



REVIEW ARTICLE

Harnessing essential oils as neurotoxic biopesticides against stored product pests

S Paripoorani¹, M Shanthi^{2*}, M Murugan¹, N Senthil³, S Haripriya⁴, M Jayakanthan⁵ & N Vijayakumari¹

¹Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India

²Directorate of Centre for Plant Protection Studies, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India

³Directorate of Centre for Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India

⁴Department of Vegetable Science, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India

⁵Department of Plant Molecular Biology and Bioinformatics, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, India

*Correspondence email - mshanthiento@tnau.ac.in

Received: 12 April 2025; Accepted: 15 July 2025; Available online: Version 1.0: 26 August 2025; Version 2.0: 23 September 2025

Cite this article: Paripoorani S, Shanthi M, Murugan M, Senthil N, Haripriya S, Jayakanthan M, Vijayakumari N. Harnessing essential oils as neurotoxic biopesticides against stored product pests. Plant Science Today. 2025; 12(sp1): 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.14719/pst.7358>

Abstract

The search for sustainable solutions in pest management, particularly for stored-product pests, has accelerated due to growing concerns about food safety, environmental contamination and the development of resistance to synthetic pesticides. Essential oils (EOs), extracted from aromatic plants, possess strong neurotoxic properties and offer ecological safety. These attributes contribute to their significant potential as natural biopesticides. The review highlights current insights into the neurotoxic mechanisms of EOs, particularly their interactions with acetylcholinesterase (AChE), octopaminergic receptors, ion channels and other critical components of insect nervous systems. The efficacy of various EOs against key storage pests such as *Sitophilus* spp., *Tribolium* spp. and *Callosobruchus* spp. is discussed, highlighting their fumigant, contact and repellent activities. Despite their potential, their actual usage is limited due to several issues, including volatility, inconsistent chemical composition and limited residual activity. To address these obstacles, advancements in standardization, molecular understanding and formulation technologies are necessary. The review also emphasizes regulatory challenges and outlines future research directions for integrating EO-based neurotoxic agents into sustainable post-harvest pest management strategies. In conclusion, EOs offer a viable and environmentally sustainable approach to protecting agricultural commodities.

Keywords: biopesticides; essential oils; neurotoxin; pest management; storage pest

Introduction

Essential oils (EOs), also known volatile or ethereal oils, are complex natural mixtures derived from aromatic plants. These oils are made up of volatile compounds that plants produce as secondary metabolites (1). Globally, there are over 17500 species of aromatic plants, mostly found in tropical areas and over 3000 of their chemical components have been identified to date (2). Approximately 300 essential oils have been utilized commercially, primarily in pharmaceuticals, cosmetics, perfumery and pest control. The main structural components of essential oils-phenolic compounds, monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes-play distinct functional roles: phenolics are primarily responsible for antimicrobial and antioxidant activities, monoterpenes contribute to high volatility and rapid insecticidal action, while sesquiterpenes are associated with longer-lasting effects and lower volatility (3). Plants, bacteria, viruses, fungi and even worms are all natural sources of biopesticides, which have unique biological features. Among these, plant-derived biopesticides are increasingly favoured in integrated pest management systems to minimize the

presence of synthetic chemical residues in the food supply chain. Research indicates that only around 10 % of traditional chemical pesticides are successful at controlling pests; the other 90 % remain in the environment and may contaminate human resources through runoff and leaching. In light of this, recent scientific attention has switched to sustainable agriculture practices, with biopesticides emerging as promising options to reducing chemical pesticide burdens in soils (4).

Bioactive compounds in essential oils affecting the nervous system

The use of EOs in pest control has two advantages: first, their low toxicity on mammals, birds and fish, which translates into safer products; and second, the great structural diversity of their components, making them a potential source of multi-target bioactive molecules (5). EOs are complex blends of bioactive compounds, primarily composed of monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes and their oxygenated derivatives, contributing to their diverse biological activities (6, 7). Most notably, citronellal, geraniol, limonene, 1,8-cineole and eugenol are

active compounds that frequently occurring (8). Monoterpenes, which are lipophilic liquids with high volatility, are common secondary metabolites in plants. Hydrodistillation or solvent extraction isolates EOs from aromatic plants.

Essential oils (EOs) exhibit insecticidal activity through multiple biochemical pathways. These include neurotoxic actions such as disrupting synaptic transmission involving gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), inhibiting the function of acetylcholinesterase, altering the octopaminergic signaling system and suppressing the activity of cytochrome P450 enzymes (9). Bioactive compounds, such as terpenes, phenols and aldehydes, interact with neurotransmitter systems and modulate ion channels, thereby exerting profound effects on the insect nervous system. Consequently, EOs exhibit multiple insecticidal actions, including ovipositional deterrents, larvicidal effects, fumigant toxicity, repellency and antifeedant activity.

