM and KM conceived, designed and coordinated the experiments and corrected the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest: Authors do not have any conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical issues: None

References

- Pandey N, Gupta B, Pathak GC. Foliar application of Zn at flowering stage improves plant's performance, yield and yield attributes of black gram. Indian J Exp Biol. 2013;51(7):548-55.
- Gurmani AR, Khan SU, Andaleep R, Waseem K, Khan A. Soil Application of zinc improves growth and yield of tomato. Int J Agric Biol. 2012;14(1):476-77.
- Tariq M, Khan MA, Perveen S. Response of maize to applied soil zinc. Asian J Plant Sci. 2002;1(4):476-7. https://doi.org/10.3923/aips.2002.476.477
- Sudhir L, Venkataram B, Patil L. Effect of inoculating grains with Azotobacter at different levels of nitrogen. Plant soil. 1982;112:127-9.
- Di Simine CD, Sayer JA, Gadd GM. Solubilization of zinc phosphate by a strain of Pseudomonas fluorescens isolated from a forest soil. Biol Fertil Soils. 1998;28(1):87-94. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s003740050467
- Tariq M, Hameed S, Malik KA, Hafeez FY. Plant root associated bacteria for zinc mobilization in rice. Pak J Bot. 2007;39(1):245.
- Abbaszedeh P, Savaghebi GR, Rahmani HA, Rejali F, Farahbakhsh M, Motesharezadeh B, et al. Effect of fluorescent pseudomonads on improving zinc compounds solubility and zinc uptake by common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Iran J Soil Res. 2012;26(2):195-206.
- Suganya A, Saravanan A, Manivannan N. Role of zinc nutrition for increasing zinc availability, uptake, yield, and quality of maize (*Zea mays* L.) grains: An overview. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal. 2020;51 (15):2001-21. https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2020.1820030
- Amanullah MM, Ananthi T, Subramanian K, Muthukrishnan P. Influence of mycorrhiza, nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, yield and economics of hybrid maize. Madras Agric J. 2011;98(1/3):62-66. https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.100243
- Sharafi S, Tajbakhsh M, Majidi M, Pourmirza A. Effect of iron and zinc fertilizer on yield and yield components of two forage corn cultivars in Urmia. Soil Water. 2002;12:85-94.
- Lodha ML, Johari RP, Sharma KD, Mehta SL. Photosynthesis and translocation rate in *Arachis hypogaea* L. mutants. Biochem Physiol Pflanz. 1985;180(5):337-343. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0015-3796 (85)80083-4
- Reyes RY, R Brinkman. Some methods to minimize zinc deficiency in transplanted wetland rice. Proceedings of Symposium on Paddy Soils. 1981. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-68141-7_78
- Srivastava PC, Ghosh D, Singh VP. Evaluation of different zinc sources for lowland rice production. Biol Fertil Soils. 1999;30(1):168 -172. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003740050604
- Tariq A, Anjum SA, Randhawa MA, Ullah E, Naeem M, Qamar R, et al. Influence of zinc nutrition on growth and yield behaviour of maize (Zea mays L.) hybrids. Am J Plant Sci. 2014. https://doi.org/10.4236/ ajps.2014.518279
- 15. Rukmani N, Prabhaharan J, Senthil K, Thiyageswari S, Banumathy

