



RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Impact of intercropping and bio-enhancers on growth, yield and economic attributes of broccoli

Gurbaksh Kaur<sup>1</sup>, Deepak Sharma<sup>2\*</sup>, Sandeep Kumar Singh<sup>1</sup>, Diksha Thakur<sup>1</sup>, Shivani Sharma<sup>3</sup> & Radhika Negi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Vegetable Science, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib 140 407, Punjab, India

<sup>2</sup>School of Agricultural Sciences, Baddi University of Emerging Sciences and Technology, Baddi, Solan 173 205, Himachal Pradesh, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of agriculture, Maharishi Markandeshwar (deemed to be University), Mullana 133 207, Haryana, India

<sup>4</sup>Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chaudhary Sarwan Kumar Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya Kukumseri, Lahaul and Spiti 175 142, Himachal Pradesh, India

\*Correspondence email - [deepak.kakusharma@gmail.com](mailto:deepak.kakusharma@gmail.com)

Received: 02 June 2025; Accepted: 09 October 2025; Available online: Version 1.0: 20 November 2025

**Cite this article:** Gurbaksh K, Deepak S, Sandeep KS, Diksha T, Shivani S, Radhika N. Impact of intercropping and bio-enhancers on growth, yield and economic attributes of broccoli. Plant Science Today. 2025;12(sp4):01-07. <https://doi.org/10.14719/pst.9767>

## Abstract

Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* L.) is one of the most important cool season vegetables of the Brassicaceae family. Broccoli, a member of the Cole group, originates from the Mediterranean region. This study aimed to examine the effect of different bio-enhancers on a broccoli based intercropping system. The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomized block design (FRBD) with three replications comprising fifteen treatment combinations. Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*) and fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.) were used as intercrop along with panchagavya and oregon at different doses as bio-enhancers. Intercropping of broccoli with fenugreek and foliar spray of Panchagavya @ 3 % showed the best results in growth and yield parameters, including plant height (62.12 cm), plant spread (64.43 cm), stalk length (17.56 cm) and leaf area (804.28 cm<sup>2</sup>). It also recorded the lowest days to head initiation (48.56 days) and the highest head length (18.51 cm), number of sprouts per plant (8.89) and head yield per hectare (16.81 t). Combination of broccoli + fenugreek intercropping with foliar spray of Panchagavya at 3 % also had a significant effect on economic attributes, recording the highest net return (₹196149.35) and benefit: cost ratio (2.03).

**Keywords:** *Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* L.; economics; intercropping; panchagavya

## Introduction

Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica* L.), is an important cool season vegetable of the Brassicaceae family, possessing 18 chromosomes (2n=18). Broccoli is an Italian word originated from Latin 'Brachium' meaning an arm or branch (1). Furthermore, broccoli flavonoids have been shown to protect against cancer and HIV infection and broccoli's high chlorophyll content may help to prevent cancer, DNA damage and cardiovascular disease (2). One of the key methods for increasing crop production vertically and cropping intensity in developing nations is intercropping, which is the practice of growing two or more crops concurrently on the same plot of land. Effective intercropping maintains soil fertility while simultaneously increasing productivity (3). Bio-enhancers are organic preparations made by actively fermenting animal and plant residues for a set period of time. Bio-enhancers are commonly used to treat seeds and seedlings, stimulate organic matter decomposition, enrich the soil and improve plant vigour (4). Panchagavya is a Sanskrit term that refers to a mixture or blend of five products obtained from cows, including ghee, milk, curd, cow dung and cow urine. Oregon is a bio-enhancer produced from organic raw materials like kitchen waste, rotten fruits and

vegetables through natural process. It is a micronutrient solution and fertilizer which improve the quality and production of flowers, vegetables and fruits.

Simultaneously, the use of bio-enhancers organic formulations including biofertilizers, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), composts and microbial consortia has emerged as a viable alternative to synthetic agrochemicals. These inputs enhance nutrient availability, stimulate plant growth and improve soil health, thus contributing to higher yield and better crop quality. This study aims to investigate the combined effects of intercropping and bio-enhancers on the growth performance, yield attributes and economic viability of broccoli production. By integrating these sustainable practices, the research seeks to contribute to the development of cost-effective and environmentally friendly cultivation techniques that align with modern agricultural demands.