The unique toxic effects of the vapours of compounds present in EOs against stored product insects cause fumigant toxicity. In the case of *Sitophilus oryzae*, pulegone ($LC_{50} = 0.70 \mu\text{L/L}$), borneol ($0.1 \mu\text{L}/720 \text{ mL}$), citral ($LC_{50} = 7.75 \text{ mL/L}$) and 1,8-cineole ($LC_{50} = 14.19 \text{ mg/L}$) caused 100 % mortality (10, 11). Similarly, linalool ($LC_{50} = 0.016 \text{ mg/cm}^3$), limonene ($LC_{50} = 0.122 \text{ mg/cm}^3$), α -pinene ($LC_{50} = 0.264 \text{ mg/cm}^3$) and β -myrcene ($LC_{50} = 0.274 \text{ mg/cm}^3$) were found to be potent effective fumigants against *Sitophilus zeamais*.

***Eucalyptus dundasii* EO:** Strong fumigant and repellent activity against *O. surinamensis*; antifeedant against *R. dominica*; attributed to 1,8-cineole (12).

***Artemisia annua* EO:** Highly repellent to *T. castaneum* and *L. serricorne*, exceeding DEET; due to α -caryophyllene and α -pinene (13).

***A. princeps* + *C. camphora* EOs:** Enhanced repellency against *S. oryzae* through synergistic interaction (14).

***Carum copticum* EO:** Potent fumigant, ovicidal and larvicidal action on *C. maculatus*; linked to monoterpene neurotoxins (15).

***Vitex pseudo-negundo* EO:** Similar effects on *C. maculatus*, though less active than *C. copticum* (15).

***Alpinia katsumadai* EO:** Strong contact toxicity and repellency

against *T. castaneum*, *L. bostrychophila* and *L. serricorne*; due to methyl cinnamate (16).

***Cymbopogon citratus* EO:** Effective contact and fumigant toxicity against *P. truncatus*, causing 95 % mortality within 48 hr (17).

EOs have been extensively evaluated for their repellent properties, particularly against coleopteran storage pests. Limonene and menthol exhibited 83.3 % and 78 % repellency, respectively, against *S. oryzae* at doses of $75 \mu\text{L/cm}^2$ and $0.353 \mu\text{g/cm}^2$ (18, 19). Carvacrol and geraniol exhibited higher repellency against *R. dominica*, with 96 % and 92 % repellency at 12.5 and $25 \mu\text{g/cm}^2$, respectively. Geraniol showed the highest repellent effect against *L. serricorne* (94.0 % repellence at $50.0 \mu\text{g/cm}^2$) (20). Additionally, β -pinene induced 52.0% repellence at $0.63 \mu\text{L/cm}^2$ (21). The toxicity of the EOs against storage pests and the main bioactive compounds in EOs are listed in Table 1.

Overall, these findings highlight the promise of EOs as natural alternatives for managing stored-product pests via neurotoxic mechanisms. However, further research is essential to address challenges related to formulation, stability, standardization and field efficacy.

Mechanisms of action of essential oils on insect neural pathways

Due to their well-known insecticidal properties, monoterpenoids such as menthol, citronellal, linalool and D-limonene are frequently used in commercial formulations as insecticides or insect repellents. The bioactivity of EOs largely stems from their mono- and sesquiterpenes components, which can target critical insect molecular receptors, including the Methoprene-tolerant receptor (MET), Juvenile Hormone Binding Protein (JHBP) and the Octopamine receptor (36).

Monoterpenes exert their insecticidal effects through multiple neurophysiological pathways. Their neurotoxicity has been attributed to interfering with key targets, including acetylcholinesterase (AChE), gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors, octopaminergic receptors, voltage-gated sodium channels and glutamate-gated chloride channels (37, 38). In addition to disrupting neural function, monoterpenes inhibit detoxification enzymes, such as glutathione-S-transferase and

Table 1. Toxicity of essential oils and their bioactive compounds against storage pests