- S. Interaction of Zinc with cationic micronutrient under graded levels of chelsted Zn in typic haplustalf. Res J Agric Sci. 2018;9 (5):1010-13.
- Abishek R. Integrated soil fertility management for biofortification of iron in barnyard millet (*Echinochloa frumantacea*) genotypes for iron deficient soil. [MSc Thesis]. Department of Soils and Environment, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Tamil Nadu, India. 2019.
- 17. Babu AM, Chandrika V, Reddy KS. Effect of nitrogen fertilization on the yield of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn.) varieties under rainfed condition. Andhra Agric J. 2003.
- Basavarajappa S. Response of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) genotypes to different doses of NPK fertilizers under shallow red soils. Karnataka J Agric Sci. 2003;16(2):291-3.
- Kumar SA, Chidanandappa HM, Babu MVS. Effect of Different Sources of Zinc of Growth, Yield and Uptake of Nutrients by Maize Crop (Zea mays L.). Mysore J Agric Sci. 2010;44(1):92-99.
- Naiyar-Ali, Ahmad. Response of finger millet varieties to nitrogen levels under rainfed condition. J Res Birsa Agric Univ. 2004;16(1):51-54
- Reddy MM, Padmaja B, Reddy DVV. Performance of maize (*Zea mays* L.) and sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) under different sowing dates in rice fallows. J Res ANGRAU. 2010;38(1&2):62-69.
- Kaya C, Higgs D. Response of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum L.*) cultivars to foliar application of zinc when grown in sand culture at low zinc. Sci Hortic. 2002;93(1):53-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4238(01)00310-7
- Hussain A, Ali S, Rizwan M, Zia ur Rehman M, Hameed A, Hafeez F, et al. Role of zinc-lysine on growth and chromium uptake in rice plants under Cr stress. J Plant Growth Regul. 2018;37(4):1413-1422. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-018-9831-x
- DhanalakshmiM. Synthesis and formulation of chelated micronutrient mixture and nutrient management in rice crop. [MSc Thesis]. Department of Soils and Environment, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University. Tamil Nadu, India. 2019.
- 25. Jemila C, Shanmugasundaram R. A preface screening of zinc use efficient pearl millet varieties in calcareous soil. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2018;7(5):1833-37.
- Ortiz EME, Garcia OA. Process of sorption of zinc in three soils of Colombia cultivated with rice (*Oryza sativa*) of the dry land variety Llano-5. Suelos Ecuator. 1998;28:112-117.
- Alvarez JM, Rico MI. Effects of zinc complexes on the distribution of zinc in calcareous soil and zinc uptake by maize. J Agric Food Chem. 2003;51(19):5760-67. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf030092m
- 28. Wu SC, Cao ZG, Li KC, Cheung KC, Wong MH. Effects of biofertilizer containing N-fixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth: A greenhouse trial. Geoderma. 2005;125(1-2):155-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.07.003
- Rajamani P, Shanmugasundaram R. Evaluation of greengram genotypes for seed enhancement of Fe nutrition in calcareous soil. Asian J Soil Sci. 2014;9 (1):78-81.
- Yadav OP, Rai KN, Khairwal IS, Rajpurohit BS, Mahala RS. Breeding pearl millet for arid zone of north-western India: Constraints, opportunities and approaches. All India coordinated pearl millet improvement project, Jodhpur, India. 2011. p. 28.
- Cakmak I. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: agronomic or genetic biofortification? Plant Soil 2008;302:(1):1-17. https:// doi.org/10.1023/A:1004247911381
- 32. Stalin P, Das T, Muthumanickam D, Chitdeshwari T, Velu V. Foliar nutrition of rice to enhance micronutrient concentration in grains. Int Rice Res Notes. 2011;117-4185.
- Jain NK, Dahama AK. Residual effect of phosphorus and zinc on yield, nutrient content and uptake and economics of pearlmillet (*Pennisetum glaucum*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. Ind J Agric Sci. 2005;75(5):281-4.

- 34. Shanmugasundaram R, Savithri P. Available micronutrients in soils of Virudhunagar and Vellore districts of Tamil Nadu. Agric Sci Dig. 2002;22(4):232-25.
- Singh BR, Timsina YN, Lind OC, Cagno S, Anssens K. Zinc and iron concentration as affected by nitrogen fertilization and their localization in wheat grain. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:307. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00307

Additional information

Peer review: Publisher thanks Sectional Editor and the other anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints & permissions information is available at https://horizonepublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/open_access_policy

Publisher's Note: Horizon e-Publishing Group remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Indexing: Plant Science Today, published by Horizon e-Publishing Group, is covered by Scopus, Web of Science, BIOSIS Previews, Clarivate Analytics, NAAS, UGC Care, etc

 ${\bf See \ https://horizone publishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/indexing_abstracting}$

 $\label{lem:copyright: an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)$

Publisher information: Plant Science Today is published by HORIZON e-Publishing Group with support from Empirion Publishers Private Limited, Thiruvananthapuram, India.