## Materials and Method

The experiment was conducted during the winter seasons of 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 at Experimental Farm, Department of Agriculture, Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab is

situated between 76° - 22'E to 76° - 46'E latitude and 30° - 36'N to 30° - 39'N longitudes and at a mean height of 279 m above sea level. The climate of Fatehgarh Sahib is sub-tropical and semi-arid with temperature range of minimum recorded up to 11 °C in the month of December-January while maximum goes high as 45 °C in the month of May-June. The experiment consisted of 15 treatments laid out in a FRBD with three replications. The experiment contains 15 combinations of two factors with the first factor being different intercrops with 3 levels viz. C<sub>1</sub>- broccoli (sole crop), C<sub>2</sub>- broccoli + coriander and C<sub>3</sub>- broccoli + fenugreek and the second is bio-enhancers with 5 levels viz. B<sub>0</sub>- No bio enhancer, B<sub>1</sub>- Panchgavya at 1.5 %, B<sub>2</sub>- Panchgavya at 3 %, B<sub>3</sub>- Ogronate at 3 % and B<sub>4</sub>- Ogronate at 1.5 %. The seedling was raised at Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib under special care in 31 x 0.15 seed bed. Sowing of nursery was done on 29<sup>th</sup> September 2019 and 26<sup>th</sup> September 2020. The seedlings were ready for transplanting within 28 days of sowing.

Fenugreek and coriander were sown in the main field after one week of transplanting of broccoli seedlings. Fenugreek and coriander seeds were directly sown with a row-to-row spacing of 30 cm. The seeds were sown on 5<sup>th</sup> November 2019 and 2<sup>nd</sup> November 2020 followed by light irrigation. Panchgavya was prepared by mixing fresh cow dung, cow ghee, cow urine, cow milk, cow curd and water. The container was covered with a thin cloth and kept in shade. During incubation, the mixture was stirred twice daily. After 15 days, the panchgavya was ready for field application. In the end, the mixture was filtered through a muslin cloth (5). Bio-enhancers were applied topically at 20 and

40 days after transplantation. Nine plants were randomly chosen from each plot and tagged to assess the effect of intercropping systems and bio-enhancers on growth and yield. The experiment's results were examined in accordance with Panse and recommendations for experiment design (6). The significance of differences among treatment means was tested at the 5 % probability level.

## Results and Discussion

The investigation's findings demonstrated that the intercropping system and bio-enhancer significantly varied at various phases of broccoli growth. The highest plant height 58.68 cm, plant spread 60.91 cm, number of leaves per plant 19.36 was obtained at all the growth stages in C<sub>3</sub>(broccoli + fenugreek) (Table 1). The favorable effects of manuring, irrigation and other management activities in the interspaces of broccoli due to reduced competition between main and intercrop may be responsible for the increase in plant height (7). The increased leaf count could be attributed to the primary crop making better use of available resources (8). The maximum plant height 57.36 cm plant spread 57.67 cm, number of leaves per plant 18.63 was obtained at all the growth stages in B<sub>1</sub>(panchagavya @ 3 %) (Table 1). Promoted protein synthesis and growth regulators like IAA and GA<sub>3</sub> in panchagavya may have promoted cell division, cell multiplication and cell enlargement, which favors higher internodal length, contributing to the increase in plant height (9). By improving soil nutrient uptake, solubilization and mobilization of the insoluble form of phosphorus by organic acids, Panchagavya improved the number of leaves (10).

**Table 1.** Effect of bio-enhancer and intercropping on plant height (cm), plant spread (cm), number of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, leaf length (cm), leaf width (cm)