Essential oil	Major bioactive compounds	Insect pests	Reference
<i>Eucalyptus</i> sp	1,8-cineole	<i>S. zeamais</i>	(22)
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Linalool, methyl chavicol, Linalool and estragole	<i>S. zeamais</i>	(23, 24)
<i>Citrus aurantifolia</i>	(S)-Limonene	<i>S. zeamais</i>	(25)
<i>Mentha piperita</i>	Menthol	<i>S. oryzae</i>	(26)
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i>	α -pinene	<i>S. oryzae</i>	(26)
<i>Ocimum tenuiflorum</i>	Eugenol	<i>S. oryzae</i>	(27)
<i>Melaleuca bracteata</i>	Methyl eugenol	<i>S. oryzae</i>	(28)
<i>Syzygium aromaticum</i>	Eugenol	<i>S. oryzae</i>	(29)
<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>	Linalool and estragole	<i>R. dominica</i>	(30)
<i>Mentha spicata</i>	Menthol, isomenthone and menthyl acetate	<i>R. dominica</i>	(30)
<i>Citrus aurantium</i>	Limonene and myrcene	<i>R. dominica</i>	(30)
<i>Myristica fragrans</i>	Eugenol, methylisoeugenol, methyleugenol	<i>L. serricorne</i>	(31)
<i>Elsholtzia ciliata</i>	Limonene, β -ocimene, Carvone	<i>L. serricorne</i>	(32)
<i>Bupleurum bicaule</i>	trans-2-isopropyl bicyclo [4.3.0] non-3-en-8-one, 4,5-dimethyl-1,2,3,6,7,8,8a,8b- octahydrobiphenylene and 1,4-dimethoxy-2-tert-butylbenzene	<i>L. serricorne</i> and <i>L. bostrychophila</i>	(33)
<i>Tetradium ruticarpum</i>	3-carene	<i>L. serricorne</i>	(34)
<i>Artemisia lavandulaefolia</i>	Chamazulene, 1,8-cineole and β -caryophyllene	<i>L. serricorne</i>	(35)

cytochrome P450 and interfere with growth regulation and metabolic processes essential for insect survival (39, 40).

Insect acetylcholinesterase (AChE) differs from its mammalian counterpart by a unique cysteine residue, making it a promising target for selective insecticides with minimal risk to non-target vertebrates (41). Research indicates that their symptoms on pests were similar to those of organophosphate and carbamate insecticides, suggesting that AChE could be the primary target for monoterpenes. Around 28 chemicals were evaluated for their effect on insect AChE and 23 of them inhibited the enzyme. α -pinene, β -pinene, β -phellandrene, carvacrol, limonene, menthol, menthone, 1,8-cineole, cis-ocimene and niloticin were the most effective among them (42).

Eleven monoterpenes had an inhibitory effect on the activity of AChE of *T. castaneum* and *S. oryzae* (11). Many essential oil components inhibit AChE; however, they have lower potency than synthetic inhibitors (42). Similarly, crucial oil-based compounds have shown promise as anticholinesterase insecticides (43). The essential oil of *Lippia turbinata* contributes to the mortality of bruchid pests and efficiently inhibits AChE in vitro. Cuminaldehyde, 1,8-cineole and (-) limonene were the most successful inhibitors of *S. oryzae* (44). Phytol has neurotoxic effects on the nervous system of insects, mainly via inhibiting the activity of acetylcholinesterase (AChE) (45). Moreover, researches indicate that phytol disrupts the usual growth cycle and impedes normal insect development. Additionally, retinol and phytol disrupt insect growth cycles, significantly prolonging the larval stage and causing developmental delays (46).

Several EO components, such as thymol, carvacrol and eugenol, modulate the GABAergic system, which regulates Cl^- ion flow in inhibitory synapses. This modulation affects membrane hyperpolarisation, leading to either excessive inhibition or disinhibition, which disrupts the nervous system. Thus, EOs alter GABA ion channels as part of their neurotoxic mechanism (47). Insecticidal properties of various EOs suggest that their mode of action often involves disruption of neural signalling, possibly by interfering with GABA receptors (48). The role of GABAergic pathways in the efficacy of EOs was emphasized, with a call for further electrophysiological research to clarify their specific receptor-binding mechanisms (49). In the housefly, carvacrol, pulegone and thymol were shown to modulate GABA receptors (50).

EOs such as eugenol, α -terpineol and cinnamic alcohol have been shown to increase heart rate and cAMP levels at specific doses, binding to octopamine receptors, altering normal neural signalling and causing hyperactivity, paralysis and death (51). EOs elevate intracellular cAMP and calcium levels, indicating octopaminergic modulation that supports their role as bioinsecticides (42). Exposure to EO from *M. alternifolia* caused mitochondrial dysfunction and neurotoxicity in *S. zeamais* (52). Detailed evidence was provided on how essential oil components, particularly monoterpenes, act on voltage-gated sodium channels (53). Other neural targets include the GABA receptor, the octopamine receptor, the tyramine receptor and the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR). Among these, GABA remains the primary inhibitory neurotransmitter in the insect nervous system.