Treatment combination	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	Number of leaves plant <sup>-1</sup>	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf width (cm)
<b>Intercrop (C)</b>					
C <sub>1</sub>	49.36	46.29	16.47	42.57	18.54
C <sub>2</sub>	54.97	54.44	17.75	45.57	20.34
C <sub>3</sub>	58.68	60.91	19.36	48.26	22.60
SEm(±)	0.46	0.73	0.16	0.31	0.22
<b>CD (0.05 %)</b>					
<b>Bio-enhancer (B)</b>					
B <sub>0</sub>	49.94	47.62	16.16	42.78	19.23
B <sub>1</sub>	57.36	57.67	18.63	47.18	21.40
B <sub>2</sub>	56.50	56.62	18.27	46.61	20.99
B <sub>3</sub>	54.94	54.99	18.21	45.84	20.82
B <sub>4</sub>	52.96	52.50	18.02	44.92	20.03
SEm(±)	0.60	0.95	0.21	0.41	0.29
<b>CD (0.05 %)</b>					
<b>Interaction (CXB)</b>					
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	45.63	45.75	13.56	41.67	18.29
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	51.59	47.12	17.30	43.09	18.94
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	51.52	46.74	17.29	42.98	18.56
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	51.35	46.26	17.26	42.86	18.51
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	46.73	45.59	16.93	42.27	18.39
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	51.95	48.55	17.37	43.32	19.62
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	58.36	61.46	18.40	47.97	20.62
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	58.03	58.72	17.73	47.12	20.60
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	53.61	54.51	17.64	45.44	20.50
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	52.91	48.97	17.59	43.99	20.37
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	52.24	48.57	17.54	43.36	19.78
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	62.12	64.43	20.20	50.46	24.63
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	59.96	64.41	19.79	49.73	23.81
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	59.85	64.19	19.74	49.23	23.44
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	59.24	62.94	19.53	48.51	21.35
SEm(±)	1.04	1.64	0.36	0.70	0.50

C<sub>1</sub> - broccoli (sole crop), C<sub>2</sub> - broccoli+coriander, C<sub>3</sub> - broccoli+fenugreek, B<sub>0</sub> - No- Bio enhancer, B<sub>1</sub> - Panchgavya@3 %, B<sub>2</sub> - Panchgavya@1.5 %, B<sub>3</sub> - ogron @3 %, B<sub>4</sub> - ogron@1.5

Maximum leaf length 48.26 cm and leaf width 22.60 cm was recorded in C<sub>3</sub> (broccoli + fenugreek) as shown in Table 1. This could be due to atmospheric nitrogen fixation because fenugreek is a leguminous crop with a tiny canopy that did not interfere with the main crop's growth (11). Longest leaf length 47.18 cm and leaf width 21.40 cm was recorded in B<sub>1</sub> (Panchagavya@3 %) (Table 1). The presence of naturally occurring beneficial microorganisms in panchagavya, such as lactic acid bacteria, yeast, actinomycetes, photosynthetic bacteria and some fungi, which aid in the plant's development more vegetatively, may explain the increased leaf length and width (12).

Highest plant stalk length 16.11 cm and leaf area 762.96 cm<sup>2</sup> was recorded by the treatment C<sub>3</sub> (broccoli + fenugreek) (Table 2). The increased stalk length amid intercropping may be owing to the beneficial management activities in the interspaces of broccoli due to decreased rivalry between the primary and intercrop (13). Intercropping's ability to manage weeds, lessen water and nutrient competition between cultivated plants and weeds and encourage the growth of cultivated plants may be responsible for the increased leaf area (14). Maximum plant stalk length 15.25 cm and maximum leaf area 732.78 cm<sup>2</sup> were observed under treatment B<sub>1</sub> (Panchagavya@3 %) as shown in Table 2. Panchagavya contains growth enzymes that promote rapid cell division and multiplication (9). In both favorable and unfavorable growing conditions, Panchagavya's abundant microbial metabolites help maintain stomata open for extended periods of time, increasing leaf area (15). The lowest days to head initiation (52.23) and maturity (58.41) were recorded by the

treatment C<sub>3</sub> (broccoli + fenugreek) (Table 2). This might be because growing broccoli alone required more nutrients, such as nitrogen fertilizers, which slowed down plant growth and curd maturation, but growing broccoli with fenugreek produces the shortest curd initiation and maturity days (16). The minimum days to head initiation (54.38) and maturity (60.09) was observed under treatment B<sub>1</sub> (Panchagavya@3 %) (Table 2). IAA, GA<sub>3</sub> and cytokinin among other growth-stimulating compounds, may encourage the transfer of more photo-assimilates to reproductive areas, causing early head development and maturity in plants (17). Maximum head length (17.53 cm), head diameter (16.26 cm) (Table 3) and biological yield (1.09 g) (Table 2) was recorded in C<sub>3</sub> (broccoli + fenugreek). Increased head length may be related to lower plant density and better use of available N fixed by fenugreek roots, allowing the plant to produce its vegetative parts quickly (18). As fenugreek is a leguminous crop with a small canopy that did not interfere with the main crop's growth, head diameter increase may be attributed to atmospheric nitrogen fixation (11). Increase in biological yield is that the fenugreek as an intercrop acted as a complement to the main crop, assisting it in achieving higher productivity per unit area (19). Among bio-enhancers, B<sub>1</sub> (Panchagavya@3 %) resulted in maximum head length (16.65 cm), head diameter (15.31 cm) (Table 3) and biological yield (1.04 g) (Table 2). The presence of enough macro and micronutrients, enhanced nutrient uptake, higher vegetative development and subsequent portioning and translocation from the leaf to the head as well as the release of energizing substances by organic formulations could all contribute to this

**Table 2.** Effect of bio-enhancer and intercropping on leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>), stalk length (cm), days taken to head initiation (days), days taken to head maturity (days), biological yield (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) (pooled data)

Treatment combination	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Stalk length (cm)	Days taken to head initiation (Days)	Days taken to head maturity (Days)	Biological yield
<b>Intercrop (C)</b>					
C <sub>1</sub>	599.76	12.88	58.93	65.51	0.90
C <sub>2</sub>	691.35	14.58	57.14	62.61	1.00
C <sub>3</sub>	762.96	16.11	52.23	58.41	1.09
SEm(±)	8.18	0.17	0.51	0.47	0.01
CD (0.05 %)	23.70	0.48	1.49	1.35	0.02
<b>Bio-enhancer (B)</b>					
B <sub>0</sub>	602.83	13.58	58.53	64.62	0.93
B <sub>1</sub>	732.78	15.25	54.38	60.09	1.04
B <sub>2</sub>	713.39	15.06	54.74	61.08	1.03
B <sub>3</sub>	707.48	14.75	55.40	61.72	1.01
B <sub>4</sub>	666.96	13.97	57.44	63.38	0.99
SEm(±)	10.56	0.21	0.66	0.60	0.01
CD (0.05 %)	30.10	0.61	1.89	1.72	0.03
<b>Interaction (CXB)</b>					
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	520.09	12.43	60.38	66.39	0.88
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	635.61	13.27	57.99	64.73	0.93
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	634.18	13.13	58.23	65.09	0.92
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	625.60	13.01	58.59	65.60	0.90
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	583.33	12.55	59.43	65.75	0.89
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	642.79	14.10	57.79	63.89	0.94
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	717.25	14.93	56.58	61.67	1.05
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	701.70	14.90	56.74	61.76	1.03
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	699.22	14.73	57.28	62.44	1.00
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	695.80	14.22	57.29	63.32	0.99
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	645.62	14.20	57.40	63.60	0.96
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	845.48	17.56	48.56	53.87	1.15
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	804.28	17.14	49.24	56.38	1.14
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	797.64	16.50	50.33	57.13	1.12
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	721.77	15.15	55.61	61.06	1.09
SEm(±)	18.30	0.37	1.15	1.05	0.02
CD (0.05 %)	52.99	1.07	3.33	3.03	0.05

C<sub>1</sub> - broccoli (sole crop), C<sub>2</sub> - broccoli+coriander, C<sub>3</sub> - broccoli+fenugreek, B<sub>0</sub> - No- Bio enhancer, B<sub>1</sub> - Panchgavya@3 %, B<sub>2</sub> - Panchgavya@1.5 %, B<sub>3</sub> - ogron @3 %, B<sub>4</sub> - ogron@1.5

**Table 3.** Effect of bio-enhancer and intercropping on head length (cm), head diameter (cm), number of sprout plant<sup>-1</sup>, weight of sprout (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), harvest index (%) (pooled data)