Challenges and limitations of essential oils as neurotoxic biopesticides

EOs' limitations and difficulties as neurotoxic biopesticides. Although EOs are considered environmentally friendly alternatives to synthetic pesticides, several challenges limit their widespread adoption in pest control. Reduced residual activity and short-term efficacy in storage conditions are frequently the result of their high volatility and vulnerability to deterioration upon exposure to light, heat and oxygen (54). Furthermore, depending on the type of plant, the region of origin and the extraction technique, the chemical makeup of EOs can differ greatly, resulting in inconsistent insecticidal efficacy (55). Because of their hydrophobic nature, they are challenging to formulate and supply, making it difficult for EOs to penetrate insect cuticles or adhere effectively to stored grain surfaces. Although new delivery methods, such as microencapsulation and nanoemulsions, have potential, they are often costly and have not yet gained widespread use (56). Although EOs are generally considered benign, some can be hazardous to people and non-target creatures, particularly at higher concentrations (57). The approval process for biopesticides is complex and varies across nations and many formulations lack thorough toxicological and environmental data, which pose regulatory obstacles that further hinder their commercialization (58, 59). These restrictions highlight the need for improved formulations, standardization and policy frameworks that enable the use of EOs in pest management.

Formulation and delivery strategies for essential oil-based biopesticides

Scaling up the use of EO in agriculture is hindered by variability in active component concentrations and supply inconsistencies of plant-derived materials. Additionally, EOs have significant limits due to their physicochemical features, such as high volatility, limited stability and susceptibility to rapid evaporation and degradation. These issues reduce their effectiveness in field conditions. To overcome such limitations, significant research has focused on developing advanced formulations such as micro- and nano-encapsulation in both liquid and solid matrices that can enhance EO stability, protect active constituents from degradation and provide controlled release mechanisms for improved efficacy and usability (60). These encapsulation methods create protective carriers around EO molecules, reducing degradation and allowing controlled release.

A major challenge with EOs is the poor protection of their volatile organic compounds (VOCs). The total VOC abundance depends on the release kinetics, which are influenced by the formulation. While pure EOs exhibit higher VOC release, formulated EOs ensure a more gradual and controlled release (61). To address these challenges, various formulation strategies have been explored. A gel-based essential oil (EO) formulation using nanoemulsions was developed by incorporating agarose and sodium polyacrylate to enhance stability and controlled release (62). This formulation demonstrated the high efficacy of a garlic EO-based formulation against *Tribolium confusum*, with an LC_{50} of 0.486 mg/L (62). The formulation maintained its bioactivity over an extended period, ensuring prolonged effectiveness.

The use of nanoemulsions of *M. piperita* essential oil (EO) for stored grain pest management revealed that nano-formulations significantly enhanced insecticidal efficiency while reducing the required EO concentration. The LC₅₀ at 24 hr post-exposure was 0.332 µL/cm² for the EO, while the nanoemulsion exhibited a lower LC₅₀ of 0.192 µL/cm², indicating a more effective formulation with reduced EO usage (63). Studies on EO-based nano-biopesticides have shown promising results, especially in repelling *R. dominica*, demonstrating strong repellent properties (64). Eucalyptus oil nanoemulsions exhibited superior insecticidal efficacy against *S. oryzae* and *T. castaneum* (65). The nanoemulsions provided enhanced stability, reduced volatility and prolonged residual activity, making them a promising alternative to synthetic pesticides for protecting stored rice. Chitosan nanoparticle-encapsulated peppermint essential oil was formulated, exhibiting improved stability, controlled release and high insecticidal efficacy against stored-grain pests (66). This formulation presents a promising alternative for sustainable pest management. A sustained-release cinnamon essential oil microemulsion was developed, demonstrating enhanced insecticidal efficacy and prolonged residual activity while reducing volatility and improving stability thereby minimizing environmental loss and contributing to a reduced ecological footprint (67).

Conclusion

EOs are gaining significant attention for their potential as neurotoxic biopesticides due to their diverse mechanisms of action and ecologically safe properties. Targeting vital components of the insect nervous system, such as AChE inhibition, interference with neurotransmitter receptors and ion channel disruption, EOs exhibit substantial insecticidal potential while lowering the risk of resistance development. These are particularly appealing for integrated pest management (IPM) and sustainable agriculture due to their low toxicity to non-target organisms, quick degradation and natural origin. However, their broad use in commercial pest management is still hampered by drawbacks such as low persistence, formulation issues and inconsistencies in chemical composition.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support and facilities provided at the Department of Agricultural Entomology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University.