Treatment combination	Head length (cm)	Head diameter (cm)	Number of sprout plant <sup>-1</sup>	Weight of sprout (g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
<b>Intercrop (C)</b>					
C <sub>1</sub>	14.11	13.87	6.50	153.76	28.13
C <sub>2</sub>	15.67	14.56	7.45	163.15	30.66
C <sub>3</sub>	17.53	16.26	8.36	175.67	29.91
SEm(±)	0.19	0.15	0.09	1.40	0.44
CD (0.05 %)	0.54	0.42	0.27	4.07	1.28
<b>Bio-enhancer (B)</b>					
B <sub>0</sub>	14.51	14.05	6.64	156.90	28.45
B <sub>1</sub>	16.65	15.31	7.82	170.92	30.11
B <sub>2</sub>	16.20	15.16	7.72	166.85	29.92
B <sub>3</sub>	15.97	15.01	7.59	165.34	29.82
B <sub>4</sub>	15.52	14.96	7.43	160.96	29.52
SEm(±)	0.24	0.19	0.12	1.81	NS
CD (0.05 %)	0.68	0.54	0.34	5.17	
<b>Interaction (CXB)</b>					
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	13.43	13.53	6.20	151.33	23.12
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	14.62	14.13	6.79	157.97	30.44
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	14.46	14.04	6.70	154.48	30.13
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	14.26	13.85	6.45	152.92	29.37
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	13.78	13.79	6.37	152.12	27.57
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	15.05	14.25	6.85	159.08	30.99
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	16.83	14.94	7.77	166.53	30.58
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	15.81	14.72	7.73	164.86	30.23
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	15.54	14.45	7.59	162.97	30.71
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	15.13	14.45	7.34	162.33	30.80
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	15.06	14.36	6.89	160.30	31.25
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	18.51	16.87	8.89	188.26	29.30
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	18.32	16.72	8.74	181.23	29.41
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	18.11	16.71	8.72	180.12	29.37
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	17.64	16.65	8.58	168.45	30.21
SEm(±)	0.41	0.33	0.21	3.14	0.99
CD (0.05 %)	1.20	0.94	0.60	9.10	2.86

(20). Increases in dry matter accumulation, chlorophyll content, nitrate reductase activity and provision of all plant nutrients may be related with the greatest improvement in biological yield with all foliar sources (21).

Highest number of sprouts per plant was 8.36 and weight of sprout 175.67 g was observed in C<sub>3</sub>(broccoli +fenugreek) (Table 3). Leguminous fenugreek's nitrogen fixation had a substantial impact on the number of sprouts per plant (22). Plants could develop their vegetative parts quickly due to lower plant density and greater use of available N fixed by fenugreek roots (23). Among the various bio-enhancers maximum no. of sprout plant 7.82 and weight of sprout per plant 170.92 g was taken under B<sub>1</sub>(Panchagavya@3 %) (Table 3). The increase of cytokinin and auxin in their auxiliary buds may have favoured the plants to produce more sprouts per plant (24). The cow dung in panchagavya acts as a medium for the growth of beneficial bacteria and cow urine delivers nitrogen, which is needed for crop growth, may also account for the increase in yield (25, 26). The highest harvest index (30.66 %) was recorded in C<sub>2</sub> (broccoli + coriander) (Table 3). Intercrops covering the interspaces between the main crops at the same time may have helped with weed control, as well as enhanced soil moisture conservation, accumulation and delivery throughout the end period (27). Within the bio-enhancers the data regarded harvest index showed a non-significant effect during both seasons. Similar findings were recorded by (28). Highest average head weight 322.79 g, head yield per plot (12.46 kg) and head yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (16.19 t) was observed in C<sub>3</sub> (broccoli + fenugreek) (Table 4). Lower plant density and better use of available N fixed by fenugreek roots, allowing the plant to produce its vegetative

parts quickly, resulting in maximum output (19). Among bio-enhancers, B<sub>1</sub> (Panchagavya@3 %) observed maximum average head weight 313.81 g, head yield per plot plot<sup>-1</sup> 11.98 kg, head yield per ha ha<sup>-1</sup> 15.74 t (Table 4). The rise in head weight of broccoli could be related to improved chlorophyll pigment efficiency, which produces more photosynthates and increases photosynthate allocation in the economic section (26). This could be owing to the nutrients in the panchagavya i.e. macronutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium as well as micronutrients, all of which are necessary for plant growth and development. The presence of various amino acids, vitamins, growth regulators like auxins, gibberellins and cytokinin, as well as beneficial microorganisms such as Pseudomonas, Azotobacter and phosphobacteria, which influenced the yield attributes (5).