Authors' contributions

SP, MS, MM, NS, SH, MJ and NV contributed equally to the conceptualization of the review, literature collection, critical analysis of the findings, and manuscript preparation.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflict of interest.

Ethical issues: None

References

1. Mossa ATH. Green pesticides: essential oils as biopesticides in insect-pest management. *J Environ Sci Technol*. 2016;9(5):354. <https://doi.org/10.3923/jest.2016.354.378>
2. Kumar P, Mishra S, Malik A, Satya S. Insecticidal properties of *Mentha* species: a review. *Ind Crops Prod*. 2011;34(1):802–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2011.02.019>
3. Isman MB. Bridging the gap: moving botanical insecticides from the laboratory to the farm. *Ind Crops Prod*. 2017;110:10–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.07.012>
4. Batish DR, Singh HP, Kohli RK, Kaur S. Eucalyptus essential oil as a natural pesticide. *For Ecol Manag*. 2008;256(12):2166–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.08.008>
5. Ootani MA, Teixeira MM, Alves E. Use of essential oils in agriculture. *J Biotechnol Biodivers*. 2013;4(2):162–74. <https://doi.org/10.20873/jbb.uft.cemaf.v4n2.ootani>
6. Carson CF, Hammer KA, Riley TV. *Melaleuca alternifolia* (tea tree) oil: a review of antimicrobial and other medicinal properties. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2006;19(1):50–62. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.19.1.50-62.2006>
7. Silvestre WP, Livinalli NF, Baldasso C, Tessaro IC. Pervaporation in the separation of essential oil components: a review. *Trends Food Sci Technol*. 2019;93:42–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.09.003>
8. da Silva MRM, Ricci-Júnior E. An approach to natural insect repellent formulations: from basic research to technological development. *Acta Trop*. 2020;105419. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2020.105419>
9. Almeida AR, Oliveira ND, Pinheiro FASD, de Moraes WA, Ferreira LDS. Challenges encountered by natural repellents: from obtaining to the final product. *Pestic Biochem Physiol*. 2023;195:105538. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2023.105538>
10. Shaaya E, Kostyukovsky M. Essential oils: Potency against stored product insects and mode of action. *Stewart Postharvest Rev*. 2006;4:1–6. <https://doi.org/10.2212/spr.2006.4.5>
11. Abdelgaleil SA, Mohamed MI, Badawy ME, El-Arami SA. Fumigant and contact toxicities of monoterpenes to *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) and their inhibitory effects on acetylcholinesterase activity. *J Chem Ecol*. 2009;35(5):518–25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-009-9635-3>
12. Aref SP, Valizadegan O, Farashiani ME. *Eucalyptus dundasii* Maiden essential oil: chemical composition and insecticidal values against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) and *Oryzaephilus surinamensis* (L.). *J Plant Prot Res*. 2015;55(1):35–41. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jppr-2015-0005>
13. Liu H, Guo SS, Lu L, Li D, Liang J, Huang ZH, et al. Essential oil from *Artemisia annua* aerial parts: composition and repellent activity against two storage pests. *Nat Prod Res*. 2019;33(24):3563–66. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2019.1599887v>
14. Liu CH, Mishra AK, Tan RX, Tang C, Yang H, Shen YF. Repellent and insecticidal activities of essential oils from *Artemisia princeps* and *Cinnamomum camphora* and their effect on seed germination of wheat and broad bean. *Bioresour Technol*. 2006;97(15):1969–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2005.09.002>
15. Sahaf BZ, Moharramipour S. Fumigant toxicity of *Carum copticum* and *Vitex pseudo-negundo* essential oils against eggs, larvae and adults of *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *J Pest Sci*. 2008;81(3):213–220. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-008-0208-y>
16. Chen Z, Pang X, Guo S, Zhang W, Geng Z, Zhang Z, et al. Chemical composition and bioactivities of *Alpinia katsumadai* Hayata seed essential oil against three stored product insects. *J Essent Oil Bear Plants*. 2019;22(2):504–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2019.1611482>
17. Masamba WR, Kamanula JF, Henry EM, Nyirenda GK. Extraction

- and analysis of lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) oil: an essential oil with potential to control the larger grain borer (*Prostephanus truncatus*) in stored products in Malawi. *Malawi J Agric Sci.* 2003;2:56–64.
18. Aggarwal KK, Tripathi AK, Ahmad A, Prajapati V, Verma N, Kumar S. Toxicity of L-menthol and its derivatives against four storage insects. *Int J Trop Insect Sci.* 2001;21(3):229–35. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758400007621>
 19. Elgizawy KKH, El-Shewy AM, Morsy AR. Evaluation of essential oil and its main active ingredients of Chinese *Litsea cubeba* against two stored-grain insects. *Acad J Entomol.* 2019;12(1):29–39. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.aje.2019.29.39>
 20. Ramadan GRM, Abdelgaleil SAM, Shawir MS, El-Bakary AS, Zhu KY, Phillips TW. Terpenoids, DEET and short-chain fatty acids as toxicants and repellents for *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) and *Lasioderma serricorne* (Coleoptera: Ptinidae). *J Stored Prod Res.* 2020;87:101610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2020.101610>
 21. Cao JQ, Guo SS, Wang Y, Pang X, Geng ZF, Du SS. Toxicity and repellency of essential oil from *Evodia lenticellata* Huang fruits and its major monoterpenes against three stored-product insects. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2018;160:342–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.05.054>
 22. Patiño-Bayona WR, Plazas E, Bustos-Cortes JJ, Prieto-Rodríguez JA, Patiño-Ladino OJ. Essential oils of three *Hypericum* species from Colombia: chemical composition, insecticidal and repellent activity against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Rec Nat Prod.* 2021;15(2):111–21. <https://doi.org/10.25135/rnp.192.20.05.1665>
 23. de Araújo AMN, Faroni LRD, de Oliveira JV, do Amaral Ferraz Navarro DM, e Silva Barbosa DR, Breda MO, et al. Lethal and sublethal responses of *Sitophilus zeamais* populations to essential oils. *J Pest Sci.* 2017;90(2):589–600. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-016-0822-z>
 24. Moura ES, Faroni LRD, Heleno FF, Rodrigues AAZ. Toxicological stability of *Ocimum basilicum* essential oil and its major components in the control of *Sitophilus zeamais*. *Molecules.* 2021;26(21):6483. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26216483>
 25. Fouad HA, da Camara CAG. Chemical composition and bioactivity of peel oils from *Citrus aurantiifolia* and *Citrus reticulata* and enantiomers of their major constituent against *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *J Stored Prod Res.* 2017;73:30–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2017.06.001>
 26. Khani M, Marouf A, Amini S, Yazdani D, Farashiani ME, Ahvazi M, et al. Efficacy of three herbal essential oils against rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *J Essent Oil Bear Plants.* 2017;20(4):937–50. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2017.1355748>
 27. Bhavya ML, Chandu AGS, Devi SS. *Ocimum tenuiflorum* oil, a potential insecticide against rice weevil with anti-acetylcholinesterase activity. *Ind Crops Prod.* 2018;126:434–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.10.043>
 28. Zhang J, Wang Y, Feng Y, Du S, Jia L. Contact toxicity and repellent efficacy of essential oil from aerial parts of *Melaleuca bracteata* and its major compositions against three kinds of insects. *J Essent Oil Bear Plants.* 2021;24:349–59. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2021.1886995>
 29. Mishra BB, Tripathi SP, Tripathi CPM. Bioactivity of two plant-derived essential oils against the rice weevils *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Proc Natl Acad Sci India Sect B Biol Sci.* 2013;83:171–75. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40011-012-0123-0>
 30. Souza VN, Oliveira CR, Matos CH, Almeida DK. Fumigation toxicity of essential oils against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) in stored maize grain. *Rev Caatinga.* 2016;29:435–40. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1983-21252016v29n220rc>