Every farmer's goal is to maximize net income per hectare after deducting cultivation costs. Maximum marketable output of healthy heads of specified treatments was the reason for enhanced profit and benefit:cost ratio. Data collected on economic characteristics, the highest net income per hectare (₹196149.35) and maximum B:C ratio (2.03) were calculated with C<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub> [(broccoli + fenugreek) + Panchagavya@3 %] (Table 5). Increased benefit: cost ratio is due to higher gross returns and low cost of cultivation (29). Panchagavya had a greater B: C ratio than other organic bio-enhancers because cattle dung and urine are inexpensive materials that farmers can find in their homes.

**Table 4.** Effect of bio-enhancer and intercropping on average head weight (g ha<sup>-1</sup>), head yield (kg plot<sup>-1</sup>), head yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) (pooled data)

Treatment combination	Average head weight (g ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Head yield (kg plot <sup>-1</sup> )	Head yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Intercrop (C)</b>			
C <sub>1</sub>	261.60	9.56	12.61
C <sub>2</sub>	308.72	11.68	15.46
C <sub>3</sub>	322.79	12.46	16.19
SEm(±)	3.22	0.14	0.17
CD (0.05 %)	9.32	0.41	0.50
<b>Bio-enhancer (B)</b>			
B <sub>0</sub>	269.36	10.25	13.41
B <sub>1</sub>	313.81	11.98	15.74
B <sub>2</sub>	308.95	11.66	15.29
B <sub>3</sub>	302.70	11.37	14.89
B <sub>4</sub>	293.68	10.91	14.43
SEm(±)	4.15	0.18	0.23
CD (0.05 %)	11.83	0.52	0.64
<b>Interaction (CXB)</b>			
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	208.58	7.82	10.33
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	288.93	10.78	14.41
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	283.37	10.23	13.53
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	273.51	9.84	12.90
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	253.61	9.14	11.85
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	297.15	11.50	14.92
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	319.51	11.99	15.99
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	312.83	11.79	15.86
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	309.19	11.63	15.37
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	304.91	11.50	15.17
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	302.37	11.43	14.98
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	333.00	13.16	16.81
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	330.66	12.97	16.49
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	325.39	12.64	16.39
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	322.54	12.09	16.27
SEm(±)	7.19	0.32	0.39
CD (0.05 %)	20.83	0.92	1.13

**Table 5.** Effect of bio-enhancer and intercropping on economic attributes of broccoli (Pooled data)

Treatment	Total cost (₹)	Gross return (₹)	Net return (₹)	B: C ratio
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	71584.00	103333.33	31749.33	0.44
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	78402.50	144133.33	65730.83	0.84
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	74993.25	135300.00	60306.75	0.80
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	89997.72	129033.33	39035.61	0.43
C <sub>1</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	80790.86	118533.33	37742.47	0.47
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	87184.00	196676.54	109492.54	1.26
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	94002.50	246908.64	152906.14	1.63
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	90593.25	239925.93	149332.68	1.65
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	105597.72	228622.22	123024.50	1.17
C <sub>2</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	96390.86	224361.73	127970.87	1.33
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>0</sub>	89684.00	207312.35	117628.35	1.31
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	96502.50	292651.85	196149.35	2.03
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>2</sub>	93093.25	273008.64	179915.39	1.93
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>3</sub>	108097.72	268203.70	160105.98	1.48
C <sub>3</sub> B <sub>4</sub>	98890.86	257256.17	158365.31	1.60

C<sub>1</sub> - broccoli (sole crop), C<sub>2</sub> - broccoli+coriander, C<sub>3</sub> - broccoli+fenugreek, B<sub>0</sub> - No- bio enhancer, B<sub>1</sub> - Panchgavya@3 %, B<sub>2</sub> - Panchgavya@1.5 %, B<sub>3</sub> - ogron@3 %, B<sub>4</sub> - ogron@1.5 %

## Conclusion

The present study clearly demonstrates that the intercropping system and application of bio-enhancers significantly influenced the growth, yield and economic return of broccoli. Among the intercropping treatments, C<sub>3</sub> (broccoli + fenugreek) consistently outperformed others, showing the highest values for plant height, plant spread, number of leaves, leaf dimensions, stalk length, leaf area and yield parameters such as head size, biological yield, number of sprouts and average head weight. The beneficial effects can be attributed to reduced competition, nitrogen fixation by fenugreek and enhanced resource utilization.