 31. Du SS, Yang K, Wang CF, You CX, Geng ZF, Guo SS, et al. Chemical constituents and activities of the essential oil from *Myristica fragrans* against the cigarette beetle *Lasioderma serricorne*. *Chem Biodivers.* 2014;11(9):1449–56. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbdv.201400137>
 32. Song S, Tang Y, Feng R, Zhang X, An Y, Kong W, et al. Bioactivities and synergistic effect of *Elsholtzia ciliata* essential oil and its main components against *Lasioderma serricorne*. *Molecules.* 2024;29(9):1924. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29091924>
 33. Wei XM, Guo SS, Yan H, Cheng XL, Wei F, Du SS. Contact toxicity and repellency of the essential oil from *Bupleurum bicaule* Helm against two stored product insects. *J Chem.* 2018;2018:5830864. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5830864>
 34. Zhang YC, Liu A, Wang JZ, Qi YT, Du SS. Chemical compositions and insecticidal activities of *Tetradium ruticarpum* essential oils against *Lasioderma serricorne*. *J Stored Prod Res.* 2025;111:102517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2024.102517>
 35. Zhou J, Zou K, Zhang W, Guo S, Liu H, Sun J, et al. Efficacy of compounds isolated from the essential oil of *Artemisia lavandulaefolia* in control of the cigarette beetle, *Lasioderma serricorne*. *Molecules.* 2018;23(2):343. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23020343>
 36. Corrêa EJA, Carvalho FC, de Castro Oliveira JA, Bertolucci SKV, Scotti MT, Silveira CH, et al. Elucidating the molecular mechanisms of essential oils' insecticidal action using a novel cheminformatics protocol. *Sci Rep.* 2023;13(1):4598. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-29981-3>
 37. Van Leeuwen T, Vontas J, Tsagkakakou A, Dermauw W, Tirry L. Acaricide resistance mechanisms in the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* and other important Acari: a review. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol.* 2010;40(8):563–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.05.008>
 38. Casida JE, Durkin KA. Neuroactive insecticides: targets, selectivity, resistance and secondary effects. *Annu Rev Entomol.* 2013;58:99–117. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153645>
 39. López M, Pascual-Villalobos M. Mode of inhibition of acetylcholinesterase by monoterpenoids and implications for pest control. *Ind Crops Prod.* 2010;31(2):284–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2009.11.005>
 40. Park YL, Tak JH. Essential oils for arthropod pest management in agricultural production systems. In: Preedy VR, editor. *Essential oils in food preservation, flavor and safety*. London: Academic Press; 2016. p. 61–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-416641-7.00006-7>
 41. Pang YP, Brimijoin S, Ragsdale DW, Zhu KY, Suranyi R. Novel and viable acetylcholinesterase target site for developing effective and environmentally safe insecticides. *Curr Drug Targets.* 2012;13(4):471–82. <https://doi.org/10.2174/138945012799499703>
 42. Jankowska M, Rogalska J, Wyszowska J, Stankiewicz M. Molecular targets for components of essential oils in the insect nervous system—a review. *Molecules.* 2018;23(1):34. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23010034>
 43. Rants'o TA, Koekemoer LL, Panayides JL, van Zyl RL. Potential of essential oil-based anticholinesterase insecticides against *Anopheles* vectors: a review. *Molecules.* 2022;27(20):7026. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27207026>
 44. Mattar VT, Borioni JL, Hollman A, Rodriguez SA. Insecticidal action, repellency and toxicity mechanism of the essential oil of *Lippia turbinata* against the stored product pest *Rhipicephalus picturatus* (F.). *Pestic Biochem Physiol.* 2024;201:105907. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2024.105907>
 45. Elufioye TO, Obuotor EM, Agbedahunsi JM, Adesanya SA. Cholinesterase inhibitory activity and structure elucidation of a new phytol derivative and a new cinnamic acid ester from *Pycnanthus angolensis*. *Rev Bras Farmacogn.* 2016;26(4):433–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjp.2016.01.010>

46. Khyade VB, Shinde VD, Maske SS. Influence of the diterpenoids (retinol and phytol) on the cocoon and silk parameters in silkworm, *Bombyx mori* (L). World Sci News. 2016;42:1–12.
47. Das S, Singh VK, Dwivedy AK, Chaudhari AK, Dubey NK. Insecticidal and fungicidal efficacy of essential oils and nanoencapsulation approaches for the development of next generation eco-friendly green preservatives for management of stored food commodities: an overview. Int J Pest Manag. 2024;70 (3):235–66. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09670874.2021.1969473>
48. Campolo O, Giunti G, Russo A, Palmeri V, Zappalà L. Essential oils in stored product insect pest control. J Food Qual. 2018;2018:6906105. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6906105>
49. Popescu IE, Gostin IN, Blidar CF. An overview of the mechanisms of action and administration technologies of the essential oils used as green insecticides. AgriEngineering. 2024;6(2):1195–217. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriengineering6020068>
50. Tong TF, Coats JR. Effects of monoterpenoid insecticides on [³H]-TBOB binding in house fly GABA receptor and ³⁶Cl⁻ uptake in American cockroach ventral nerve cord. Pestic Biochem Physiol. 2010;98(3):317–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2010.07.003>
51. Enan E. Insecticidal activity of essential oils: octopaminergic sites of action. Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol. 2001;130 (3):325–37. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1532-0456\(01\)00255-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1532-0456(01)00255-1)
52. Liao M, Xiao JJ, Zhou LJ, Liu Y, Wu XW, Hua RM, et al. Insecticidal activity of *Melaleuca alternifolia* essential oil and RNA-Seq analysis of *Sitophilus zeamais* transcriptome in response to oil fumigation. PLoS One. 2016;11(12):e0167748. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167748>
53. de Araújo DAM, Freitas C, Cruz JS. Essential oils components as a new path to understand ion channel molecular pharmacology. Life Sci. 2011;89(15-16):540–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2011.04.020>
54. Isman MB, Miresmailli S, Machial C. Commercial opportunities for pesticides based on plant essential oils in agriculture, industry and consumer products. Phytochem Rev. 2011;10:197–204. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-010-9170-4>
55. Bakkali F, Averbeck S, Averbeck D, Idaomar M. Biological effects of essential oils - a review. Food Chem Toxicol. 2008;46(2):446–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2007.09.106>
56. de Brito-Machado D, Ramos YJ, Defaveri ACA, de Queiroz GA, Guimarães EF, de Lima Moreira D. Volatile chemical variation of essential oils and their correlation with insects, phenology, ontogeny and microclimate: *Piper mollicomum* Kunth, a case study. Plants. 2022;11(24):3535. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11243535>
57. Tripathi AK, Upadhyay S. Repellent and insecticidal activities of *Hyptis suaveolens* (Lamiaceae) leaf essential oil against four stored-grain coleopteran pests. Int J Trop Insect Sci. 2009;29:219–228. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758409990282>
58. Copping LG, Duke SO. Natural products that have been used commercially as crop protection agents. Pest Manag Sci. 2007;63 (6):524–54. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1378>
59. Regnault-Roger C, Vincent C, Arnason JT. Essential oils in insect control: low-risk products in a high-stakes world. Annu Rev Entomol. 2012;57(1):405–24. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-120710-100554>
60. López MD, Campoy FJ, Pascual-Villalobos MJ, Muñoz-Delgado E, Vidal CJ. Acetylcholinesterase activity of the electric eel is increased or decreased by selected monoterpenoids and phenylpropanoids in a concentration-dependent manner. Chem Biol Interact. 2015;229:36–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2015.01.006>
61. Dunan L, Malanga T, Benhamou S, Papaiconomou N, Desneux N, Lavoie AV, et al. Effects of essential oil-based formulation on biopesticide activity. Ind Crops Prod. 2023;202:117006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2023.117006>
62. Palermo D, Giunti G, Laudani F, Palmeri V, Campolo O. Essential oil-based nano-biopesticides: formulation and bioactivity against the confused flour beetle *Tribolium confusum*. Sustainability. 2021;13(17):9746. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179746>
63. Adel MM, Massoud MA, Mohamed MIE, Abdel-Rheim KH, El-Naby SSA. New nano-biopesticide formulation of *Mentha piperita* L. (Lamiaceae) essential oil against stored product red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum* and its effect on storage. Adv Crop Sci Tech. 2018;6:409. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2329-8863.1000409>
64. Giunti G, Campolo O, Laudani F, Zappalà L, Palmeri V. Bioactivity of essential oil-based nano-biopesticides toward *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae). Ind Crops Prod. 2021;162:113257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113257>
65. Adak T, Barik N, Patil NB, Gadratagi BG, Annamalai M, Mukherjee AK, et al. Nanoemulsion of eucalyptus oil: an alternative to synthetic pesticides against two major storage insects (*Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst)) of rice. Ind Crops Prod. 2020;143:111849. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111849>
66. Rajkumar V, Gunasekaran C, Paul CA, Dharmaraj J. Development of encapsulated peppermint essential oil in chitosan nanoparticles: characterization and biological efficacy against stored-grain pest control. Pestic Biochem Physiol. 2020;170:104679. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2020.104679>
67. Shi W, Yan R, Huang L. Preparation and insecticidal performance of sustained-release cinnamon essential oil microemulsion. J Sci Food Agric. 2022;102(4):1397–404. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.11472>

Additional information

Peer review: Publisher thanks Sectional Editor and the other anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints & permissions information is available at https://horizonpublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/open_access_policy

Publisher's Note: Horizon e-Publishing Group remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Indexing: Plant Science Today, published by Horizon e-Publishing Group, is covered by Scopus, Web of Science, BIOSIS Previews, Clarivate Analytics, NAAS, UGC Care, etc
See https://horizonpublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/indexing_abstracting

Copyright: © The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Publisher information: Plant Science Today is published by HORIZON e-Publishing Group with support from Empirion Publishers Private Limited, Thiruvananthapuram, India.