Similarly, among the bio-enhancer treatments, B<sub>1</sub> (Panchgavya @ 3 %) resulted in significant improvements in vegetative and reproductive traits of broccoli, likely due to its rich content of growth-promoting substances, beneficial

microorganisms and essential nutrients. The combination treatment C<sub>3</sub>B<sub>1</sub> (broccoli + fenugreek with Panchagavya @ 3 %) yielded the highest net income per hectare and benefit:cost ratio, demonstrating superior agronomic and economic performance.

Therefore, intercropping broccoli with fenugreek along with the foliar application of Panchagavya @ 3 % is recommended as an effective and sustainable cultivation strategy to enhance productivity, profitability and resource-use efficiency in broccoli cultivation.

## Acknowledgements

I express my sincere gratitude to Mata Gujri College for their steadfast support and provision of essential resources, which were



instrumental in the completion of this review. Their commitment to fostering research excellence and creating an enabling academic environment has been invaluable throughout the course of this work.

## Authors' contributions

Writing the original draft, visualization and conceptualization were done by GK and DS. Writing the review, editing and conceptualization were performed by SKS, DT, SS and RN. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. All co-authors have seen and agree with the contents of the manuscript and there is no financial interest to report. We certify that the submission is original work and is not under review at any other publication.

**Ethical issues:** None

## References

- Thamburaj S, Singh N. Textbook of vegetable, tuber crops and spices. ICAR; 2013. p. 136.
- Verma AK, Trivedi H, Kumar S. Response of bio-enhancers on plant growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *italica*) F-1 hybrid green magic. *Int J Chem Stud*. 2019;7(6):302–5.
- Singh AK, Singh KA, Bharati RC, Chandra N. Response of intercrops and nutrient management on the performance of tobacco based intercropping system and assessment of system sustainability. *Bangladesh J Bot*. 2013;42(2):343–8. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjb.v42i2.18040>
- Patel MM, Patel DM, Patel KM. Effect of Panchagavya on growth and yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp). *Int J e-J*. 2013;2(3):313–7.
- Ali MN, Ghatak S, Ragul T. Biochemical analysis of Panchagavya and Sanjibani and their effect in crop yield and soil health. *J Crop Weed*. 2011;7:84–6.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. 4th ed. New Delhi: ICAR Publication; 1985.
- Kumar M, Somasundaram KE, Marimuthu S, Meenambigai C. Growth, yield and quality of snake gourd (*Trichosanthes anguina* L.) as influenced by organic nutrient management practices. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*. 2017;6(11):918–24. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.611.107>
- Kadarohman A, Ratnaningsih D, Lailatul L, Kadarusman, Ahmad NF. Growth process of organic vetiver root with potato as intercropping plant. *Agrivita J Agric Sci*. 2012;34(1):60–6. <https://doi.org/10.17503/Agrivita-2012-34-1-p060-066>
- Sanjutha S, Subramanian S, Indu RC, Maheswari J. Integrated nutrient management in *Andrographis paniculata*. *Res J Agric Biol Sci*. 2008;4(2):141–5.
- Kumar V, Mehta RS, Rajveer, Ameta HK, Parsoya M, Kumar R. Effect of different intercropping systems on nutrient uptake, yield and profitability in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) production. *J Pharm Pharmacogn*. 2019;8(2):13–5.
- Yadav LP, Singh A, Malhotra SK. Growth, yield and quality response of organic broccoli to intercrops and crop geometry. *Indian J Hortic*. 2016;73(3):376–82. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-0112.2016.00080.3>
- Somasundaram E, Sankaran N, Meena S, Thiagarajan TM, Chandragiri K, Pannerselvam S. Response of greengram to varied levels of Panchagavya (organic nutrition) foliar spray. *Madras Agric J*. 2007;90(1–3):169–72. <https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.10.200347>
- Kumar M, Thakur NS, Bardhan K. Effect of teak (*Tectona grandis* L.)–*Ocimum* spp.-based silvi-medicinal systems on growth and physiological parameters of *Ocimum* spp. *Int J Agric Sci*. 2017;7(1):8–14.
- Midega CAO, Pittchar J, Salifu D, Pickett JA, Khan ZR. Effects of mulching, N-fertilization and intercropping with *Desmodium uncinatum* on *Striga hermonthica* infestation in maize. *Crop Prot*. 2013;44:44–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2012.10.018>
- Xu HL, Wang XJ, Wang JH. Effect of microbial inoculation on stomatal response of maize leaves. *J Crop Prod*. 2000;3(1):235–43. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J144v03n01\\_19](https://doi.org/10.1300/J144v03n01_19)
- Kabiraj J, Das R, Das SP, Mandal AR. A study on cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis*) based intercropping system. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*. 2017;6(7):2595–602. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.607.306>
- Gunasekar J, Reddy SK, Sindhu PG, Anand S, Kalaiyarasi G, Anbarasu M, et al. Effect of leaf extracts and Panchagavya foliar spray on plant characters, yield and resultant seed quality of blackgram [*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper] cv. CO 6. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*. 2018;7(2):3205–14. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.702.385>
- Gangwar B, Kalra GS. Intercropping of rainfed maize with different legumes. *Indian J Agric Sci*. 1982;52(2):113–6.
- Ahmad M. Study on the performance of tomato+batisak, tomato+cabbage, batishak+cabbage intercropping. *Bangladesh J Agric Res*. 1995;20:47–51.
- Ashrafur IM, Sumiya I, Ayasha A, Habibur R, Dilip N. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil properties and the growth, yield and quality of tomato in Mymensingh, Bangladesh. *J Agric Res*. 2017;7(18):1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture7030018>
- Kumawat RN, Mahajan SS, Mertia RS. Growth and development of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) under foliar application of Panchagavya and leaf extracts of endemic plants. *Indian J Agron*. 2009;54(3):324–31. <https://doi.org/10.59797/ija.v54i3.4798>
- Darzi MT. Effects of organic manure and biofertilizer application on flowering and some yield traits of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*). *Int J Agric Sci*. 2012;4:103–7.
- Boroomand ZZ, Rezvani MP, Rashed MMH. Effect of planting time and plant density on morphologic characters and essential oil of ajowan (*Carum copticum* Heim). *Iran J Field Crop Sci*. 2009;4:161–72.
- Vennila C, Jayanthi C. Response of okra to integrated nutrient management. *J Soils Crops*. 2008;18:36–40.
- De Britto JA, Girija SL. Investigation on the effect of organic and inorganic farming methods on blackgram and greengram. *Indian J Agric Res*. 2006;40(3):204–7.
- Patil SV, Halikatti SI, Hiremath SM, Babalad HB, Sreenivasa MN, Hebsur NS, et al. Effect of organics on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in vertisols. *J Farm Sci*. 2012;25(3):326–31.
- Kanwar JS, Chopra SL. Analysis of fertilizer and manure. In: Analytical agricultural chemistry. Delhi: S. Chand and Company; 1967. p. 61–119.
- Choudhuri P. Intercropping in cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata* f.) for growth, yield, quality and sustainable soil health under foothills of Eastern Himalayan region. *J Nat Appl Sci*. 2016;8(4):1740–7. <https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v8i4.1033>
- Chandrakala M, Hebsur NS, Nalina CN. Effect of FYM and fermented liquid manure on yield and economics of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Res J Agric Sci*. 2011;2(3):722–4.

### Additional information

**Peer review:** Publisher thanks Sectional Editor and the other anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

**Reprints & permissions information** is available at [https://horizonpublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/open\\_access\\_policy](https://horizonpublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/open_access_policy)

**Publisher's Note:** Horizon e-Publishing Group remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Indexing:** Plant Science Today, published by Horizon e-Publishing Group, is covered by Scopus, Web of Science, BIOSIS Previews, Clarivate Analytics, NAAS, UGC Care, etc

See <https://horizonpublishing.com/journals/index.php/PST/>

### indexing\_abstracting

**Copyright:** © The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

**Publisher information:** Plant Science Today is published by HORIZON e-Publishing Group with support from Empirion Publishers Private Limited, Thiruvananthapuram, India.